

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT TRIAL & APPEAL BOARD**

**TRADESTATION GROUP, INC. AND  
TRADESTATION SECURITIES, INC.**

**Petitioners,**

**v.**

**TRADING TECHNOLOGIES INTERNATIONAL, INC.**

**Patent Owner**

**U.S. Patent No. 7,783,556**

**PETITION FOR COVERED BUSINESS METHOD REVIEW UNDER 35  
U.S.C. § 321 AND § 18 OF THE LEAHY-SMITH AMERICA INVENTS ACT**

**Mail Stop PATENT BOARD**  
Patent Trial and Appeal Board  
U.S. Patent & Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION .....	1
II.	MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b) .....	2
A.	Real-Parties-In-Interest.....	2
B.	Related Matters.....	2
C.	Lead and Back-Up Counsel.....	3
III.	PAYMENT OF FEES .....	3
IV.	SUMMARY OF THE '556 PATENT .....	4
A.	Subject Matter Background.....	4
B.	The '556 Patent Specification.....	5
C.	The '556 patent Claims.....	10
V.	FOUNDATIONS FOR STANDING.....	14
A.	The '556 Patent Is Directed to a Covered Business Method.....	15
B.	The '556 Patent Is Not Directed to a Technological Invention.....	19
VI.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.304(b)(3) .....	23
A.	Broadest Reasonable Interpretation.....	23
1.	“Computer readable Medium” .....	24
VII.	CHALLENGE AND RELIEF REQUESTED.....	24
VIII.	IT IS MORE LIKELY THAN NOT THAT AT LEAST ONE CLAIM OF THE '556 PATENT IS UNPATENTABLE.....	25
A.	GROUND 1: Claims 1-22 Are Patent-Ineligible Under 35 USC § 101.....	25
1.	The '556 patent claims are directed to an abstract idea .....	27
2.	The '556 patent claim elements – either separately or as an ordered combination – do not include “significantly more” than the abstract concept .....	30
3.	The '556 patent claims are neither tied to a “particular machine” nor do they “transform a particular article into a different state or thing” .....	32
4.	The dependent claims also are patent ineligible .....	34
5.	Finding the '556 patent claims patent ineligible is consistent with post- <i>Alice</i> Federal Circuit case law.....	36
(a)	<i>Versata Dev. Group, Inc. v. SAP Am., Inc.</i> , slip op. (Fed. Cir. July 9, 2015).....	36
(b)	<i>OIP Technologies, Inc. v. Amazon.com, Inc.</i> , slip op. (Fed. Cir. June 11, 2015).....	38

(c) *Internet Patents Corp. v. Active Network, Inc.*, slip op. (Fed. Cir. June 23, 2015).....40

(d) *Ultramercial, LLC v. Hulu, LLC*, 772 F.3d 709 (Fed. Cir. 2014) 41

(e) *DDR Holdings, LLC v. Hotels.com L.P.*, 773 F.3d 1245 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....43

B. GROUND 2: Claims 12-22 Are Outside the Four Permissible Statutory Classes of Patentable Subject Matter .....46

C. CONCLUSION.....48

## EXHIBITS

TS-1001	U.S. Patent No. 7,783,556 to Scott F. Singer, et al. (“’556 patent”)
TS-1002	Prosecution History of the ’556 patent
TS-1003	Lodewijk Petram, “The World’s First Stock Exchange.”
TS-1004	Ellen Terrell, “History of the American and NASDAQ Stock Exchanges”, September, 2006 (Updated October, 2012)
TS-1005	U.S. Patent 6,317,728 (Kane)
TS-1006	Case No. 10-cv-0715 (N.D. Ill.) (Complaint for Patent Infringement).
TS-1007	<i>CRS Advanced Technologies, Inc. v. Frontline Technologies, Inc.</i> , CBM2012-00005, Paper No. 17 (Jan. 23, 2013)
TS-1008	157 Cong. Rec. S5402 (daily ed. Sept. 8, 2011)
TS-1009	SAP v. Versata, CBM2012-00001, Paper No. 36 (Jan. 9, 2013)
TS-1010	The American Heritage Dictionary of the English Language, 4th Ed.
TS-1011	The American Heritage Dictionary of the English Language, 3d Ed.
TS-1012	MPEP 2106
TS-1013	Patent Trial Practice Guide
TS-1014	<i>Volusion, Inc. v. Versata Software, Inc.</i> , CBM2013-00018, Institution Decision, Paper No. 8

## I. INTRODUCTION

TradeStation Group, Inc. and TradeStation Securities, Inc. (collectively, “Petitioners” or “TradeStation”) petition for Covered Business Method (“CBM”) Review of claims 1-22 (“the CBM Claims”) of U.S. Patent No. 7,783,556 (“’556 patent”; TS-1001), which is owned by Trading Technologies International, Inc. (“Patent Owner” or “TT”). As explained in this petition, it is more likely than not that at least one claim of the ’556 patent is invalid under 35 U.S.C. § 101 as being directed to patent ineligible subject matter.

Generally speaking, the ’556 patent relates to a business method for displaying market information to a financial trader using a computing device. The ’556 patent admits that the basic idea of using a computer having a graphical user interface (“GUI”) to display and update market information, and otherwise enable a trader to interact with an electronic financial exchange, was well known. (TS-1001, ’556 patent at Figs. 1-2 and 1:52-2:17) The purported invention of the ’556 patent was simply to add another item of well-known financial information – namely, the profit or loss a trader would incur upon making a particular trade – to an electronic trading GUI that the patent admits is prior art. (*Id.*) Providing financial information to facilitate market trades – the basic idea of the ’556 patent claims – is “a fundamental economic practice long prevalent in our system of commerce.” *Alice Corp. Pty. Ltd. v. CLS Bank Int’l*, 134 S. Ct. 2347, 2356 (2014). Adding profit/loss

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.