

Paper No. \_\_\_\_\_  
Filed: July 21, 2016

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

IBG LLC and INTERACTIVE BROKERS LLC,

Petitioners

v.

TRADING TECHNOLOGIES INTERNATIONAL, INC.

Patent Owner

---

Case CBM2016-00009  
U.S. Patent 7,685,055

---

**PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE**

**Table of Contents**

I. THE CLAIMED INVENTION .....1

II. THE '055 PATENT CLAIMS ARE ELIGIBLE UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 101 .....11

A. The Claims Are Not Directed to an “Abstract Idea” Under *Alice* Prong 1.....13

1. Petitioners Incorrectly Ignore and Overgeneralize the Claim Elements in Arguing the Claims Are Directed to an Abstract Idea .....13

2. TT’s Claims Are Eligible Under Prong 1 of *Alice* Because They Improve the Functioning of the Computer.....14

3. TT’s Claims Are Eligible Under Prong 1 of *Alice* Because the Claimed Invention Is Rooted in Technology.....15

4. TT’s Claims Are Eligible under Prong 1 of *Alice* Because They Are Not Directed to a Fundamental Economic or Longstanding Commercial Practice, a Business Method, or a Generic GUI.....17

B. The Claims Are Eligible Under Prong 2 of *Alice* Because They Recite an Inventive Concept .....19

1. TT’s Claims Are Even More Technological Than Those in *DDR Holdings* and Would Exceed a Technological Arts Test.....22

2. The Claimed Invention Is New Technology .....24

III. TT’S CLAIMS DO NOT COVER SIGNALS .....25

IV. THE '055 PATENT IS NOT A CBM PATENT .....26

A. The '055 Patent Does Not Claim “Data Processing” or “Other Operations” (e.g., a Business Method).....26

1.	TT’s Claims Are Directed to a GUI Tool, Not “Data Processing” .....	26
2.	The Statutory Definition of CBM Requires More Than a Recitation of Financial Activity or Purpose .....	27
B.	The ’055 Patent Also Falls Under the Technological Exception.....	28
1.	Technical Feature that Is Novel and Unobvious.....	29
2.	TT’s Claims Solve a Technical Problem with a Technical Solution .....	29
3.	TT’s Claims Do Not Merely Solve a Business Problem .....	30
4.	Use of Known Technologies Does Not Render the Claims Non-Technical .....	31
V.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION .....	32
A.	“Adjusting <i>the First Plurality [of] Price Levels...</i> ” .....	32
B.	“Receiving the Repositioning Command to Reposition the Static Price Axis When a Designated Price Is Within a Designated Number of Price Levels from the Lowest Value or the Highest Value Along the Static Price Axis” .....	37
C.	“Static Price Axis”.....	40
D.	“Receiving a Manual Command from a User Input Device to Reposition the Static Price [Axis]” .....	41
E.	“Order Entry Region” and “In Response to a <i>Selection</i> of a Particular Location in the Order Entry Region by a <i>Single Action</i> of a User Input Device, Setting a Plurality of Parameters for a Trade Order Relating to the Commodity and Sending the Trade Order to the Electronic Exchange” .....	42
VI.	THE PETITION FAILS TO ESTABLISH TSE IS PRIOR ART.....	43
A.	The Evidence Fails to Prove TSE Was Publicly Accessible .....	45
1.	TSE Was Not Distributed to POSAs .....	46

2.	There Is No Evidence TSE Was Available to the POSA Exercising Reasonable Diligence .....	47
B.	Kawashima’s Testimony Is Uncorroborated and Biased, and Therefore Legally Insufficient .....	48
1.	Kawashima’s Testimony Is Uncorroborated .....	48
2.	Kawashima Is Not a Disinterested Witness.....	49
VII.	TSE FAILS TO RENDER OBVIOUS CLAIMS 1 and 17.....	51
A.	Petitioners’ Obviousness Arguments Fail for Multiple Independent Reasons.....	52
1.	TSE Does Not Teach or Suggest the Claimed “ <i>Adjusting the First Plurality [of] Price Levels...</i> ” .....	53
2.	TSE Fails to Teach or Disclose “Adjusting the First Plurality [of] Price Levels... to an Adjusted Plurality of Price Levels Including the First Plurality of Price Levels” .....	60
3.	TSE Fails to Render Obvious “Wherein a <i>Number of the Plurality of Locations [in the Bid and Ask Display Region] Changes</i> According to Adjusting the First Plurality of Price Levels” .....	65
4.	TSE Fails to Render Obvious “Receiving the Reposition Command to Reposition the Static Price Axis When a Designated Price Is Within a Designated Number of Price Levels from the Lowest Value or the Highest Value Along the Static Price Axis” .....	68
5.	TSE Does Not Reposition a Current Inside Market Price at a New Desired Location.....	71
B.	TSE Teaches Away from the Claimed Invention .....	73
VIII.	TSE FAILS TO RENDER OBVIOUS THE DEPENDENT CLAIMS .....	77
A.	TSE Does Not Render Obvious the “Manual Command... to Reposition the Static Price [Axis]” .....	77

B. TSE Does Not Render Obvious the Repositioning of Claims 7-12 .....79

C. TSE Does Not Render Obvious Claims 13 and 18 .....83

IX. TSE AND BELDEN DO NOT RENDER OBVIOUS CLAIM 16.....84

X. TSE AND GUTTERMAN DO NOT RENDER OBVIOUS CLAIMS 2 AND 5.....85

XI. CONCLUSION.....89

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.