IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

| |) |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------|
| TRADING TECHNOLOGIES |) Case No. 10 C 715 |
| INTERNATIONAL, INC. |) (Consolidated with: |
| |) 10 C 716, 10 C 718, |
| Plaintiff, |) 10 C 720, 10 C 721, |
| | 10 C 726, 10 C 882, |
| v. |) 10 C 883, 10 C 884, |
| |) 10 C 885, 10 C 929, |
| BCG PARTNERS, INC., |) 10 C 931) |
| |) |
| Defendant. |) Judge Virginia M. Kendall |
| - | _ Magistrate Geraldine S. Brown |
| |) |
| TRADING TECHNOLOGIES |) |
| INTERNATIONAL, INC. |) |
| |) |
| Plaintiff, |) Case No. 10 C 718 |
| | |
| V. |) Judge Virginia M. Kendall |
| COC I LCOCT IIC |) |
| CQG, Inc. and CQGT, LLC, |) |
| Defendants |) |
| Defendants. |) |
| | _) |

CQG'S POSITION STATEMENT REGARDING TD AMERITRADE'S MOTION TO STAY

On May 19-20, 2014, the TD Ameritrade Defendants ("TD Ameritrade") filed Covered Business Method ("CBM") petitions with the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office ("PTO") seeking to invalidate 5 patents at issue in this consolidated case. On May 22nd, TD Ameritrade filed a motion to stay these consolidated proceedings under Section 18(b) of the America Invents Act (Dkt. # 545). The CQG Defendants ("CQG") submit this position statement to address this recent filing.



Without addressing the substance of TD Ameritrade's CBM petitions, CQG does not object to TD Ameritrade's request to stay this case pending the outcome of the PTO's review of the CBM petitions.

As indicated by SunGard's position statement (Dkt. # 548), TT recently asked the defendants whether they would agree to be estopped from asserting in this case any invalidity arguments made by TD Ameritrade in its CBM petitions. Because CQG did not join or assist in the filing of the CBM petitions, if the PTO issues a final written decision under 35 U.S.C. § 328(a), then only TD Ameritrade will be estopped from asserting invalidity positions raised in the CBM petitions, not CQG. *See* Leahy-Smith America Invents Act, Pub. L. No. 112-29, § 18(a)(1)(D), 125 Stat. 284, 330 (2011) (providing estoppel for petitioner where a final written decision has been issued).

Contrary to TT's suggestion, a non-petitioning defendant like CQG need not consent to a broad estoppel in order for this Court to grant TD Ameritrade's motion to stay (Dkt. # 545). In fact Courts frequently grant stays without estopping the non-petitioning parties. *See Progressive Cas. Ins. Co. v. Safeco Ins. Co.*, No. 1:10CV01370, 2013 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 54899, *18 (N.D. Ohio Apr. 17, 2013) (granting a stay pending CBM review of the patent without requiring estoppel from non-petitioning party); *Emp't Law Compliance, Inc. v. Compli, Inc.*, No. 3:13-CV-3574-N, slip op. at 4-5 (N.D. Tex. May 27, 2014) (granting a stay pending *inter partes* reexamination of the patent after applying similar factors without requiring estoppel for other defendants); and *Evolutionary Intelligence, LLC v. LivingSocial, Inc.*, No. 13-cv-047205-WHO, 2014 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 6804, *9 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 17, 2014) (granting a stay with no estoppel to



non-petitioning party pending *inter partes* reexamination)¹. Accordingly, at this time, CQG does not consent to be bound by any ruling on TD Ameritrade's CBM petitions.

Date: June 4, 2014 Respectfully submitted,

LOEB & LOEB LLP

By: /s/ Adam G. Kelly
One of Their Attorneys

Adam G. Kelly William J. Voller III J. Simone Jones Loeb & Loeb LLP

321 N. Clark Street, Suite 2300

Chicago, Illinois 60654 Tel.: (312) 464-3100 Fax: (312) 464-3111

Attorneys for CQG, Inc. and CQGT, LLC



¹ Copies of unpublished decisions are included in Exhibit A.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Adam G. Kelly, certify that **CQG'S POSITION STATEMENT REGARDING TD AMERITRADE'S MOTION TO STAY** was served on all counsel of record on June 4, 2014 via CM/ECF system.

June 4, 2014 By: /s/ Adam G. Kelly

Adam G. Kelly
William J. Voller III
J. Simone Jones
Loeb & Loeb LLP
321 North Clark Street
Suite 2300
Chicago, IL 60654
Attorneys for Defendants
CQG, Inc. and CQGT, LLC

OCKET

EXHIBIT A

DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

