	Page 1
1	
2	UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
3	
4	BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD
5	
6	IBG LLC, INTERACTIVE BROKERS LLC,
	TRADESTATION GROUP, INC., TRADESTATION SECURITIES,
7	INC., TRADESTATION TECHNOLOGIES, INC., and IBFX, INC.,
8	Petitioners,
9	v.
10	TRADING TECHNOLOGIES INTERNATIONAL, INC.,
11	Patent Owner.
12	
13	CBM2015-00161 (Patent No. 6,766,304 B2)
	CBM2015-00172 (Patent No. 7,783,556)
14	CBM2015-00179 (Patent No. 7,533,056 B2)
1 -	CBM2015-00181 (Patent No. 7,676,411 B2)
15 16	CBM2015-00182 (Patent No. 6,772,132 B1)
16 17	 July 15, 2016 - 9:00 a.m.
1 7 1 8	
19	TELECONFERENCE IN THE ABOVE MATTER
20	BEFORE: JEREMY M. PLENZLER
	MEREDITH C. PETRAVICK
21	SALLY C. MEDLEY
	Administrative Patent Judges
22	
23	
	VERITEXT NATIONAL COURT REPORTING COMPANY
24	MID-ATLANTIC REGION
	1250 Eye Street, NW, Suite 1201
25	Washington, DC 20005

Veritext Legal Solutions 215-241-1000 ~ 610-434-8588 ~ 302-571-0510 ~ 202-803-8830



Page 2 1 APPEARANCES: 2 STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX, P.L.L.C. ROBERT E. SOKOHL, ESQUIRE 3 LORI A. GORDON, ESQUIRE 4 RICHARD M. BEMBEN, ESQUIRE 1100 New York Avenue, N.W. 5 Washington, D.C. 20005-3934 202-371-2600 6 rsokohl-ptab@skgf.com lgordon-ptab@skgf.com 7 rbemben-ptab@skgf.com and 8 FISH & RICHARDSON ADAM J. KESSEL, ESQUIRE BY: 9 One Marina Park Drive Boston, MA 02210-1878 10 617-368-2180 kessel@fr.com 11 Representing the Petitioners 12 FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & 13 DUNNER, LLP BY: RACHEL L. EMSLEY, ESQUIRE 14 Two Seaport Lane Boston, MA 02210-2001 15 617-646-1600 rachel.emsley@finnegan.com 16 and BY: ERIKA HARMON ARNER, ESQUIRE 17 Two Freedom Square 11955 Freedom Drive Reston, VA 20190-5675 18 571-203-2754 19 erika.arner@finnegan.com Representing the Patent Owner 2.0 21 22 23 24 25



Page 3 1 JUDGE PLENZLER: Good morning. This 2. is Judge Plenzler at the Patent Trial and Appeal Board. This is the conference call for 3 CBM2015-00161, '172, '179, '181, and '182. I'm 4 5 joined on the call by Judges Medley and Petravick. Do we have someone on the call for 6 7 Petitioner? MR. SOKOHL: Yes, Your Honor. This 8 is Rob Sokohl and with me today is Lori Gordon, Richard Bemben, and Adam Kessel. 10 11 JUDGE PLENZLER: All right. Thank 12 you. 13 And for Patent Owner? 14 MS. EMSLEY: For Patent Owner, this 15 is Rachel Emsley, and with me on the call is Erica 16 Arner. 17 JUDGE PLENZLER: All right. Thank 18 you. 19 And is there a court reporter? 20 THE COURT REPORTER: There is, Your 21 Honor. 2.2 JUDGE PLENZLER: All right. 23 And I assume that's Patent Owner's 24 court reporter? 2.5 MS. EMSLEY: That's correct.



Page 4

JUDGE PLENZLER: All right. And I'm sure by now you're familiar with the procedure for getting that in the record, so I won't go over the details.

Patent Owner requested this call, it looks like, to discuss a request to file an offer of proof, so we're going to hear from them first.

But before we do, I would just like a little background information, just to clarify the whole procedure for how this all came about, primarily with respect to the request and the grant of relief from the District Court for the protective order.

If you could just explain, just very briefly, the timeline as far as, you know, when you received the information that the District Court has now given you relief to use, when you requested permission to use it, and then when the District Court ultimately granted that relief, that would be appreciated.

MS. EMSLEY: Yes, Your Honor. This is Rachel Emsley. I need to look at the exact filings in the District Court. But when the Board denied TT's motion for additional discovery, the Board noted that the District Court could authorize



2.5

Page 5

the Patent Owner to use the District Court discovery in these proceedings, that discovery being subject to the District Court's protective order, as you know.

So following that denial of additional discovery, Trading Technologies filed a motion with the District Court for relief from the protective order so that we could submit the documents themselves in an offer of proof, getting relief from the protective order.

So on July 13th the District Court entered an order that adopts the District Court's ruling as stated in open court in a hearing on July 7th. So both parties were represented at that hearing.

The District Court therefore granted permission for TT to submit the documents and transcripts identified in the motion for additional discovery, and that's the same discovery that the District Court previously granted despite the stay because of its potential relevance to this PTAB proceeding. And this was specific for the offer of proof in the PTAB.

So I'm not sure exactly the date that that was filed. I need to look back at that for a



2.5

DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

