

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 2 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
 3 EASTERN DIVISION

4 TRADING TECHNOLOGIES INTERNATIONAL,)
 INC.,)
 5)
 Plaintiff,)
 6)
 v.) No. 04 C 5312
 7)
 eSPEED, INC., eSPEED INTERNATIONAL,)
 8 LTD., ECCO LLC, and ECCOWARE, LTD.,) Chicago, Illinois
) September 26, 2007
 9 Defendants.) 10:00 o'clock a.m.

10
 11 VOLUME 11-A
 TRIAL TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
 12 BEFORE THE HONORABLE JAMES B. MORAN, and a JURY

13 APPEARANCES:

14 Trading Technologies TRADING TECHNOLOGIES
 15 International, Inc., by: INTERNATIONAL, INC.,
 MR. STEVEN F. BORSAND
 16 222 South Riverside Drive
 Chicago, Illinois 60606
 17 312-476-1000
 steve.borsand@
 18 tradingtechnologies.com

and

19 McDONNELL, BOEHNEN, HULBERT &
 BERGHOFF, LTD.
 20 MR. PAUL H. BERGHOFF
 MR. S. RICHARD CARDEN
 21 MR. CHRISTOPHER M. CAVAN
 MR. MICHAEL D. GANNON
 22 MS. JENNIFER M. KURCZ
 MR. MATTHEW J. SAMPSON
 23 MR. LEIF R. SIGMOND
 300 South Wacker Drive
 24 Chicago, Illinois 60606
 312-913-0001
 25 berghoff@mbhb.com
 kurcz@mbhb.com

1 eSpeed, Inc., eSpeed
International, Inc.,
2 Ecco LLC, Eccoware,
LTD., by:

WINSTON & STRAWN
MR. GEORGE C. LOMBARDI
MR. RAYMOND C. PERKINS
MR. IMRON T. ALY
MR. KEVIN BANASIK
MS. ELIZABETH HARTFORD ERICKSON
MR. ANDREW M. JOHNSTONE
MS. TRACEY J. ALLEN
MR. JAMES M. HILMERT
35 West Wacker Drive
Chicago, Illinois 60601
312-558-5600
glombardi@winston.com
rperkins@winston.com

LAW OFFICES OF
GARY A. ROSEN, P.C.
MR. GARY A. ROSEN
1831 Chestnut Street, Suite 802
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103
215-972-0600

12 Rosenthal Collins Group,
13 LLC, by:

DOWELL BAKER
MR. GEOFFREY A. BAKER
201 Main Street
Lafayette, IN 47901
765-429-4004
gabaker@dowellbaker.com

23 Court Reporter:

MS. CAROLYN COX, CSR, RPR, CRR
Official Court Reporter
219 S. Dearborn Street, Suite 1854-B
Chicago, Illinois 60604
(312) 435-5639

1		
2	JOSEPH NOVIELLO, DIRECT EXAMINATION	2193
3	BY MR. LOMBARDI:	
4	JOSEPH NOVIELLO, CROSS-EXAMINATION	2218
5	BY MR. BERGHOFF:	
6	JOSEPH NOVIELLO, REDIRECT EXAMINATION	2250
7	BY MR. LOMBARDI:	
8	ATSUSHI KAWASHIMA, DIRECT EXAMINATION BY	2254
9	DEPOSITION	
10	BY MR. HILMERT:	
11	DAVID SILVERMAN, DIRECT EXAMINATION	2295
12	BY MR. PERKINS:	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1 (The following proceedings were had in open court,
10:10:14 2 outside the presence and hearing of the jury:)

10:10:14 3 THE COURT: Good morning. The one motion
10:10:15 4 that -- I think I only got one motion pending, don't I?

10:10:22 5 MR. CARDEN: It's the one on the
10:10:24 6 non-infringement --

10:10:26 7 THE COURT: Yes. As I understand eSpeed's
10:10:38 8 position, it is that with a centering mechanism in the
10:10:44 9 software that -- an automatic centering, that eSpeed
10:10:57 10 doesn't -- couldn't directly infringe because it would
10:11:00 11 have to be used without the centering to be infringement
10:11:06 12 so that it is a contributory infringement claim, and
10:11:14 13 eSpeed says unless we induce people to not use the
10:11:21 14 centering mechanism, then -- well, plaintiff has to show
10:11:27 15 inducement.

10:11:30 16 And as I understand the previous evidence, I
10:11:35 17 think only one witness touched on this and that was
10:11:38 18 basically that traders who are using multiple screens,
10:11:45 19 most of which are inactive at any given time so they are
10:11:48 20 concentrating on one screen, are going to use the
10:11:51 21 centering, automatic centering, because they are really
10:11:55 22 not paying any attention to it, and if they turn to
10:11:58 23 them, they want to have it centered, but the screen
10:12:02 24 they're using that's active that in those circumstances
10:12:07 25 traders by and large don't want a centering device

10:12:11 1 automatic because they want to have control over what's
10:12:15 2 happening. And am I correct in understanding what
10:12:20 3 eSpeed's position is?

10:12:22 4 MR. PERKINS: I believe that's essentially
10:12:24 5 correct, yes, your Honor.

10:12:25 6 THE COURT: Okay.

10:12:26 7 MR. SAMPSON: Your Honor, there's one
10:12:28 8 addition to that from TT's position and that is that,
10:12:33 9 you know, the demonstration and training is also use of
10:12:38 10 the method by eSpeed and the witness addressed that as
10:12:42 11 well.

10:12:42 12 THE COURT: Yes, they show them both ways.

10:12:44 13 MR. SAMPSON: Right.

10:12:46 14 MR. CARDEN: So that would actually be a
10:12:47 15 direct infringement.

10:12:48 16 MR. SAMPSON: That is a direct infringement.

10:12:50 17 THE COURT: So given that circumstance, do
10:12:52 18 people want to rely on what they've given me already, or
10:12:56 19 anybody want to file anything more?

10:12:59 20 MR. PERKINS: Just one further point on the
10:13:01 21 inducement, your Honor. There's also an intent element
10:13:04 22 to it that eSpeed --

10:13:06 23 THE COURT: I'm sorry?

10:13:07 24 MR. PERKINS: There is an intent element to
10:13:08 25 the inducement.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.