	Page 1
1	UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
2	
3	BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD
4	
5	IBG LLC, INTERACTIVE BROKERS LLC,
	TRADESTATION GROUP, INC.,
6	TRADESTATION SECURITIES, INC.,
	TRADESTATION TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
7	and
	IBFX, INC.
8	Petitioner
9	V.
10	TRADING TECHNOLOGIES INTERNATIONAL, INC.
1 1	Patent Owner
11	G7 GF GDW2015 00170
1 2	CASE CBM2015-00179 U.S. Patent 7,533,056
13	CASE CBM2015-00181
1 3	U.S. Patent 7,676,411 B2
1 4	0.B. Patene 7,070,111 B2
	CASE CBM2015-00182
15	U.S. Patent 6,772,132 B1
16	
17	June 14, 2016
18	
19	Conference call, beginning at
2 0	4:00 p.m., before LINDA ROSSI RIOS, a
21	Federally Approved RPR, CCR and Notary
2 2	Public.
2 3	
2 4	Veritext Legal Solutions
	Mid-Atlantic Region
	1250 Eye Street NW - Suite 350
25	Washington, D.C. 20005
26	

Veritext Legal Solutions 215-241-1000 ~ 610-434-8588 ~ 302-571-0510 ~ 202-803-8830



Page 2 B E F O R E: 1 2. SALLY C. MEDLEY, Administrative Patent Judge MEREDITH C. PETRAVICK, Administrative Patent Judge 3 JEREMY M. PLENZLER, Administrative Patent Judge 4 5 APPEARANCES: 6 7 On Behalf of Petitioner 8 9 STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX LORI A. GORDON, ESQUIRE 10 1100 New York Ave. NW Suite 600 Washington, DC 20005 202.772.8862 11 lgordon-PTAB@skgf.com 12 13 On Behalf of Patent Owner 14 FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT 15 & DUNNER, LLP KEVIN D. RODKEY, ESQUIRE 16 271 17th Street, NW Suite 1400 17 Atlanta, GA 30363-6209 404-653-6400 18 kevin@rodkey@finnegan.com and 19 RACHEL L. EMSLEY, ESQUIRE Two Seaport Lane Boston, MA 02210-2001 20 617-646-1600 2.1 rachel.emsley@finnegan.com 22 23 24 2.5



Page 3 1 2. JUDGE PLENZLER: Good afternoon. This is Judge Plenzler of the PTAB Appeal 3 Court. This is a conference call for 4 CBM2015-00179, 181 and 182. I'm joined on the call by Judges Medley and Petravick. Do 6 we have someone on the line for petitioner? MS. GORDON: This is Lori Gordon 8 from Sterne Kessler for petitioner, 10 Tradestation. 11 JUDGE PLENZLER: Anyone else for 12 petitioner? 13 MS. GORDON: I think I will be 14 the only one today. 15 JUDGE PLENZLER: How about 16 patent owner? 17 MR. RODKEY: Good afternoon, 18 Your Honor. This is Kevin Rodkey for patent 19 owner, Trading Technologies. With me is 20 Rachel Emsley. 21 JUDGE PLENZLER: Is there a 22 court reporter? 23 COURT REPORTER: Yes, my name 24 is Linda Rossi. 2.5 JUDGE PLENZLER: Patent owner,



Page 4 1 did you request the court reporter? 2. MR. RODKEY: Yes, we did, and 3 we will file the transcript of this call. JUDGE PLENZLER: Thank you. 4 5 I understand patent owner requested the call and this is in view of the 6 7 upcoming deposition of Mr. Kawashima. Basically it's with regard to your request to 8 videotape the deposition. I just have an 10 initial question first. I understand the 1 1 parties have met for trying to come to some 12 sort of agreement on video. Have the parties 13 discussed the possibility of simply having 14 audio recording of the deposition? 15 Patent owner, can you, please, 16 address that first? 17 MR. RODKEY: No, Your Honor, 18 the parties have not discussed that. 19 position is that we think in order to assess 20 the credibility of the questions and to 21 determine if there are conflicting 2.2 interpretations of the questions and answers, 23 seeing the witness' reaction is going to be 24 helpful and indicate that the witness understands a certain translation or 2.5



Page 5

interpretation better than another.

you. My understanding previously when we had the call earlier this week was that you were more concerned with just having a deposition transcript that was English language only and not capturing the Japanese language response from Mr. Kawashima. Is that incorrect?

MR. RODKEY: That is one of our concerns, that without having the Japanese you can't make an assessment as to which of the translations is correct because the court reporter will not capture the language in the transcript. But the witness' response to the interpretation and the witness' response to the various questions we believe will be helpful in making the assessment if there is a difference in interpretation. And that can't be captured solely by audio.

JUDGE PLENZLER: Let me hear from Ms. Gordon. Would you be opposed to audio?

MS. GORDON: We would not be opposed to audio. That would be unintrusive for the witness. I can address Mr. Rodkey's



2.

1 1

2.2

2.5

DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

