)		On	EPO - M 36 13. Jan	
abutation		Opposition to a Europe	an Patent	European Patent (
I.		LUT Kasse	Opp. No. OPPO (1)	for EPO use or
		O Patent No.	EP 1,319,211B1	-
	Date of mention o	Application No. I the grant in the European Patent Bulletin (Art. 97(4), 99(1) EPC)	01920183.9 13 April 2005	$\frac{1}{2}$
Clic	Title of the invention: ck Based Trading with I	ntuitive Grid Display of Marke		
II.	Proprietor of the Patent first named in the patent specification	Trading Technologies Inte	mational, Inc	
	Opponent's or representative's refe	Fence (max. 15 spaces)		OREF
III.	Opponent		OPPO (2)	4)
	Name Address	Eccoware Limited One America Square London	L	
	State of residence or of principal place of business Telephone/Telex/Fax Multiple opponents	United Kingdom	al sheet	
IV.	Authorisation			
	<ol> <li>Representative (Name only one representative to whom notification is to be made) Name Address of place of business</li> </ol>			
	Telephone/Telex/Fax	+44 207 448 3000	+44 207 448 3029	)
	Additional representative(s)	(on additional sheet/see authori	sation) OPPO (5)	
	<ol> <li>Employee(s) of the opponent authorised for these opposition proceedings under Art. 133(3) EPC</li> </ol>	Name(s):		
	Authorisation(s)	not considered necessary		1
	To 1./2.	has/have been registered under No.		1

.

.

EPO Form 2300.1 04.93 (mt. ad. 12/97)

· ...

	Onnachian is filed anniast		for EPO use on
<b>V.</b>	Opposition is filed against		
ļ	- claim(s) No(s).		
VI.	Grounds for opposition:		
	Opposition is based on the following grounds:		
	<ul> <li>(a) the subject matter of the European patent opposed is not patentable (Art. 100(a) EPC) because:</li> </ul>		
	— It is not new (An. 52(1); 54 EPC)	x	
	it does not involve an inventive step (Art. 52(1); 56 EPC)	X	
	- patentability is excluded on other grounds, i.e. Art. 52(2)(c) and (d)	X	
	(b) the patent opposed does not disclose the invention in a manner sufficiently clear and complete for it to be carried out by a person skilled in the art (Art. 100(b) EPC; see Art. 83 EPC).	С	
	(c) the subject-matter of the patent opposed extends beyond the content of the application/ of the earlier application as filed (Art. 100(c) EPC, see Art. 123(2) EPC).	×	
VH.	Facts and arguments (Rule 55(c) EPC) presented in support of the opposition are submitted herewith on a separate sheet (annex 1)	×	
VIB.	Other requests:		

λ,

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

IX.	Evidence presented			for EPO use o
174.	Funding hissenien	Endosed =	1	
		will be filled at a later date 😑		
<b>A</b> .	Publications:			Publication date
	1			
	Particular relevance (page, column, line, fig.):			
	2			
	Panicular relevance (page, column, line, fig.):			
	3			
	Panicular relevance (page, column, line, fig.):			
	4			
	Panicular relevance (page, column, line, fig.):			
	5			
	Particular relevance (page, column, line, fig.):			
	6	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	Particular relevance (page, column, line, fig.):			
	7	·····		
	Particular relevance (page, column, line, fig.):			
				<u></u>
	<u> </u>	Continued on additional sheet		
8.	Other evidence	·		
			<b>_</b>	
		Continued on additional sheet	Ц	

DOCKET ALARM Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

X. Payment of the oppositio	n fee is made		for EPO us
	d voucher for payment of fees a	nd costs (EPO Form 1010)	
Aiready paid (see e	enclosed bank transfer	form)	
XI. List of documents			
XI. List of documents		No. of environ	
No.		No. of copies	
0 Form for notice of oppositi	חנ	2 (min. 2)	
1 Facts and arguments (see	AL)	2 (min. 2)	
2 Copies of documents press	ented as evidence (see IX.)		s.
2a 🗶 - Publications		2 (min. 2 of each)	
2b Other documents		(min. 2 of each)	
3 Signed authorisation(s) (see	• IV.)		
4 Voucher for payment of fee	s and costs (see X.)		
5 Cheque			
6 Additional sheet(s)		(min. 2 of each)	
<ol> <li>Other (please specify here)</li> <li>Additional representation</li> </ol>			
XII. Signature of opponent or represent	rtive Awa	R	
Place London, UK			
Date 13 January 2006			1
Date 13 January 2006 Perkins, David Charles Langr Solicitor of the Supreme Cour Authorised Representative of	t of England and Wale	s (Reg. No. 155960)	
Perkins, David Charles Langr Solicitor of the Supreme Cour	t of England and Wale the Opponent		si he huquf

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

#### European Patent No. 1,319,211 B1 (Trading Technologies International, Inc.)

#### **Opposition thereto by Eccoware Limited**

## GROUNDS OF OPPOSITION

### I. Request

The Opponent requests that the Patent is revoked in full. In the event that the Opposition Division is not prepared to revoke the Patent in its entirety, oral proceedings under Art 116 EPC are requested.

#### **II.** Grounds For Opposition

This Opposition is made on the following grounds:

- Art 100(c) EPC (added subject-matter); and
- Art 100(a) EPC (non-patentable subject-matter, including Art 52(2)(c) and (d); Art 54; and Art 56 EPC

#### III. The Opposed Patent

III.1 The invention claimed is directed to the electronic trading of commodities [0001] and is said to overcome drawbacks in the existing trading systems and to dramatically reduce the time it takes for a trader to place a trade when electronically trading on an exchange [0010].

III.2 The claims are directed to

DOCKE

- A device for receiving commands relating to a commodity being traded on an electronic exchange (claims 1 to 28);
- A method of operating such a device (claims 29 to 52); and
- A computer program product with program code adapted for execution of the claimed method (claim 53).
- III.3 The commercial embodiment of the claimed invention is the "Mercury" display and trading method [0013]. Specifically the Patent is directed to a graphical user interface ["GUI"] for displaying the market depth of the commodity being traded, including a plurality of bids and a plurality of asks along with their corresponding prices [0014].

## DOCKET A L A R M



# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.