No. 2016-1616

United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit

TRADING TECHNOLOGIES INTERNATIONAL, INC.,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

v.

CQG, INC., CQG, LLC, fka CQGT, LLC,

Defendants-Appellants.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois in No. 1:05-cv-04811, Judge Sharon Johnson Coleman

REPLY BRIEF OF APPELLANTS

Adam G. Kelly William J. Voller III John A. Cotiguala LOEB & LOEB LLP 321 North Clark Street Suite 2300 Chicago, IL 60654 (312) 464-3100 Kenneth R. Adamo Eugene Goryunov Meredith Zinanni KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP 300 North LaSalle Chicago, IL 60654 (312) 862-2000

John C. O'Quinn KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP 655 15th Street, NW Washington, DC 20005 (202) 879-5000

Counsel for Defendants-Appellants

August 24, 2016



Form 9 Rev. 03/16

UNITED STATES CO	OURT OF A	PPEALS F	OR THE	FEDERAL CIRCUIT
Trading Technologies Int	ternational, Inc	v	CQG,	Inc. and CQG, LLC
	Case No.	16-1616		
	CERTIFICA	ATE OF INT	EREST	
Counsel for the: \square (petitioner) \square (appellant) \square	$(respondent) \square$] (appellee)[] (amicus)[☐ (name of party)
CQG, Inc. and CQG, LLC				
certifies the following (use "Nor	ne" if applicable;	use extra sh	eets if nece	essary):
2. Name of Real Party in interest (Please only include any real party in interest NOT identified in Question 3) represented by me is:		eal party in	3. Parent corporations and publicly held companies that own 10 % or more of stock in the party	
CQG, Inc.		CQG, Inc.		None
CQG, LLC (fka CQGT, LLC)		CGQ, LLC		CQG, Inc. (Parent)
4. The names of all law firms a now represented by me in the transport or will not enter are	rial court or age	ncy or are ex	pected to a	
See Exhibit A, attached.				
August 24, 2016		/s/ Ke	nneth R. Ada	amo
Date		Signature of counsel		
Please Note: All questions must	Kenn	Kenneth R. Adamo		
			Printe	ed name of counsel



EXHIBIT A

The names of all law firms and the partner or associates that appeared for CQG, Inc. and CQG, LLC (fka CQGT, LLC) in the trial court (N.D. IL Case No. 05-cv-4811) or are expected to appear in this court (Fed. Cir. Case No. 16-1616) are:

Kirkland & Ellis LLP

Kenneth R. Adamo John O'Quinn Eugene Goryunov Meredith Zinanni

Loeb & Loeb LLP

Adam Glenn Kelly Christopher M Swickhamer John Anthony Cotiguala Laura A Wytsma Terry D Garnett William J. Kramer William Joshua Voller Melaina D. Jobs Johnnet Simone Jones

Chuhak & Tecson, P.C.

David Seth Argentar

Bell, Boyd & Lloyd, LLC (now K&L Gates)

Heather Ann Boice Jeana R. Lervick Kara Eve Foster Cenar

Faegre & Benson LLP (now Faegre Baker Daniels, LLP)

Jared B. Briant Nina Y. Wang Mark W. Fischer Neal S. Cohen

Welsh & Katz, Ltd.

Joseph E. Cwik Kara Eve Foster Cenar Robert B. Breisblatt

Bryan Cave LLP

Kara Eve Foster Cenar Mariangela M. Seale



TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTR	CODUC	CTION	T	1		
ARG	UMEN	JT		2		
I.	TT'S	CLAI	MS DO NOT CLAIM A "GUI TOOL."	2		
	A.	TT Claims A Method Of Using A GUI, It Does Not Claim A "GUI Tool."				
	B.	TT's	Method Claims Merely Automate An Abstract Idea	5		
	C.		Method Claims Are Not Analogous To A Mechanical	6		
II.			MED METHODS ARE DIRECTED TO AN ABSTRACT ORGANIZING BASIC INFORMATION	10		
	A.	TT's Method Claims Are Directed To The Abstract Idea Of Organizing Information For Commodities Trading.				
		1.	CQG Does Not Oversimplify The Claims	10		
		2.	TT's Specification Confirms Its Claims Are Directed To An Abstract Idea.	12		
		3.	Use Of A Computer For Improved Speed Does Not Confer Patent-Eligibility.	14		
	В.		Method Claims Do Not Improve The Functioning Of A outer And Do Not Solve A Technological Problem	15		
	C.	TT's Method Claims Are Directed To An Abstract Idea Even Without Total Preemption Of The Idea				
III.	THE CLAIMED METHODS DO NOT INCLUDE AN INVENTIVE CONCEPT.					
	A.	The "	Static Price Axis" Is Not An Inventive Concept	21		
		1.	A "Static Price Axis" Is Routine And Conventional Activity.	21		
		2.	The Purported Novelty Of A "Static Price Axis" Does Not Mean It Is An Inventive Concept.	23		



	The Ordered Combinations Of Claim Elements Do Not Include An Inventive Concept.		
1.	The Ordered Combinations Of Claim Elements Are Not Novel Or Inventive.	26	
2.	TT's Claims Are Fundamentally Different From Those Found To Include An Inventive Concept.	27	
CONCLUSION	N	20	



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

