Subpoenaed... - Honyaku E<>J translation list | Google Groups

Page 1 of 6

	Honyaku E<>J		
翻訳	translation list	subpoena	Search this group Search Groups
			Home
Sub	opoenaed	Optio	ns <u> Discussions</u> <u> + new post</u>
	messages - <u>Collapse all</u> ren Smith <u>View profile</u>	More options Aug 18, 3:00 pn	n Pages Files
dep	anybody on this list ever been subp ositions or give testimony) regarding te been completed?	ooenaed (or been asked to make a translation job you have long	About this group Join this group
	o, what were the expectations for cor el, etc.?	Sponsored Links Analice Su PC A Fondo Arregle los problemas operativos de	
the sub the tran of th que pres	cording to the attorney that is the clie work, the attorneys for the other side poenaing me because of two small of translation produced independently islator, by the way, whose work I res his list, and, at this point, may or may estions about our translations). (The sence of some "Translator's notes" in hine, so, thank goodness, no one has prences in the translation.)	su PC. Haga que corra a óptimamen www.pctools.es	
how a jui pres othe "ma in th is no was sen at th not Eng	ry) why two "correct" translations wo sence of stylistic differences, etc., do er translation is "wrong," unless there aning. In that regard, does anybody I aterial difference in meaning" would b ne other translator's translation when ot supported explicitly by the text (all s completely reasonable given the co	pood ways to explain to a layman (or to ould not be identical, and why the bes not imply that one or the are material differences in have any working definitions of what a be? For example, I see one sentence he put in an inferred word that though I agree that his inference ontext). Conversely, in another and in the Japanese and that (at least ongly by the sentence that it did h, and that inserting the word in	Acerca del correo electronico por we www.ecosur.mx
our	s anybody on this list derived good w industry that such decisions regardin erently part of the job of the skilled th	ng handling of inferences is	
Tha	anks in advance.		
War	rren		

	More options Aug 18, 6:03 pm
Warren Smith asks: Has anybody on this list derived good our industry that [making] such decisior inherently part of the job of the skilled to ==UNQUOTE==	d ways to explain to people outside of ns regarding handling of inferences is ranslator?
and jurors who must be presumed to be sentence in English that might be parag English) in two ways, illustrating the dif (paraphrasers). Maybe a good exampl technical paper and imagine how it mig	fering approaches of two translators e would be to take a sentence from a pht be restated in a Sunday-comics en. Possibly the very sentence at issue ce on a completely different subject ected by the particulars of the ic example or two is something that is
Reply Reply to author Forward	
Vill Jasprizza <u>View profile</u> Warren	More options Aug 19, 4:33 am
	ve to come from an expert witness.
linguist, to be expert witnesses as to th in no way implying you are not an expe can't give evidence in both capacities.	erienced translator and perhaps a enature of translation work? I am
linguist, to be expert witnesses as to th in no way implying you are not an expe can't give evidence in both capacities. Will Jasprizza	erienced translator and perhaps a enature of translation work? I am
linguist, to be expert witnesses as to th in no way implying you are not an expe can't give evidence in both capacities. Will Jasprizza <u>- Show quoted text -</u>	erienced translator and perhaps a enature of translation work? I am
linguist, to be expert witnesses as to th in no way implying you are not an expe can't give evidence in both capacities. Will Jasprizza <u>- Show quoted text -</u> <u>Reply Reply to author Forward</u>	erienced translator and perhaps a enature of translation work? I am
Marceline Therrien View profile	erienced translator and perhaps a e nature of translation work? I am prienced translator, but AFAIAA you <u>More options</u> Aug 20, 10:35 am pailto:honyaku@googlegroups.com] On
linguist, to be expert witnesses as to the in no way implying you are not an expe- can't give evidence in both capacities. Will Jasprizza - Show quoted text - Reply Reply to author Forward Marceline Therrien View profile From: honyaku@googlegroups.com [m Behalf Of Warren Smith Sent: Saturday, August 18, 2007 1:01 F To: honyaku@googlegroups.com Subject: Subpoenaed If minor differences in wording, interpre however, does anybody have any real of a jury) why two "correct" translations we presence of stylistic differences, etc., d other translation is "wrong," unless ther	erienced translator and perhaps a e nature of translation work? I am prienced translator, but AFAIAA you <u>More options</u> Aug 20, 10:35 am eailto:honyaku@googlegroups.com] On PM etation, etc., do become an issue, good ways to explain to a layman (or to ould not be identical, and why the oes not imply that one or the
linguist, to be expert witnesses as to th in no way implying you are not an expe can't give evidence in both capacities. Will Jasprizza <u>- Show quoted text -</u> Reply Reply to author Forward Marceline Therrien View profile From: honyaku@googlegroups.com [m Behalf Of Warren Smith Sent: Saturday, August 18, 2007 1:01 F To: honyaku@googlegroups.com Subject: Subpoenaed If minor differences in wording, interpre	erienced translator and perhaps a e nature of translation work? I am prienced translator, but AFAIAA you <u>More options</u> Aug 20, 10:35 am eailto:honyaku@googlegroups.com] On PM etation, etc., do become an issue, good ways to explain to a layman (or to ould not be identical, and why the oes not imply that one or the

DOCKET A L A R M Find authent

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

You could pick a particular passage and show how it has been rendered differently in each of the versions. Marceline Therrien J2E Business Translations Oakland, California, USA Reply Reply to author Forward Steve Venti View profile More options Aug 20, 4:54 pm Marceline Therrien: > If minor differences in wording, interpretation, etc., do become an issue, > however, does anybody have any real good ways to explain to a layman (or to > a jury) why two "correct" translations would not be identical, and why the > presence of stylistic differences, etc., does not imply that one or the > other translation is "wrong," unless there are material differences in > meaning. I would first explain that "translation" actually comprises two distinct and separate processes: understanding the source text and creating the target text. I would then stress that even when two or more people share a common understanding of an issue, the complexity of language itself tends to result in individual differences in expression. Finally, I would illustrate that complexity by placing a common and well-known object--a national flag, for example--on the table and asking the jurors to write a brief description of that object's physical appearance. Comparing the jurors descriptions should be enough to demonstrate that even though they share a common understanding of what the flag looks like, and even though their descriptions are all quite similar, they are not all exactly the same. YMMV Steve Venti @ I am not a lawyer, but I do sound like one from time to time The source of all unhappiness is other people. --Wally Reply Reply to author Forward Mika Jz View profile More options Aug 20, 5:06 pm Marceline's suggestion of showing various renditions of Bible is excellent, and I want to add that a clear-cut demonstration, convincing even to non-linguists, is of great value to all of us translators, whether we realize it or not. I wonder if there are gems to be found in other language groups? Mika Jarmusz @ I wish I can help, but am off the list again for a while Salem, Oregon USA Reply Reply to author Forward Marceline Therrien View profile More options Aug 20, 7:17 pm

- Show auoted text -



Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

Just to be clear, the above question was posed by Warren Smith, not me. Marceline Therrien J2E Business Translations Oakland, California, USA Reply Reply to author Forward Steve Venti View profile More options Aug 20, 7:55 pm Marceline Therrien wrote: > Just to be clear, the above question was posed by Warren Smith, not me. Yeah, sorry about that. Poorly edited on my part. Steve Venti The source of all unhappiness is other people. --Wally Reply Reply to author Forward Warren Smith View profile More options Aug 20, 8:06 pm Marceline wrote: You could pick a particular passage and show how it has been rendered differently in each of the versions. Perfect! Thanks. Warren Reply Reply to author Forward Friedemann Horn View profile More options Aug 21, 1:27 am >> If minor differences in wording, interpretation, etc., do become an issue, > > however, does anybody have any real good ways to explain to a layman (or to > > a jury) why two "correct" translations would not be identical, and why the > > presence of stylistic differences, etc., does not imply that one or the >> other translation is "wrong," unless there are material differences in > > meaning. Is "why are the two translations not identical?" really going to be the question you have to answer? If the opposing counsel wants to question you in court about your translation, he probably wants to make a point, and not learn about translation in general. So I'd imagine that the questioning might go more like this: "Did you produce this translation?" "Yes.' "On line soandso, did you translate the Japanese word ABC with the English word XY7?"

ARM Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

"Yes, but..." "Thank you. So you believe that XYZ is a good translation of the word ABC?' "Yes, but let me ..." "Thank you. No further questions." ... which would leave little room for having the jurors write a small essay about a commonplace object. Also, don't forget that you're not the one on trial here. Any problems that one of the parties has due to misconceptions about translation are not really your problems. It's the job of their attorney to clear up those misconceptions (if that is to the client's advantage), not yours. Friedemann Horn www.horn-uchida.jp Steve Venti: - Show quoted text -Reply Reply to author Forward Will Jasprizza View profile More options Aug 21, 3:49 am Warren Like I said, I don't have all the facts, but on what you explained you are being subpoenaed to give evidence. People are subpoenaed to produce evidence concerning the matter at hand - i.e., first hand testimony as to "what happened". This evidence is factual: "I did this", "I translated this", "Yes, this is one of my documents". If you attempt to give evidence along the lines of "This is a reasonable translation of that", or "This is what all translators do" you have moved from the realms of fact into opinion, and opinion evidence is the realm of the expert witness. Information as to how translation is carried out generally would have to be adduced differently - it is not limited to the case at hand. To adduce evidence of this more general nature the usual practice is to call an expert witness to speak about the nature of translation, and how it isn't merely the transposing of words. Now you doubtless have this kind of knowledge, but as a subpoenaed person you cannot be "qualified" (in the legal sense) as an expert witness (at least not in this case) - an expert witness does not appear under force of **subpoena** but at the request of one of the parties. The other side may in turn call an expert witness to dispute your side's expert witness - if indeed they can find an experienced translator who is willing to testify that translating IS a simple act of transposing. The judge/jury will listen to your evidence as to what you did, listen to the expert evidence as to what common practice is, and determine whether or not what you did was in accordance with what translators normally do. I hope this clears things up a bit. You sound perfectly qualified to appear in someone else's hearing as an expert on translation, but not in a matter where you have been subpoenaed to give evidence. Cheers Will Jasprizza Reply Reply to author Forward

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.