

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

APPLE INC., EVENTBRITE INC.,  
STARWOOD HOTELS & RESORTS  
WORLDWIDE, INC.,  
Petitioner,

v.

AMERANTH, INC.,  
Patent Owner.

---

Case CBM2015-00080  
Patent 6,384,850 B1

---

Before MEREDITH C. PETRAVICK, RICHARD E. RICE, and  
STACEY G. WHITE, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

PETRAVICK, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

DECISION

Institution of Covered Business Method Patent Review  
*37 C.F.R. § 42.208*

## I. INTRODUCTION

### *A. Background*

On February 19, 2015, Apple Inc., Eventbrite Inc., and Starwood Hotels & Resorts Worldwide, Inc. (“collectively, Petitioner”) filed a Petition requesting a review under the transitional program for covered business method patents of U.S. Patent No. 6,384,850 B1 (Ex. 1001, “the ’850 patent”). Paper 1 (“Pet.”). Ameranth, Inc. (“Patent Owner”) filed a Preliminary Response on June 4, 2015. Paper 19 (“Prelim. Resp.”).

We have jurisdiction under 35 U.S.C. § 324, which provides that a post-grant review may not be instituted “unless . . . it is more likely than not that at least 1 of the claims challenged in the petition is unpatentable.” 35 U.S.C. § 324(a).<sup>1</sup>

Petitioner challenges the patentability of claims 12–16 of the ’850 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 103. Our factual findings and conclusions at this stage of the proceeding are based on the evidentiary record developed thus far (prior to Patent Owner’s Response). This is not a final decision as to the patentability of any of the claims for which a covered business method patent review is instituted. Our final decision will be based on the record as fully developed during trial. For the reasons given below, we conclude that the information presented in the Petition establishes that at least one of the challenged claims is more likely than not unpatentable. Thus, we institute a covered business method patent review of claims 12–16 of the ’850 patent.

---

<sup>1</sup> See § 18(a) of the Leahy-Smith America Invents Act (“AIA”), Pub. L. No. 112-29, 125 Stat. 284, 329 (2011).

*B. Related Matters*

Both Petitioner and Patent Owner identify numerous related ongoing district court proceedings in the Petition. Pet. 2–4; Paper 7, 5–6. The '850 patent is related to U.S. Patent No. 6,871,325 B1, U.S. Patent No. 6,982,733 B1, and U.S. Patent No. 8,146,077 B2. These patents were or are the subject of the following covered business method patent reviews:

U.S. Patent No.	Previous CBM Reviews	Pending CBM Reviews
6,384,850 B1	CBM2014-00015	CBM2015-00091 CBM2015-00096
6,871,325 B1	CBM2014-00016	CBM2015-00082 CBM2015-00097 CBM2015-00099
6,982,733 B1	CBM2014-00013	
8,146,077 B2	CBM2014-00014	CBM2015-00081 CBM2015-00095

In case CBM2014-00015, a Final Written Decision, determining claims 1–11 to be unpatentable, was issued on March 20, 2015 and is currently on appeal.

*C. The '850 Patent*

The '850 patent is titled “Information Management and Synchronous Communications System with Menu Generation” and issued on May 7, 2002, based on Application No. 09/400,413, filed on September 21, 1999. Ex. 1001, [54], [45], [21], [22]. There are two aspects to the system: menu generation and synchronous communication. *See, e.g., id.* at col. 3, ll. 15–23. The first aspect includes a “desktop software application that enables the rapid creation and building of a menu.” *Id.* at col. 3, ll. 15–17. Claims 1–

11, which are not challenged in this proceeding, are directed to this first aspect.

Claims 12–16, which are challenged in this proceeding, are directed to the second aspect of the system, synchronous communication. *See id.* at col. 2, ll. 56–62; col. 3, ll. 1–5; col. 10, ll. 57–59. The '850 patent discloses a synchronous communication system, which includes a computer workstation, a central database, multiple wireless handheld devices, a web server, and a web page. *Id.* at col. 3, ll. 59–63; col. 6, l. 14. A communications control module provides a single point of entry for all hospitality applications, on the central database, wireless handheld devices, web server and web pages, to communicate with one another. *Id.* at col. 9, ll. 21–27; col. 11, ll. 24–30.

This communications module is a layer that sits on top of any communication protocol and acts as an interface between hospitality applications and the communication protocol. . . . The single point of entry works to keep all wireless handheld devices and linked [w]eb sites in synch with the backoffice server (central database) so that the different components are in equilibrium at any given time and an overall consistency is achieved.

*Id.* at col. 11, ll. 27–36.

For example, a reservation made online would be automatically communicated to the backoffice server and then synchronized with all the wireless handheld devices wirelessly. Similarly, changes made on any of the wireless handheld devices would be reflected instantaneously on the backoffice server, [w]eb pages and the other handheld devices.

*Id.* at col. 2, ll. 26–32.

Third parties, such as point-of-sale companies, affinity program companies, and internet content providers, can integrate fully with the

system through an application program interface. *Id.* at col. 2, ll. 12–15; col. 11, ll. 15–19.

*D. Illustrative Claim*

Claim 12 of the '850 patent is the only challenged independent claim and is illustrative of the claims at issue. Claim 12 reads as follows:

12. An information management and synchronous communications system for use with wireless handheld computing devices and the internet comprising:

- a. a central database containing hospitality applications and data,
- b. at least one wireless handheld computing device on which hospitality applications and data are stored,
- c. at least one [w]eb server on which hospitality applications and data are stored,
- d. at least one [w]eb page on which hospitality applications and data are stored,
- e. an application program interface, and
- f. a communications control module,

wherein applications and data are synchronized between the central [database], at least one wireless handheld computing device, at least one [w]eb server and at least one [w]eb page; wherein the application program interface enables inte[gration] of outside applications with the hospitality applications and wherein the communications control module is an interface between the hospitality applications and any other communications protocol.

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.