Page 1

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

IBG LLC; INTERACTIVE BROKERS LLC; TRADESTATION GROUP, INC.; TRADESTATION SECURITIES, INC.; TRADESTATION TECHNOLOGIES, INC.; and IBFX, INC.

Petitioner

v.

TRADING TECHNOLOGIES INTERNATIONAL, INC.

Patent Owner

CBM2015-00161 (Patent No. 6,766,304 B2) CBM2015-00172 (Patent No. 7,783,556 B1) CBM2015-00179 (Patent No. 7,533,056 B2) CBM2015-00181 (Patent No. 7,676,411 B2) CBM2015-00182 (Patent No. 6,772,132 B1)

Deposition of ERIC GOULD-BEAR, taken at McDonnell Boehnen Hulbert & Berghoff LLP, before Donna M. Kazaitis, CSR, RPR, CLR, and CRR, commencing at the hour of 9:10 a.m. on Thursday, August 4, 2016.

> DIGITAL EVIDENCE GROUP 1730 M Street, NW, Suite 812 Washington, D.C. 20036 (202) 232-0646

1 2	APPEARANCES:	1	ERIC GOULD BEAR,
2	ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER:	2	having been first duly sworn, was examined and
4		3	testified as follows:
_	STERNE KESSLER GOLDSTEIN FOX	4	EXAMINATION
5	BY: ROBERT SOKOHL, ESQ. RICHARD M. BEMBEN, ESQ.	5	BY MR. SOKOHL:
6	1100 New York Avenue, NW	6	
	Washington, DC 20005		Q. Could you state your name for the
7	202.371.2600	7	record.
8	rsokohl@skgf.com	8	A. Eric Gould Bear.
9	rbemben@skgf.com	9	MS. KURCZ: Once again, can we
10		10	introduce ourselves for the record, please.
11	ON BEHALF OF THE PATENT OWNER:	11	MR. SOKOHL: Absolutely.
12	MCDONNELL DOELNEN HUI DEDT & DEDCHOEELLD	12	-
13	MCDONNELL BOEHNEN HULBERT & BERGHOFF LLP BY: JENNIFER M. KURCZ, ESQ.		MS. KURCZ: Good morning. Jennifer
	300 South Wacker Drive	13	Kurcz on behalf of the Patent Owner Trading
14	Chicago, Illinois 60606-6709	14	Technologies and the witness. I also have with me
15	312.913.3311	15	Cory Bell.
15 16	kurcz@mbhb.com	16	MR. SOKOHL: And Robert Sokohl on
17	FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,	17	behalf of IBG and Tradestation, petitioners, from
	GARRETT & DUNNER LLP	18	-
18	BY: CORY C. BELL, ESQ.		Sterne Kessler Goldstein & Fox, and with me is
19	Two Seaport Lane Boston, Massachusetts 02210-2001	19	Richard Bemben.
	617.646.1600	20	BY MR. SOKOHL:
20	cory.bell@finnegan.com	21	Q. Good morning.
21		22	Have you been deposed before?
22			
	Page 2		Page 4
1	INDEX	1	A. Yes.
2	PAGE	2	Q. How many times?
3	ERIC GOULD-BEAR	3	A. Five or six.
4	Examination by Mr. Sokohl 4	4	
5			Q. So you know some of the procedures
6 7	EXHIBITS TRADING TECH RACE	5	that we have to go through here, but I'm going to
8	TRADING TECH PAGE Exhibit 2168 Declaration of Eric Gould 12	6	go through a few of them here today.
0	Bear	7	We're going to probably take a
9	bear	8	break every hour. If you want to take a break at
10	IBG	9	any time, just ask and we'll take a break. All I
11	Exhibit 1001 U.S. Patent 7,676,411 B2 36	10	ask is that you answer the question that's pending
	(CBM2015-00181)		
12		11	and then we'll take a break.
1 0	Exhibit 1001 U.S. Patent 7,533,056 B2 38	12	A. Okay.
13 14	(CBM2015-00179)	13	Q. You have to give verbal a responses.
14	Exhibit 1001 U.S. Patent 6,772,132 B1 38	14	You can't nod your head. You've got to say "Yes"
15	(CBM2015-00182)	15	or "No" or give an answer. That's for the court
16	TS	16	reporter. I'll recognize your nonverbal response
17	Exhibit 1001 U.S. Patent 6,766,304 B2 37	17	· · · ·
	(CBM2015-00161)		but the court reporter can't, so I'd just ask that
18		18	you give a verbal answer.
	Exhibit 1001 U.S. Patent 7,783,556 B1 38	19	A. Okay.
19	(CBM2015-00172)	20	Q. Great. Let's try not to speak over
20		21	each other. I think we'll probably do a pretty
21 22		22	good job of that. But for the court reporter
22			Beerlijse et anne Butter die courreporter
	Page 3		Page 5
			Pages 2 to 5
			,

**A L A R M** Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

1	again, if we speak over each other she has trouble	1	Q. Knobloch?
2	taking that down.	2	A. Yes. Thank you.
3	If you don't understand a question	3	Q. Anyone else?
4	I'm asking, I would ask you just to let me know	4	A. I don't think so.
5	that and I'll try to rephrase it. If you've	5	Q. And other attorneys that's fine.
6	answered the question, I'm going to assume you	6	Just other attorneys.
7	· · · · ·	7	A. Yes.
8	understood it. Is that acceptable? A. Yes.	8	
9		9	Q. You mentioned that you reviewed your
10	Q. Are you on any medications today?	10	report and some documents. I don't want to
11	A. No.		mischaracterize that, but I think that's what you
	Q. Any reason you can't give truthful	11	said.
12	testimony today?	12	What documents did you review in
13	A. No.	13	preparation for this deposition?
14	Q. You understand you're under oath?	14	MS. KURCZ: Objection, work product.
15	A. Yes.	15	To the extent you didn't rely on any information
16	Q. How did you prepare for today's	16	or any information refreshed your recollection, I
17	deposition?	17	instruct the witness not to respond to that.
18	MS. KURCZ: Objection. Just counsel	18	BY MR. SOKOHL:
19	the witness to the extent it would call for	19	Q. You can answer.
20	revealing attorney-client communications or work	20	A. I'm going to follow counsel's advice.
21	product information, not to do so.	21	Q. The documents you reviewed, were they
22		22	provided to you by counsel?
	Page 6		Page 8
1	BY MR. SOKOHL:	1	A. Yes.
2	Q. You can answer.	2	Q. All the documents that you reviewed
3	A. Are you asking about the preparation	3	were provided to you by counsel?
4	this week in advance, or are you talking about the	4	A. No.
5	preparation and the writing of my report?	5	Q. What documents did you review that
6	Q. Separating the two. So all I'm	6	were not provided by counsel?
7	interested in right now is how did you prepare for	7	A. The exhibits to my report.
8	today's deposition?	8	Q. And you reviewed other documents other
9	A. I reviewed my report, I reviewed some	9	than what was attached to your report?
10	of the materials, and spent time with counsel.	10	A. Yes.
11	Q. What counsel did you meet with?	11	Q. And I'll ask you what those documents
12	A. Present counsel.	12	were.
13	Q. How long did you meet with counsel?	13	MS. KURCZ: Objection, work product.
_		1	oojeenon, work product.
14		14	MR. SOKOHL: I don't believe that's
	A. Two days.	14 15	MR. SOKOHL: I don't believe that's work product. Counsel. In fact, your own
14	<ul><li>A. Two days.</li><li>Q. Was there anyone else present other</li></ul>		work product, Counsel. In fact, your own
14 15	<ul><li>A. Two days.</li><li>Q. Was there anyone else present other than counsel during those meetings?</li></ul>	15	work product, Counsel. In fact, your own co-counsel asked the exact same question of our
14 15 16	<ul><li>A. Two days.</li><li>Q. Was there anyone else present other than counsel during those meetings?</li><li>A. Yes.</li></ul>	15 16	work product, Counsel. In fact, your own co-counsel asked the exact same question of our expert and he answered that question.
14 15 16 17	<ul><li>A. Two days.</li><li>Q. Was there anyone else present other than counsel during those meetings?</li><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. Who?</li></ul>	15 16 17 18	work product, Counsel. In fact, your own co-counsel asked the exact same question of our expert and he answered that question. MS. KURCZ: And your own co-counsel
14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. Two days.</li> <li>Q. Was there anyone else present other than counsel during those meetings?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Who?</li> <li>A. Other attorneys and representatives of</li> </ul>	15 16 17	work product, Counsel. In fact, your own co-counsel asked the exact same question of our expert and he answered that question. MS. KURCZ: And your own co-counsel provided the same objection with respect to
14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>A. Two days.</li> <li>Q. Was there anyone else present other than counsel during those meetings?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Who?</li> <li>A. Other attorneys and representatives of Trading Technologies.</li> </ul>	15 16 17 18 19 20	work product, Counsel. In fact, your own co-counsel asked the exact same question of our expert and he answered that question. MS. KURCZ: And your own co-counsel provided the same objection with respect to Mr. Roman's testimony regarding which documents he
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A. Two days.</li> <li>Q. Was there anyone else present other than counsel during those meetings?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Who?</li> <li>A. Other attorneys and representatives of Trading Technologies.</li> <li>Q. Who from Trading Technologies?</li> </ul>	15 16 17 18 19 20 21	work product, Counsel. In fact, your own co-counsel asked the exact same question of our expert and he answered that question. MS. KURCZ: And your own co-counsel provided the same objection with respect to Mr. Roman's testimony regarding which documents he reviewed.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. Two days.</li> <li>Q. Was there anyone else present other than counsel during those meetings?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Who?</li> <li>A. Other attorneys and representatives of Trading Technologies.</li> </ul>	15 16 17 18 19 20	work product, Counsel. In fact, your own co-counsel asked the exact same question of our expert and he answered that question. MS. KURCZ: And your own co-counsel provided the same objection with respect to Mr. Roman's testimony regarding which documents he
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. Two days.</li> <li>Q. Was there anyone else present other than counsel during those meetings?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Who?</li> <li>A. Other attorneys and representatives of Trading Technologies.</li> <li>Q. Who from Trading Technologies?</li> </ul>	15 16 17 18 19 20 21	work product, Counsel. In fact, your own co-counsel asked the exact same question of our expert and he answered that question. MS. KURCZ: And your own co-counsel provided the same objection with respect to Mr. Roman's testimony regarding which documents he reviewed.

Pages 6 to 9

**A L A R M** Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

DOCKET A L A R M

LARM

1	withdrawn and we allowed the witness to answer the	1	Q. What were those cases?
2	questions.	2	A. If I could have a copy of my CV, that
3	BY MR. SOKOHL:	3	would help.
4	Q. So you can answer the question.	4	Q. Absolutely.
5	A. If you could repeat the question,	5	So let me hand you your expert
6	please.	6	report which I believe actually, let me
7	Q. Sure. What other documents did you	7	rephrase that.
8	review that were provided to you by counsel?	8	Let me hand you your declaration,
9	MS. KURCZ: Objection, work product.	9	and that has been marked Trading Tech Exhibit
10	BY MR. SOKOHL:	10	2168. And this is in the following CBM
11	Q. You can answer.	11	proceedings: CBM2015-00161, CBM2015-00172,
12	A. So that puts me in a bind.	12	CBM2015-00179, CBM2015-00181, and CBM2015-00182.
13	Q. It doesn't put you in a bind. You can	13	(Document tendered to the witness.)
14	answer the question. Unless counsel instructs you	14	A. Thank you.
15	not to answer, you can answer the question. She	15	Q. Do you recognize this document?
16	objected, you can now answer.	16	A. It looks like my declaration.
17	A. Okay. Thanks for the clarification.	17	Q. And is that your signature on Page 29?
18	Q. That's the way it works, is that if	18	A. Yes, it is.
19	counsel instructs you not to answer, you're free	19	Q. And you signed it on June 26, 2016?
20	to take her advice and not answer a question. But	20	A. Yes.
21	if she doesn't	21	Q. And so my previous question was do you
22	MR. SOKOHL: I'm not trying to counsel	22	recall the specific cases where you were deposed?
	Page 10		Page 12
	1490 10		
1	your client. Hopefully you understand that.	1	A. Yes. In Mad Catz Interactive v. Razor
2	BY MR. SOKOHL:	2	USA, in MONKEYmedia v. Apple, in MONKEYmedia v.
3	Q. If she objects, you can answer the	3	Walt Disney, et al. Those are the ones I can
4	question, moving forward.	4	recall.
5	A. Okay.	5	Q. I'll put a little star next to it.
6	I reviewed three of the patents	6	Since I can't seem to find it,
7	that were provided by counsel and articles I had	7	where is Mad Catz?
8	written in the past.	8	A. It's on the bottom of the first page
9	Q. Anything else?	9	of my CV.
10	A. I don't believe counsel provided me	10	Q. Thank you.
11	any other materials this week in preparation.	11	Why were you deposed in Mad Catz
12	Q. You mentioned that you had been	12	Interactive versus Razor?
13	deposed approximately five times before.	13	A. McKool Smith represented Razor and
14	Do you recall the specifics of	14	hired me as a testifying expert in the matter.
15	those depositions?	15	Q. Were you hired as an expert?
16	MS. KURCZ: Objection, form.	16	A. Yes.
17	THE WITNESS: What sort of specifics	17	Q. And what was your area of expertise in
18	are you looking for?	18	that case?
19	BY MR. SOKOHL:	19	A. In user experience, user interface,
20	Q. Sure. Do you remember the specific	20	human factors.
21	cases where you were deposed?	21	Q. Do you know if that case went to
22	A. Yes.	22	trial let me rephrase that.
	Page 11		Page 13

Pages 10 to 13

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

1	De sur la su the sutering of the t	1	
2	Do you know the outcome of that	2	A. I provided several declarations.
3	case?	3	Q. Did you just going back to Mad Catz
4	A. I believe it settled.	4	versus Razor. Did you provide an expert report in
5	Q. How many times were you deposed in	5	that case?
	that case?	6	A. It was either a report or a
6	A. Once.		declaration. I don't recall.
7	Q. Was Razor the defendant or the patent	7	Q. What was the outcome of MONKEYmedia
8	holder that's a horrible question.	8	versus Apple lawsuit?
9	Was Razor the patent holder?		A. It's still pending.
10	A. They were a patent holder. They were	10	Q. In MONKEYmedia versus Walt Disney
11	the defendant in a patent suit by Mad Catz with	11	Company, et al., in what context were you deposed
12	counterclaims of their own patents.	12	in that case?
13	Q. And were you an expert on behalf of	13	A. The same as in the Apple case.
14	Razor in regard to their patents, or were you an	14	Q. And you acted as an expert for
15	expert in regard to defending a lawsuit that was	15	MONKEYmedia?
16	brought against Razor regarding patents?	16	MS. KURCZ: Objection, form.
17	A. Both.	17	THE WITNESS: Could you reframe the
18	Q. And in MONKEYmedia versus Apple, what	18	question?
19	was the context of your deposition in that case?	19	MR. SOKOHL: Sure.
20	A. MONKEYmedia filed patent infringement	20	BY MR. SOKOHL:
21	suits against Apple, and so I was deposed	21	Q. Were you deposed as an expert in the
22	regarding claim construction.	22	MONKEYmedia versus Walt Disney Company case?
	Page 14		Page 16
1	Q. Which side did you represent?	1	A. Yes.
2	A. MONKEYmedia.	2	Q. And did you provide an expert report
3	MS. KURCZ: Objection, form.	3	or declaration in that case?
4	THE WITNESS: To be clear, I didn't	4	A. Several declarations.
5	represent anybody. I'm not a lawyer.	5	Q. How many times were you deposed in the
6	BY MR. SOKOHL:	6	MONKEYmedia versus Walt Disney case?
7	Q. What was the did you work for	7	A. At least three. And those were the
8	MONKEYmedia?	8	same depositions with Apple.
9	A. Yes. I'm an owner of MONKEYmedia.	9	Q. Okay. So they happened at the same
10	Q. So you were being deposed for what	10	time?
11	purpose in MONKEYmedia versus Apple?	11	A. Yes.
12	A. As expert testimony.	12	Q. You said that you had been deposed on
13	Q. So you act as an expert for	13	claim construction; is that correct?
14	MONKEYmedia?	14	A. That's correct.
15	A. Yes.	15	Q. Has the court ruled in that case
16	Q. And you're the owner of MONKEYmedia?	16	regarding claim construction?
17	A. Yes.	17	A. Yes.
18	Q. Okay. How many times were you deposed	18	Q. Did the court agree with your analysis
19	in that case, MONKEYmedia versus Apple?	19	in that case?
20	A. At least three times.	20	MS. KURCZ: Objection, form.
20		21	THE WITNESS: On several points, yes.
21	Q. Did you provide an expert report in MONKEY media varsus Apple?	22	THE WITNESS. On several points, yes.
22	MONKEYmedia versus Apple?		
	Page 15		Page 17

Pages 14 to 17

**A L A R M** Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

## DOCKET A L A R M



# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

### **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

#### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

#### E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.