



**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES ..... ii

I. Introduction..... 1

II. Statement of Facts..... 2

    A. This Lawsuit Has Been Pulled into TT’s Web of Litigation .....2

    B. CBM Reviews on TT’s GUI Patents .....3

    C. 35 U.S.C. § 101 and *Alice*.....5

III. This Case Should Be Stayed..... 6

    A. The Legal Standard Favors A Stay .....6

    B. Factor 1: A Stay Will Greatly Simplify the Issues .....7

    C. Factor 2: The Relatively Early Stage of the Substance of the Litigation  
    Favors a Stay.....9

    D. Factor 3: A Stay Will Not Unduly Prejudice TT or Present a Clear Tactical  
    Advantage to TradeStation .....10

    E. Factor 4: A Stay Will Reduce the Burden on the Parties and the Court.....11

IV. Conclusion ..... 12

CERTIFICATE OF CONFERENCE..... 13

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE ..... 15

SERVICE LIST ..... 16

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

**Cases**

*ACQIS, LLC v. EMC Corp.*,  
109 F. Supp. 3d 352 (D. Mass. 2015) ..... 7

*Alice Corp. Pty. v. CLS Bank Int’l*,  
134 S. Ct. 2347 (2014)..... 5, 8

*Benefit Funding Sys. LLC v. Advance Am. Cash Advance Centers Inc.*,  
767 F.3d 1383 (Fed. Cir. 2014) ..... 8

*Chart Trading Dev., LLC v. Tradestation Grp., Inc.*,  
No. 6:15-CV-1136-JDL, 2016 WL 1246579 (E.D. Tex. Mar. 29, 2016)..... 6

*Destination Maternity Corp. v. Target Corp.*,  
12 F. Supp. 3d 762 (E.D. Pa. 2014) ..... 8

*Diamond v. Diehr*,  
450 U.S. 175 (1981)..... 5

*iMTX Strategic LLC v. Vimeo LLC*,  
No. C 15-00592 JSW *et al.*, 2015 WL 4089911 (N.D. Cal. July 6, 2015) ..... 6

*JLIP, LLC v. Jetavation Inc., et. al.*,  
No. 14-61618-CIV-ZLOCH, ECF No. 31 (S.D. Fla. Apr. 29, 2015)..... 12

*Knauf Insulation, LLC v. Johns Manville Corp.*,  
No. 1:15-CV-00111-WTL-MJD, 2015 WL 7084079 (S.D. Ind. Nov. 13, 2015)..... 6

*Landmark Tech., LLC v. iRobot Corp.*,  
No. 6:13CV411 JDL, 2014 WL 486836 (E.D. Tex. Jan. 24, 2014) ..... 7, 9

*Mayo Collaborative Servs. v. Prometheus Labs., Inc.*,  
132 S. Ct. 1289 (2012)..... 5

*Microsoft Corp. v. Proxyconn, Inc.*,  
789 F.3d 1292 (Fed. Cir. 2015) ..... 8

*Mkt.-Alerts Pty. Ltd. v. Bloomberg Fin. L.P.*,  
922 F. Supp. 2d 486 (D. Del. 2013)..... 7, 8, 10

*MPEG, Inc. v. Hewlett-Packard Comp.*,  
No. 2:15CV73, 2015 WL 5567085 (E.D. Va. Sept. 21, 2015)..... 6

*Old Reliable Wholesale, Inc. v. Cornell Corp.*,  
635 F.3d 539 (Fed. Cir. 2011) ..... 7

..

*Smartflash LLC v. Apple Inc.*,  
621 F. App'x 995 (Fed. Cir. 2015) ..... 10

*Software Rights Archive, LLC v. Facebook, Inc.*,  
No. C-12-3970 RMW *et al.*, 2013 WL 5225522 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 17, 2013)..... 6

*Tel. Sci. Corp. v. Asset Recovery Sols., LLC*,  
No. 15 C 5182, 2016 WL 47916 (N.D. Ill. Jan. 5, 2016) ..... 7

*Trading Techs. Int'l, Inc. v. BCG Partners, Inc.*,  
--- F. Supp. 3d ---, No. 10 C 715, 2016 WL 2622301 (N.D. Ill. May 9, 2016)..... passim

*Trading Techs. Int'l, Inc. v. BCG Partners, Inc.*,  
No. 10 C 715, 2015 WL 1396632 (N.D. Ill. Mar. 25, 2015) ..... 11

*Unisone Strategic IP, Inc. v. Life Techs. Corp.*,  
No. 3:13-cv-1278-GPC-JMA, 2015 WL 1606341 (S.D. Cal. Apr. 8, 2015)..... 7

*Versata Software, Inc. v. Dorado Software, Inc.*,  
No. 2:13-cv-00920-MCE-DAD, 2014 WL 1330652 (E.D. Cal. Mar. 27, 2014)..... 8

**Statutes**

35 U.S.C. § 101 ..... 4, 5, 8

35 U.S.C. § 324..... 3

35 U.S.C. § 326(a)(11)..... 4

AIA § 18(a)(1)(B) ..... 3

AIA § 18(a)(1)(D)..... 8

AIA § 18(b)(1) ..... 1, 6

AIA § 18(b)(1)(A)..... 7

AIA § 18(b)(1)(D)..... 11

**Regulations**

37 C.F.R. § 42.207(b) ..... 4

37 C.F.R. § 42.208 ..... 3

37 C.F.R. § 42.300(a)..... 4

Office Patent Trial Practice Guide,  
77 Fed. Reg. 48757 (Aug. 14, 2012) ..... 4

...

Office Patent Trial Practice Guide,  
77 Fed. Reg. 48768 ..... 4

**Other Authorities**

157 Cong. Rec. S1053 (daily ed. Mar. 1, 2011) ..... 1, 11

157 Cong. Rec. S1363 (daily ed. Mar. 8, 2011) ..... 3, 6, 11

Michelle K. Lee, *PTAB Update: Proposed Changes to Rules Governing  
PTAB Trial Proceedings*, Director’s Forum: A Blog from USPTO’s Leadership,  
United States Patent and Trademark Office,  
[http://www.uspto.gov/blog/director/entry/ptab\\_update\\_proposed\\_changes\\_to](http://www.uspto.gov/blog/director/entry/ptab_update_proposed_changes_to)  
(Aug. 19, 2015) ..... 4

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.