UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

IBG LLC, INTERACTIVE BROKERS LLC,
TRADESTATION GROUP, INC., TRADESTATION
SECURITIES, INC., TRADESTATION TECHNOLOGIES,
INC., and IBFX, INC.,
Petitioners,

v.

TRADING TECHNOLOGIES INTERNATIONAL, INC., Patent Owner.

Case CBM2015-00179 Patent 7,533,056 B2

Held: October 19, 2016

BEFORE: SALLY C. MEDLEY, MEREDITH C. PETRAVICK, and JEREMY M. PLENZLER, Administrative Patent Judges.

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing on Wednesday, October 19, 2016, commencing at 9:30 a.m., at the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, 600 Dulany Street, Alexandria, Virginia.



Case CBM2015-00179 Patent 7,533,056 B2 APPEARANCES:

ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER:

ROBERT SOKOHL, ESQ. RICHARD M. BEMBEN, ESQ. LORI A. GORDON, ESQ. KEVIN D. RODKEY, ESQ. Sterne Kessler Goldstein Fox 1100 New York Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20005

and

ADAM J. KESSEL, ESQ. Fish & Richardson P.C. One Marina Park Drive Boston, Massachusetts 02210-1878

ON BEHALF OF PATENT OWNER:

LEIF R. SIGMOND, JR., ESQ.
MICHAEL D. GANNON, ESQ.
McDonnell Boehnen Hulbert & Berghoff LLP
300 South Wacker Drive
Chicago, Illinois 60606-6709

and

ERIKA H. ARNER, ESQ.
RACHEL L. EMSLEY, ESQ.
CORY C. BELL, ESQ.
Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner
Two Freedom Square
11955 Freedom Drive
Reston, Virginia 20190-5675



Case CBM2015-00179 Patent 7,533,056 B2

| 1 | PROCEEDINGS |
|----|---|
| 2 | |
| 3 | JUDGE PETRAVICK: You may be seated. We are |
| 4 | going to take a minute to get Judge Plenzler in our hearing room |
| 5 | and log into our computers. |
| 6 | Good morning. We're here for a family of covered |
| 7 | business method proceedings, it's going to be CBM2015-00161, |
| 8 | 2015-00172, 2015-00179, 2015-00181 and 2015-00182. This |
| 9 | morning we're going to hear arguments in the 179 and the 172 |
| 10 | cases, according to the schedule we set forth. So, we'll start with |
| 11 | the 179 case. Each party has 30 minutes to argue the |
| 12 | presentation. |
| 13 | So, could we hear from the Petitioners first, who is |
| 14 | designated to argue? |
| 15 | MR. SOKOHL: Robert Sokohl, Your Honor. |
| 16 | JUDGE PETRAVICK: Okay. And with you at the |
| 17 | table? |
| 18 | MR. SOKOHL: We have at the table Richard Bemben |
| 19 | from Sterne Kessler and Adam Kessel from Fish & Richardson, |
| 20 | and also with me is Lori Gordon from Sterne Kessler. |
| 21 | JUDGE PETRAVICK: Thank you. |
| 22 | And for the Patent Owner? |
| 23 | MR. SIGMOND: Your Honor, Leif Sigmond, backup |
| 24 | counsel. I have with me Rachel Emsley, also backup counsel, |
| 25 | and lead counsel, Erika Arner. We also have two representatives |



Case CBM2015-00179 Patent 7,533,056 B2

- 1 from Trading Technologies here, Mr. Jay Knobloch and
- 2 Mr. Adam Faier.
- 3 JUDGE PETRAVICK: Thank you. All right.
- 4 Mr. Sokohl, you will have 30 minutes, would you like to reserve
- 5 any time for rebuttal?
- 6 MR. SOKOHL: Yes, Your Honor, ten minutes. Your
- 7 Honor, we also have Mr. William Cummings from Petitioner IBG
- 8 with us.
- 9 JUDGE PETRAVICK: I am going to set the clock for
- 10 20 minutes.
- 11 MR. SOKOHL: Thank you, Your Honor.
- The Supreme Court --
- JUDGE PETRAVICK: Just give me one minute.
- MR. SOKOHL: I'm sorry, Your Honor.
- JUDGE PETRAVICK: All right, begin when you're
- 16 ready.
- 17 MR. SOKOHL: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 18 As this Board knows, the Supreme Court has now put
- 19 forth a two-step test. I'm turning to slide 3. This two-step test, in
- 20 the first part, the critical question is what's the focus of the
- 21 methods? And the second part of the test, the question is, is there
- anything meaningful or is there an inventive concept?
- Now, as Petitioners put forth in its petition, the abstract
- idea or the focus of this claim is the abstract idea of graphing or
- 25 displaying bids and offers to assist the trader in making an order.



Case CBM2015-00179 Patent 7,533,056 B2

| 1 | I'm | iust | going | to put | t slide 4 | up, | which | has | the | claim | and | that |
|---|-----|------|-------|--------|-----------|-----|-------|-----|-----|-------|-----|------|
| | | | 00 | | | | | | | | | |

| _ | 4 | |
|---|-------------|------|
| , | abstract id | 00 |
| _ | abstraction | ıca. |

| _ | abstract raca. |
|----|---|
| 3 | Now, claim 1 of the '056 patent simply recites receiving |
| 4 | data to be graphed, displaying that received data, receiving user |
| 5 | input for an order, including a default quantity, and a price, and |
| 6 | then sending that trader's order to the exchange. But what's the |
| 7 | focus of this claim? The focus of this claim, if you look at two |
| 8 | places in the patent. First, field of the invention. The present |
| 9 | invention relates to graphical user interfaces for electronic trading |
| 10 | systems. |
| 11 | The second place we look is in the preamble and the last |
| 12 | step. This claim revolves around facilitating trading, and the last |
| 13 | step is actually sending the trade to the electronic exchange. |
| 14 | That's the focus of this claim. This is no different from Alice or |
| 15 | Bilski, where we had intermediated settlement, or hedging. |
| 16 | Now, Trading Technologies did not invent trading or |
| 17 | electronic trading, and the details that appear in the claim are |
| 18 | merely the standard market language that you would normally |
| 19 | see, bids and offers. Or GUI elements. That cannot save this |
| 20 | claim from abstractness. |
| 21 | Now, the Patent Owner argues that the '056 patent, and |
| 22 | particularly claim 1, helps visualize the data, but instead of |

Now, the Patent Owner argues that the '056 patent, and particularly claim 1, helps visualize the data, but instead of solving technical problem, the '056 patent simply displays what a trader has done in his mind since the beginning of trading. And we can look at that in slide 6. And this, again, is right from the



23

24

25

DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

