

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

IBG LLC,
INTERACTIVE BROKERS LLC,
CQG, INC.,
CQGT, LLC,
Petitioners

v.

TRADING TECHNOLOGIES INTERNATIONAL, INC.
Patent Owner

Patent No. 7,685,055

**PETITION FOR COVERED BUSINESS METHOD REVIEW UNDER
35 U.S.C. § 321 and § 18 of the LEAHY-SMITH AMERICA INVENTS ACT**

Mail Stop "PATENT BOARD"
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Table Of Contents

I. Mandatory Notices..... 2

 A. Real parties-in-interest..... 2

 B. Related Matters..... 2

 C. Lead and Back-up Counsel..... 3

II. Grounds For Standing..... 3

 A. Petitioners’ certification 3

 B. The ’055 patent is a Covered Business Method patent 3

 1. The ’055 patent claims a covered business method..... 4

 2. The ’055 patent is not for a “technological invention” 6

 3. AIA § 18 does not exempt GUIs from CBM review 12

III. Identification of the Challenge 14

 A. Statutory grounds for the challenge..... 14

 B. Citation of Prior Art..... 15

IV. The ’055 Patent..... 16

 A. Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art (“POSA”)..... 16

 B. Claim Construction..... 16

V. Ground 1: Claims 1-19 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 101 18

 A. The claims are directed to the abstract idea of repositioning market information on a graphical user interface. (*Alice* Step 1) 21

 B. The claims do not recite an inventive concept (*Alice* Step 2)..... 24

 C. The absence of complete preemption does not demonstrate patent eligibility..... 28

 D. The claims are not rooted in computer technology 29

E. TT v. CQG is not a controlling precedent..... 32

F. The dependent claims also recite non-statutory subject matter 32

G. Computer-readable medium claims 17-19 are also patent ineligible because they encompass a transitory, propagating signal..... 33

VI. Grounds 2-5 – The TSE Obviousness Grounds..... 34

A. Ground 2: TSE renders claims 1, 3, 4, 6-15, & 17-19 obvious..... 35

1. Overview of TSE..... 35

2. Prosecution History 40

3. TSE renders claims 1 and 17 obvious..... 42

a) TSE discloses the preamble of claims 1 and 17 42

b) TSE discloses the “receiving” step 44

c) TSE discloses “*displaying a first plurality of price levels along a static price axis*” 45

d) TSE discloses “*adjusting the first plurality price levels*” 46

e) TSE discloses “*displaying a bid and ask display region on the graphical user interface*” 50

f) The TSE discloses “*displaying a first[/second] indicator representing quantity associated with the current highest bid[/lowest ask] price*” 52

g) TSE discloses “*receiving the reposition command to reposition the static price axis*” 54

h) TSE discloses “*automatically repositioning the static price axis*” 57

4. TSE renders claim 3 obvious 57

5. TSE renders claim 4 obvious 58

6. TSE renders claim 6 obvious 59

7. TSE renders the repositioning events of claims 7-12 obvious. 60

8. TSE renders claims 13 and 18 obvious..... 63

9. TSE renders claims 14 and 19 obvious..... 64

10. TSE renders claim 15 obvious 65

B. Ground 3: TSE and Gutterman render claims 2 and 5 obvious. 65

C. Ground 4: TSE and Belden render claim 16 obvious 68

VII. Conclusion 74

Table of Authorities**Cases**

| | |
|---|------------|
| <i>Alice Corp. Pty. Ltd. v. CLS Bank Int'l</i> , 134 S. Ct. 2347 (2014)..... | passim |
| <i>Ariosa Diags. et al. v. Sequenom, Inc. et al.</i> , 788 F.3d 1371 (Fed. Cir. 2015)..... | 28 |
| <i>Bancorp Servs., LLC. v. Sun Life Assur. Co. of Canada (U.S.)</i> , 687 F.3d 1266 (Fed. Cir. 2012)..... | 27, 30 |
| <i>Bilski v. Kappos</i> , 130 S. Ct. 3218 (2010)..... | 18, 21, 24 |
| <i>Bloomberg Inc. v. Markets-Alert Pty Ltd.</i> , No. CBM2013-00005, Paper 18 (P.T.A.B. Mar. 29, 2013)..... | 5 |
| <i>CLS Bank Int'l v. Alice Corp. Pty. Ltd.</i> , 717 F.3d 1269 (Fed. Cir. 2013)..... | 24 |
| <i>CyberSource Corp. v. Retail Decisions</i> , 654 F.3d 1366 (Fed. Cir. 2011)..... | 18, 21 |
| <i>DDR Holdings v. Hotels.com, L.P. et al.</i> , 773 F.3d 1245 (Fed. Cir. 2014)..... | 29 |
| <i>Exxon Mobil Corp. v. Allapattah Servs., Inc.</i> , 545 U.S. 546 (2005)..... | 12 |
| <i>Int'l Sec. Exch. v. Chicago Bd. Options Exch.</i> , CBM2013-00049, Paper 53 (P.T.A.B. Mar. 2, 2015)..... | 24 |
| <i>Internet Patents Corp. v. Active Network Inc.</i> , No. 14-1048 (Fed. Cir. Jun. 23, 2015)..... | 25, 27 |
| <i>Jewelry Channel, Inc. v. America's Collectibles Network, Inc.</i> , CBM2014-00119, Paper 40 (P.T.A.B. Oct. 16, 2015)..... | 27, 28 |
| <i>Mayo Collab. v. Prometheus Labs</i> , 132 S. Ct. 1289 (2012)..... | 26, 28 |
| <i>Mims v. Arrow Fin. Servs.</i> , 132 S. Ct. 740 (2012)..... | 13 |
| <i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> , 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005)..... | 17 |

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.