Paper	No		_
Filed:	March	ı 21,	2016

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFIC	Έ
BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARI)

IBG LLC,
INTERACTIVE BROKERS LLC,
TRADESTATION GROUP, INC.,
TRADESTATION SECURITIES, INC.,
TRADESTATION TECHNOLOGIES, INC., and
IBFX, INC.,

Petitioner

v.

TRADING TECHNOLOGIES INTERNATIONAL, INC.,

Patent Owner

Case CBM2015-00181 U.S. Patent 7,676,411 B2

Patent Owner's Objections to Evidence Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.64



Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b)(1), Patent Owner objects to the following Petitioners' Exhibits:

- 1003 (U.S. Patent No. 5,077,665 to Silverman *et al.*);
- 1004 (U.S. Patent No. 5,297,031 to Gutterman *et al.*);
- 1005 (U.S. Patent No. 5,375,055 to Togher *et al.*);
- 1006 ("Futures/Option Purchasing System Trading Terminal Operation Guide," Tokyo Stock Exchange);
- 1007 (English Translation of "Futures/Option Purchasing System
 Trading Terminal Operation Guide," Tokyo Stock Exchange);
- 1008 (Certificate of Translation for "Futures/Option Purchasing System Trading Terminal Operation Guide");
- 1009 (WO 90/11571 to Belden et al.);
- 1010 (Deposition Transcript of Atsushi Kawashima dated November 21, 2005);
- 1014 (Alan Cooper, "About Face: The Essentials of User Interface Design");
- 1015 (Ben Shneiderman, "Designing the User Interface: Strategies for Effective Human-Computer Interaction," Third Edition);
- 1017 (Robert Deel, "The Strategic Electronic Day Trader");



- 1018 (U.S. Patent No. 5,263,134 to Paal *et al.*)
- 1022 (U.S. Patent No. 6,408,282 to Buist);
- 1026 (Weiss, "After the Trade is Made");
- 1027 (U.S. Patent No. 5,960,411 to Hartman *et al.*); and
- 1036 (Inside Macintosh, Promotional Edition, Apple Computer, Inc.).

I. OBJECTION TO PETITIONERS' EXHIBITS 1003-1009, 1014-1015,1017-1018, 1022, 1026-1027, and 1036

Patent Owner objects to Exhibits 1003-1009, 1014-1015, 1017-1018, 1022, 1026-1027, and 1036 to the extent that Petitioners rely on their contents for the truth of the matters asserted therein. Exhibits 1003-1009, 1014-1015, 1017-1018, 1022, 1026-1027, and 1036 are inadmissible hearsay under **FRE 801 and 802**, and no exception applies.

II. OBJECTION TO PETITIONERS' EXHIBITS 1006-1008

Petitioners have submitted no evidence to authenticate Exhibit 1006, and deficient evidence for Exhibit 1007 as set forth below, making both inadmissible under **FRE 901**.

Patent Owner also objects to Exhibit 1006-1008 under **FRE 602**. Petitioners fail to provide a credible translation of TSE and fail to conform with the Board's rules for submitting translations of foreign language documents. In particular, **37 C.F.R. § 42.63(b)** requires that "[w]hen a party relies on a document or is required



to produce a document in a language other than English, a translation of the document into English and an affidavit attesting to the accuracy of the translation must be filed with the document." The record lacks such an affidavit under Rule 42.63(b) attesting to the accuracy because Mr. Cohen: (1) incorrectly refers to "2014.05.19 - 1003 – TSE" as an English translation; and (2) on information and belief, he did not, himself, translate the Japanese language TSE into English, thereby demonstrating his lack of personal knowledge regarding the matter for which he is testifying. *See* FRE 602 (requiring personal knowledge to testify to a matter). Exhibit 1008 is noncompliant with 37 C.F.R. § 42.63(b). This makes Exhibit 1006 and 1007 inadmissible under 37 C.F.R. § 42.61(a) ("Evidence that is not taken, sought, or filed in accordance with this subpart is not admissible.").

Patent Owner further objects to Exhibit 1007 under **FRE 403**. Petitioners' Exhibit 1007 substitutes nearly verbatim Patent Owner's own translation of the TSE's Chapter 7 for the inaccurate translation previously provided by Petitioners' counsel. *Compare* Ex. 1007, 91-120 *with* Ex. 2024, Appx. E (CBM2014-00131 Ex. 2097). Despite having copied Patent Owner's translation into Exhibit 1007, on pages 7-25 and 7-26 (Exhibit 1007, 115-116), Petitioners omit two translator's notes from Patent Owner's original translation (Ex. 2024, 98-99). Exhibit 1007 is therefore incomplete, misleading, and inadmissible under **FRE 403**.

III. OBJECTION TO PETITIONERS EXHIBIT 1010



Case CBM2015-00181 U.S. Patent 7,676,411 B2

Patent Owner objects to Exhibit 1010 to the extent that Petitioners rely on its

contents for the truth of the matters asserted therein. Exhibit 1010 is inadmissible

hearsay under FRE 801 and 802, and no exception applies.

Patent Owner also objects to portions of Exhibit 1010 under FRE 401 and

402 as irrelevant, or in the alternative, under FRE 403 as prejudicial and waste of

time. Petitioners have cited only to 22 pages of the over 100-page exhibit. The

uncited portions are irrelevant, and, to the extent relevant, are prejudicial and a

waste of time.

IV. OBJECTION TO PETITIONERS' EXHIBITS 1003, 1004, 1018, 1022,

and 1027

Petitioners rely on Exhibits 1003, 1004, 1018, 1022, and 1027 as disclosing

certain features of the claims of the '411 patent. However, Exhibits 1003, 1004,

1018, 1022, and 1027 are irrelevant to the grounds (§§ 101 and 103) instituted by

the Board, and are therefore inadmissible under FRE 401 and 402 because they

lack a tendency to make any fact at issue in this proceeding more or less probable.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: March 21, 2016

By: /Rachel L. Emsley/

Rachel L. Emsley, Backup Counsel

Registration No. 63,558



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

