

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

ServiceNow, Inc.,  
Petitioner

v.

BMC Software, Inc.,  
Patent Owner

---

Case CBM2015-00170  
Patent 6,646,093

---

**JOINT MOTION TO TERMINATE WITH  
REQUEST TO KEEP SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT SEPARATE AND  
TREATED AS BUSINESS CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION**

***Mail Stop "PATENT BOARD"***  
Patent Trial and Appeal Board  
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**I. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF REQUESTED RELIEF**

On April 15, 2016, the Board authorized the parties to jointly file Motions to Terminate several proceedings pending between Petitioner ServiceNow, Inc. (“ServiceNow”) and Patent Owner BMC Software, Inc. (“BMC Software”) in an e-mail communication responsive to Patent Owner’s Request for a Conference Call. The Board instructed the parties to (1) include a brief explanation as to why termination is appropriate; (2) identify all defendants in any related district court litigation involving the challenged patents; and (3) discuss the current status of each such related litigation with respect to each party to the litigation.

Accordingly, ServiceNow and BMC Software jointly move to terminate the above-captioned proceeding pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 327(a) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.72 in view of the parties’ agreement to settle their disputes. Accompanying this Motion is a copy of the confidential settlement agreement that settled the claims of three lawsuits between the parties. The parties jointly request that the confidential agreement be kept separate and treated as business confidential information pursuant to 37 CFR § 42.74(c). As explained below, the parties respectfully submit that there is good cause for granting their motion to terminate and their request to keep the confidential settlement agreement separate and treated as business confidential information.

## II. BACKGROUND AND RELEVANT FACTS

On August 10, 2015, Petitioner ServiceNow filed a petition requesting covered business method review of U.S. Patent No. 6,646,093 (the “Petition”). Patent Owner BMC Software filed a preliminary response on November 17, 2015. The Board issued its decision granting the petition on February 16, 2016.

On March 8, 2016, the parties agreed to settle their respective claims against each other (in three separate cases) in a Confidential Settlement Agreement (“the Confidential Agreement”) executed by the parties. In accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 327(b) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(b), a true copy of the Confidential Agreement is submitted herewith as Exhibit 2004. Pursuant to 37 CFR § 42.74(c), the parties jointly request that the Confidential Agreement filed as Exhibit 2004 be treated as business confidential information and kept separate from the files of the subject patent.

Pursuant to the terms of the Confidential Agreement settling the three cases, the parties have moved to dismiss all pending matters between them in the appropriate jurisdictions, including the pending CBM and IPR proceedings before the Patent Trial and Appeal Board that are the subject of this and simultaneously filed joint motions to terminate.

The aforementioned dismissed matters are listed below:

- *BMC Software, Inc. v. ServiceNow, Inc.*, Case No. 2:14-CV-903-JRG (Eastern District of Texas);
- *BMC Software, Inc. v. ServiceNow, Inc.*, Case No. 2:16-CV-132-JRG (Eastern District of Texas); and
- *BMC Software, Inc. v. ServiceNow, Inc.*, EP 807 Landgericht Düsseldorf.

BMC Software and ServiceNow are the only parties to the aforementioned litigation matters. There are no other defendants and there is no other litigation involving the challenged patent.

As authorized by the Board, the parties are also filing Motions to Terminate the remaining IPR proceedings listed below:

- *Inter Partes* Review of Patent No. 5,978,594 filed by ServiceNow, Inc., IPR2015-01176 (U.S. PTO);
- *Inter Partes* Review of Patent No. 7,617,073 filed by ServiceNow, Inc., IPR2015-01211 (U.S. PTO); and
- *Inter Partes* Review of Patent No. 8,674,992 filed by ServiceNow, Inc., IPR2015-01631 (U.S. PTO).

### III. WHY TERMINATION IS APPROPRIATE

ServiceNow withdraws from and will not participate further in this Review. The parties have resolved their pending disputes and have agreed to refrain, to the extent permitted by law, from further participation in this proceeding and the other IPR proceedings identified above. Accordingly, Service Now and BMC Software jointly request that the Board terminate this Review in its entirety.

Termination of this Review is warranted. Both Congress and federal courts have expressed a strong interest in encouraging settlement in litigation. *See, e.g., Delta Air Lines, Inc. v. August*, 450 U.S. 346, 352 (1981) (“The purpose of [Fed. R. Civ. P.] 68 is to encourage the settlement of litigation.”); *Bergh v. Dept. of Transp.*, 794 F.2d 1575, 1577 (Fed. Cir. 1986) (“The law favors settlement of cases.”), *cert. denied*, 479 U.S. 950 (1986). The Federal Circuit places a particularly strong emphasis on settlement. For example, it endorses the ability of parties to agree to never challenge validity as part of a settlement. *See Flex-Foot, Inc. v. CRP, Inc.*, 238 F.3d 1362, 1370 (Fed. Cir. 2001); *see also Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe v. United States.*, 806 F.2d 1046, 1050 (Fed. Cir. 1986) (noting that the law favors settlement to reduce antagonism and hostility between parties).

Maintaining this Review after ServiceNow’s settlement with BMC Software would discourage future settlements by removing a primary motivation for settlement: eliminating litigation risk by resolving the parties’ disputes and ending

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.