

Paper No. _____
Filed: June 23, 2017

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

IBG LLC; INTERACTIVE BROKERS LLC;
TRADESTATION GROUP, INC.; TRADESTATION SECURITIES, INC.;
TRADESTATION TECHNOLOGIES, INC.; and
IBFX, INC.

Petitioners

v.

TRADING TECHNOLOGIES INTERNATIONAL, INC.

Patent Owner

Case CBM2015-00181
U.S. Patent 7,676,411

**PATENT OWNER'S MOTION TO MAINTAIN CONFIDENTIAL
INFORMATION UNDER SEAL PENDING DISPOSITION OF APPEAL**

I. Statement of Relief Requested

Pursuant to this Board's authorization on June 13, 2017, Patent Owner respectfully requests that all sealed documents in CBM2015-00181 be preserved in non-public form pending disposition of any appeals. Moreover, within 10 days of the disposition of all appeals in this matter, Patent Owner respectfully requests that all confidential information filed by Patent Owner be expunged from the record pursuant to Rule 42.56 and not be made public.

Patent Owner has conferred with Petitioner on both requests and Petitioner does not oppose.

II. Reasons Why Requested Relief Should Be Granted

A. The Record Should be Preserved Pending Appeal So the Federal Circuit Has Full Access to the Record

The Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure and the Federal Circuit Rules require that the record be retained by the Board pending appeal. Specifically, Federal Circuit Rule 17(a) states that "the agency must retain the record." Federal Circuit Rule 17(d), titled "Access of Parties and Counsel to Original Record" also requires that the parties and their counsel have access to both the sealed and unsealed portions of the record "when a petition for review or notice of appeal is filed." Patent Owner's Notice of Appeal was filed May 15, 2017.

If the record is not preserved in its entirety, including any sealed portions, and an appeal is taken, the Federal Circuit will not be able to fully consider the issues, which

would cause prejudice to Patent Owner and violate the appellate rules. Moreover, as the Board did not rely on any of this confidential information in its final written decision or decisions denying rehearing, such information may properly be maintained under seal here.

B. Subsequent to the Disposition of Appeal, Confidential Information Should be Expunged

Additionally, Patent Owner respectfully requests that subsequent to the dispositions of all appeals, all sealed confidential information filed on the CBM docket be expunged for the reasons set forth below.

1. The Board Found Good Cause to Seal Patent Owner's Confidential Information

In connection with its Patent Owner's Response, TT filed four Motions to Seal. (Papers 61, 69, 96, and 99). These motions covered certain pleadings, declarations and exhibits containing or referring to Patent Owner's confidential business information. (Papers 62, 70, 75, 94, and 98; Exhibits 2169, 2172, 2224, 2225, 2232, 2247, 2270, 2286, 2294, and 2295).

The Board granted two Motions to Seal, and dismissed the other two Motions to Seal after denying and expunging the underlying confidential Motions to Submit Supplemental Information and Briefing. (Papers 101 and 139). The information covered by the two granted Motions to Seal should be maintained under seal because this Board has already found that there was good cause to grant the motions to seal in

the first instance. (Paper 139, at 4). Specifically, TT identified that the sealed papers contain sensitive business information that would not otherwise be published or made available to the public. As this information was not relied on in the Final Written Decision here, protecting the confidential material throughout the appeals does not impact the public interest in obtaining access to these proceedings. Further, any impact to the public interest has been minimized as non-confidential versions of the papers have already been filed. *Id.*

2. The Sealed Documents Contain Confidential and Sensitive Business Information That was not Relied on by the Board in the Final Written Decision

For the same reasons set forth in Patent Owner’s Motions to Seal, namely that the sealed information “contain[s] information identified as [] sensitive, non-public information that a business would not make public,” all the information filed under seal in this matter should be expunged from the record within 10 days of the disposition of all appeals. Expunging the sealed information will avoid the prejudice to parties that would be caused by public disclosure of their sealed information. The information Patent Owner seeks to have expunged after the disposition of appeals is as follows: Papers 62, 70, and 75, and Exhibits 2169, 2172, 2224, 2225, 2232, 2247, 2270, 2286, 2294, and 2295.

Because Patent Owner has already filed redacted versions of the sealed documents, expunging confidential materials after appeal addresses the “public interest

in maintaining a complete and understandable file history for public notice purposes” while protecting the confidential and proprietary information of other parties. (*See* 77 FED. REG. 48623). A short summary of these materials follows.

First, Patent Owner’s Motion for Additional Discovery (Paper 62) was not cited in the Board’s Final Written Decision and contains (1) confidential information consisting of internal TradeStation documents relating to its products and customers, (2) the deposition transcripts of Mr. Bartleman (TradeStation’s President) and Mr. Galik (IB’s head of software development) and (3) quotations from those documents and transcripts. This information has not been published or otherwise made public. The redacted information is not specifically referenced in the Board’s final decision.

Second, the redacted versions of Confidential Patent Owner’s Response (Paper 70) and Confidential Corrected Patent Owner’s Response (Paper 75) were cited in the Board’s Final Written Decision and contain declarations and exhibits that contain highly sensitive, non-public information that a business would not make public. Specifically, the redacted portions of Patent Owner’s Response (Paper 71) and Corrected Patent Owner’s Response (Paper 76) contain business sensitive statements in the context of licensing Patent Owner’s products. The redacted information is not specifically referenced in the Board’s final decision.

Third, the confidential information contained in Exhibits 2169, 2172, 2224, 2225, 2232, 2247, 2270, 2286, 2294, and 2295 was not relied upon in the Board’s Final

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.