Paper	No	_	
Filed:	January	30,	2017

IBG LLC; INTERACTIVE BROKERS LLC; TRADESTATION GROUP, INC.; TRADESTATION SECURITIES, INC.; TRADESTATION TECHNOLOGIES, INC.; and IBFX, INC.

**Petitioners** 

v.

TRADING TECHNOLOGIES INTERNATIONAL, INC.

Patent Owner

Case CBM2015-00179 U.S. Patent 7,533,056

PATENT OWNER'S UPDATED EXHIBIT LIST



Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.63(e), Patent Owner Trading Technologies

International, Inc. hereby submits a current listing of Trading Technologies

Exhibits to counsel for Petitioners IBG, LLC, Interactive Brokers LLC,

TradeStation Group, Inc., TradeStation Securities, Inc., TradeStation

Technologies, Inc., and IBFX, Inc. Exhibits 2412 - 2416 were filed on PTAB E2E and served via email to counsel of record for the Petitioners.

<b>Filed Exhibits</b>	Description
Exhibit 2001	Transcript of Teleconference with the Board, dated
	November 23, 2015
Exhibit 2002	Versata Development Group, Inc. v. SAP America, Inc. and SAP
	AG, Case No. 2014-1194 (CAFC), Docket No. 61, Brief for the
	Intervenor - Director of the United States Patent and Trademark
	Office (May 1, 2014)
Exhibit 2003	Meyers, Brad A. "A Brief History of Human-Computer
	Interaction Technology." <i>Interactions</i> 5.2 (1998): 44-54
Exhibit 2004	National Aeronautics and Space Administration Web Page Print
	out, Technical Areas
Exhibit 2005	National Aeronautics and Space Administration Web Page Print
	out, Human Computer Interaction Group
Exhibit 2006	University of Washington Web Page Print out, Human-Computer
	Interaction Degree Option
Exhibit 2007	Rochester Institute of Technology Web Page Print out, Masters
	in Human Computer Interaction
Exhibit 2008	Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute Web Page Print out, M.S. in
	Human-Computer Interaction
Exhibit 2009	Tufts University Web Page Print out, Human-Computer
	Interaction Certificate Program
Exhibit 2010	Georgia Institute of Technology Web Page Print out, Human-
	Computer Interaction Master's Program
Exhibit 2011	DePaul University Web Page Print out, Master of Science
	Human-Computer Interaction
Exhibit 2012	Carnegie Mellon University Web Page Print out, Masters of
	Human-Computer Interaction



	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Exhibit 2013	Senate Congressional Record, S936-S953 (Feb. 28, 2011)
Exhibit 2014	Crossing the Finish Line on Patent Reform: What Can and
	Should Be Done, Hearing Before the Subcommittee on
	Intellectual Property, Competition, and the Internet of the
	Committee on the Judiciary House of Representatives (Feb. 11,
	2011)
Exhibit 2015	Markup of H.R. 1249, The America Invents Act, House of
	Representatives Committee on the Judiciary (Apr. 14, 2011)
Exhibit 2016	Study and Report on the Implementation of the Leahy-Smith
	America Invents Act, USPTO Report to Congress (Sept. 2015)
Exhibit 2017	Trading Tech Int'l v BGC Partners, Inc., Case No. 10-C-715
	(N.D.Ill.), Dkt. 613, Supplemental Response of Certain
	Defendants to TT's Emergency Motion (July 20, 2015)
Exhibit 2018	Trading Tech Int'l v BGC Partners, Inc., Case No. 10-C-715
	(N.D.Ill.), Transcript of Proceedings - Motion (September 10,
	2015)
Exhibit 2019	TD Ameritrade Holding Corporation et al. v. Trading
	Technologies International, Inc., Case No. CBM2014-000131,
	Declaration of Kendyl A. Román (May 17, 2014)
Exhibit 2020	TD Ameritrade Holding Corporation et al. v. Trading
	Technologies International, Inc., Case No. CBM2014-000131,
	Declaration of Harold Abilock (March 6, 2015)
Exhibit 2021	Trading Tech Int'l v BGC Partners, Inc., Case No. 10-C-715
	(N.D.III.), Dkt. 176, Defendants' Case Management Statement
	for May 5, 2011 Status Hearing (May 30, 2011)
Exhibit 2022	Trading Tech Int'l v BGC Partners, Inc., Case No. 10-C-715
	(N.D.III.), Dkt. 528, Position Statement of the TD Ameritrade
	Defendants on the Federal Circuit's Decision in <i>OEC</i> and How
	this Case Should Proceed (Feb. 20, 2014)
Exhibit 2023	Trading Technologies International, Inc. v. BCG Partners, Inc.,
	Case No. 1:10-CV-00715 (N.D. Ill.), Docket No. 562, Reply
	Memorandum in Support of the IBG Defendants' Motion to Stay
	Proceedings Pursuant to Section 18(b) of the America Invents
F 1 11 2004	Act (Jun. 18, 2014)
Exhibit 2024	Trading Tech Int'l v BGC Partners, Inc, Case No. 10-C-715
	(N.D.III.), Dkt. 558, TradeStation Defendants' Joinder In and
E 131 2007	Motion for Stay (June 11, 2014)
Exhibit 2025	Trading Tech Int'l v BGC Partners, Inc, Case No. 10-C-715
	(N.D.Ill.), Dkt. 546, Memorandum in Support of the TD



	U.S. Patent 7,355,030
	Ameritrade Defendants' Motion to Stay Proceedings Pursuant to
	Section 18(b) of the America Invents Act (May 22, 2014)
Exhibit 2026	Trading Tech Int'l v BGC Partners, Inc., Case No. 10-C-715
	(N.D.III.), Dkt. 609, Response of Certain Defendants to Trading
	Technologies' "Emergency" Motion (July 15, 2015)
Exhibit 2027	Trading Tech Int'l v TradeStation Securities, Inc. and
	TradeStation Group, Inc., Case No. 10-cv-884 (N.D.Ill.),
	TradeStation Securities, Inc.'s and TradeStation Group, Inc.'s
	Initial Invalidity Contentions Pursuant to Local Patent Rule 2.3
	(October 12, 2010)
Exhibit 2028	U.S. Patent No. 6,993,572 B2
Exhibit 2029	Trading Technologies International, Inc. v. eSpeed, Inc., eSpeed,
	International, Ltd., Ecco LLC and Ecco Ware Ltd., Case No.
	1:04-CV-05312 (N.D. Ill.), Volume 11-A Trial Transcript of
	Proceedings (September 26, 2007)
Exhibit 2030	Trading Technologies International, Inc. v. eSpeed, Inc., eSpeed,
	International, Ltd., and Ecco Ware Ltd., Case No. 1:04-CV-
	05312 (N.D. Ill.), Jury Verdict Form (October 10, 2007)
Exhibit 2031	Trading Technologies International, Inc. v. eSpeed, Inc., eSpeed,
	International, Ltd., and Ecco Ware Ltd., Case No. 1:04-CV-
	05312 (N.D. Ill.), Jury Instruction Form (October 10, 2007)
Exhibit 2032	Trading Techs. Int'l. Inc., v. eSpeed, Inc. et al., Case No. 04-cv-
	5312, Dkt. 1140, Notification of Docket Entry (January 3, 2008)
Exhibit 2033	79 Fed. Reg. 74618- 633, USPTO 2014 Interim Guidance on
	Patent Subject Matter Eligibility (December 16, 2014)
Exhibit 2034	July 2015 Update Appendix 1: Examples, USPTO Examination
	Guidelines
Exhibit 2035	The American Heritage College Dictionary Fourth Edition, 100
	(2002): Definition of "Axis"
Exhibit 2036	Served
Exhibit 2037	Office Action in Ex Parte Reexamination 90/013,578 (Jan. 28,
	2016)
Exhibit 2038 –	Reserved
2102	
Exhibit 2103	Served
Exhibit 2104 -	Reserved
Exhibit 2106	
Exhibit 2107	Transcript of Teleconference with the Board, dated May 11,
	1



	U.S. Patent 7,355,030
	2016
Exhibit 2108 –	Reserved
Exhibit 2115	
Exhibit 2116	Thomas Ex. C - U.S. Patent No. 6,772,132
Exhibit 2117 –	Reserved
Exhibit 2120	
Exhibit 2121	U.S. Patent Classification System – Classification Definitions – Class 705
Exhibit 2122	Reserved
Exhibit 2123	Reserved
Exhibit 2124	Class 345, Computer Graphics Processing and Selective Visual
Lamon 2124	Display Systems, (January 2011): 1-8
Exhibit 2125	July 2015 Update Appendix 1: Examples, USPTO Examination
	Guidelines
Exhibit 2126 -	Reserved
Exhibit 2139	
Exhibit 2140	Transcript of Teleconference with the Board, dated June 13,
	2016
Exhibit 2141	Transcript of Teleconference with the Board, dated June 14,
	2016
Exhibit 2142	Trading Techs. Int'l v. BGC Partners, Inc., Case No. 10-C-715
	(N.D. Ill.), Transcript of Proceedings (May 12, 2016)
Exhibit 2143	TS0028765
Exhibit 2144	TS0107054
Exhibit 2145	TS0024612
Exhibit 2146	TS1261405
Exhibit 2147	TS1268720
Exhibit 2148	TS1533977
Exhibit 2149	TS1525667
Exhibit 2150	Video Deposition Transcript of John Bartleman, dated June 8,
	2016 in Trading Technologies International, Inc. v. BGC
	Parnters, Inc., Case No. 1:10-cv-715 (Consolidated) (N.D. Ill.).
Exhibit 2151	Draft Video Deposition Transcript of John Bartleman, dated June
	9, 2016 in Trading Technologies International, Inc. v. BGC
	Parnters, Inc., Case No. 1:10-cv-715 (Consolidated) (N.D. Ill.).
Exhibit 2152	Listing Additional Discovery Sought: Produced Documents and
	Transcripts
Exhibit 2153	Listing of Additional Discovery Sought: Documents Withheld in
	District Court



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

