

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

IBG LLC,  
INTERACTIVE BROKERS LLC,  
TRADESTATION GROUP, INC.,  
TRADESTATION SECURITIES, INC.,  
TRADESTATION TECHNOLOGIES, INC., AND  
IBFX, INC.  
Petitioners

v.

TRADING TECHNOLOGIES INTERNATIONAL, INC.  
Patent Owner

---

Patent No. 6,772,132

---

**PETITION FOR COVERED BUSINESS METHOD REVIEW UNDER 35  
U.S.C. § 321 and § 18 of the LEAHY-SMITH AMERICA INVENTS ACT**

**Mail Stop PATENT BOARD**  
Patent Trial and Appeal Board  
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**Table of Contents**

I.	Mandatory Notices .....	2
II.	Grounds For Standing.....	3
	A. Petitioners’ certification .....	3
	B. The ’132 Patent is a Covered Business Method Patent .....	3
	1. The ’132 patent claims a covered business method.....	3
	2. The ’132 patent is not for a “technological invention” .....	4
	3. AIA § 18 does not exempt GUIs from CBM review.....	8
III.	Identification of the Challenge .....	10
	A. Grounds of Unpatentability and Prior Art.....	10
	B. Each Ground is independently relevant and should be instituted .....	11
IV.	The ’132 Patent.....	13
	A. Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art (“POSA”).....	13
	B. Claim construction .....	13
V.	Ground 1 – Claims 1-56 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 101 .....	14
	A. Current state of § 101 jurisprudence .....	15
	B. The claims are directed to the abstract idea of placing an order based on observed (plotted) market information, as well as updating market information ( <i>Alice</i> Step 1) .....	16
	C. Beyond the abstract idea, the claims recite only insignificant post-solution activity and data gathering ( <i>Alice</i> Step 2) .....	18
	D. The claims are not rooted in computer technology .....	24
	E. <i>TT v. CQG</i> is not controlling precedent .....	26
VI.	Ground 2: Silverman, Gutterman, & Belden render claims 1-3, 5-10, 13-16, 18-23, 25-33, 35-43, and 45-56 obvious. ....	26
	A. Overview of Silverman .....	27
	B. Overview of Gutterman.....	28
	C. Overview of Belden .....	29
	D. Rationale to combine Silverman, Gutterman, and Belden.....	31
	E. Prosecution history relative to Silverman & Gutterman.....	32

F. Independent claims 1, 8, & 14 are obvious over Silverman, Gutterman, and Belden.....34

    1. The combination of Silverman and Gutterman teaches the preambles of claims 1, 8, & 14 ..... 34

    2. Belden teaches the “*setting a preset parameter*” limitation .... 37

    3. The Silverman combination GUI teaches the “*displaying market depth of the commodity*” limitation..... 39

    4. The Silverman combination GUI teaches the “*displaying an order entry region*” limitation..... 43

    5. The Silverman combination GUI teaches the “*selecting a particular area*” limitation..... 44

G. Claims 2, 9, & 15 are obvious over Silverman, Gutterman, Belden ..48

H. Claims 3, 10, & 16 are obvious over Silverman, Gutterman, and Belden.....48

I. Claims 5, 12, & 18 are obvious over Silverman, Gutterman, and Belden.....49

J. Claims 6, 13, & 19 are obvious over Silverman, Gutterman, and Belden.....51

K. Claim 7 is obvious over Silverman, Gutterman, and Belden.....52

L. Claims 20-21, 30-31, & 40-41 are obvious over Silverman, Gutterman, and Belden.....52

M. Claims 22-23, 32-33, & 42-43 are obvious over Silverman, Gutterman, and Belden.....52

N. Claims 25-26, 35-36, & 45-46 are obvious over Silverman, Gutterman, and Belden.....53

O. Claims 27-28, 37-38, & 47-48 are obvious over Silverman, Gutterman, and Belden.....56

P. Claims 29, 39, & 49 are obvious over Silverman, Gutterman, and Belden.....56

Q. Claims 50-52 are obvious over Silverman, Gutterman, and Belden ..57

R. Claims 53-55 are obvious over Silverman, Gutterman, and Belden ..57

S. Claims 56 is obvious over Silverman, Gutterman, and Belden .....58

VII. Ground 3 – The combination of Silverman, Gutterman, Belden, and May render claims 4, 11 and 17 obvious ..... 58

VIII. Ground 4 – The combination of Silverman, Gutterman, Belden, and Paal renders claims 24, 34, and 44 obvious. .... 59

IX. Ground 5 – TSE and Belden render claims 1-3, 7-10, 14-16, 20-28, 30-38, 40-48, 50-56 obvious ..... 61

A. Overview of TSE and Belden.....61

B. Rationale for combining TSE and Belden .....63

C. Independent claims 1, 8, & 14 are obvious over TSE and Belden ....64

1. TSE teaches the preambles of claims 1, 8, and 14..... 64

2. TSE teaches the “*setting a preset parameter*” limitation ..... 66

3. TSE teaches “*displaying market depth of the commodity*” ..... 66

4. TSE teaches “*displaying an order entry region*” ..... 69

5. The combination of TSE & Belden teaches “*selecting a particular area*” ..... 69

D. Claims 2, 9, & 15 are obvious over TSE and Belden .....72

E. Claims 3, 10, & 16 are obvious over TSE and Belden .....72

F. Claim 7 is obvious over TSE and Belden .....73

G. Claims 20-21, 30-31, & 40-41 are obvious over TSE and Belden ....73

H. Claims 22, 32, & 42 are obvious over TSE and Belden .....73

I. Claims 23, 33, & 43 are obvious over TSE and Belden .....74

J. Claims 24, 34, & 44 are obvious over TSE and Belden .....74

K. Claims 25-26, 35-36, & 45-46 are obvious over TSE and Belden ....74

L. Claims 27-28, 37-38, & 47-48 are obvious over TSE and Belden ....76

M. Claims 50-52 are obvious over TSE and Belden .....76

N. Claims 53-55 are obvious over TSE and Belden .....76

O. Claims 56 is obvious over TSE and Belden.....77

X. Ground 6 – TSE, Belden, and May render claims 4, 11, & 17 obvious..... 78

XI. Ground 7 – TSE, Belden, and Gutterman render claims 5-6, 12-13, 18-19, 29, 39, & 49 obvious..... 79

A. Claims 5-6, 12-13, & 18-19 are obvious over TSE, Belden and Gutterman .....79

B. Claims 29, 39, & 49 are obvious over TSE, Belden and Gutterman ..80

XII. Conclusion ..... 80

CERTIFICATION OF SERVICE (37 C.F.R. §§ 42.6(e), 42.105(a))

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.