

PATENT OWNER
EXHIBIT 2011



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

Table with 5 columns: APPLICATION NO., FILING DATE, FIRST NAMED INVENTOR, ATTORNEY DOCKET NO., CONFIRMATION NO. Includes details for application 11/190,633 filed 07/26/2005 by Keith R. McNally, attorney ROGITZ & ASSOCIATES, examiner CAMPBELL, SHANNON S, art unit 3628, and notification date 11/04/2014.

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

- John@rogitz.com
Jeanne@rogitz.com
Noelle@rogitz.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Ex parte KEITH R. MCNALLY

Appeal 2012-001503
Application 11/190,633¹
Technology Center 3600

Before MURRIEL E. CRAWFORD, MICHAEL C. ASTORINO, and
BART A. GERSTENBLITH, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

CRAWFORD, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

DECISION ON APPEAL

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

Appellant seeks our review under 35 U.S.C. § 134 of the Examiner's final decision rejecting claims 77–85, 89, 91, 92, and 97–107. We have jurisdiction over the appeal under 35 U.S.C. § 6(b).

We REVERSE.

¹ Appellant identifies Ameranth, Inc. as the real party in interest. Br. 1.

Claim 77 is illustrative:

77. An information management and real time synchronous communications system for use with wireless handheld computing devices and the internet comprising:

a. a master database containing at least one hospitality application (s) and associated data,

b. application software enabled to configure hospitality data for display on the 'non pc standard' display sized screen of at least one wireless handheld computing device in which the at least one hospitality application is stored,

c. at least one Web server enabled by application software to interface with at least one hospitality application and its associated data,

d. application software enabled to configure hospitality data for display on at least one web page,

e. an applications programming software interface,
and

f. a real time application software communications control module with a systemic communications relationship comprising:

- A communications controller
- A communications set up
- A web Hub
- A wireless Hub
- Linked Databases

wherein the system is enabled to perform an automated communications conversion via application software involving the data associated with the at least one hospitality application,

wherein the system is enabled via application software to synchronize the at least one hospitality application(s) and its associated data with the data in a second and different hospitality application in real time between the master database, the at least one Web server, the at least one wireless computing device and the at least one web page,

wherein the communications control module is enabled via application software to act as an interface between the at least one hospitality application (s) and any applicable communications protocol.

Appellant appeals the following rejections:

A. Claims 77–79, 91, 92, 97–101, and 106 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 7,069,228 B1, issued June 27, 2006, (hereinafter “Rose”) in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,415,138 B2, issued July 2, 2002, (hereinafter “Sirola”) further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,356,543 B2, issued Mar. 12, 2002, (hereinafter “Hall”).

B. Claims 80–85 and 89 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rose in view of Sirola in further view of U.S. Patent No. 5,991,739, issued Nov. 23, 1999, (hereinafter “Cupps”) or U.S. Patent No. 6,594,347 B1, issued July 15, 2003, (hereinafter “Calder”) or U.S. Patent No. 6,366,650 B1, issued Apr. 2, 2002, (hereinafter “Rhie”).

C. Claims 102–105 and 107 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rose in view of Sirola in further view of Cupps.

ISSUE

Did the Examiner err in rejecting the claims because the Examiner has not established that the cited references disclose “application software enabled to configure hospitality data for display on the ‘non pc standard’ display sized screen of at least one wireless handheld computing device,” as recited in claims 77?

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.