

Paper No. \_\_\_\_  
Filed: August 16, 2016

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

TRADESTATION GROUP, INC.,  
TRADESTATION SECURITIES, INC., IBG LLC, and  
INTERACTIVE BROKERS LLC.,

Petitioner

v.

TRADING TECHNOLOGIES INTERNATIONAL, INC.

Patent Owner

---

Case CBM2015-00161<sup>1</sup>  
U.S. Patent 6,766,304 B2

---

**PATENT OWNER'S UPDATED EXHIBIT LIST**

---

<sup>1</sup> Case CBM2016-00035 has been joined with this proceeding.

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.63(e), Patent Owner Trading Technologies International, Inc. hereby submits a current listing of Trading Technologies Exhibits to counsel for Petitioners TradeStation Group, Inc., TradeStation Securities, Inc., IBG LLC and Interactive Brokers LLC. Exhibits 2394 and 2404 - 2406 are reserved for later use. Exhibits 2395 - 2403 and 2407 were filed on PTAB E2E and served via email to counsel of record for the Petitioner.

<b>Filed Exhibits</b>	<b>Description</b>
Exhibit 2001	Trading Technologies International Inc.'s Letter To Hon. Michelle K. Lee, Under Secretary of Commerce for Intellectual Property and Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office, regarding Abuse of Covered Business Method Review Process, dated August 10, 2015
Exhibit 2002	Attachment A to Letter to Director Lee: <i>Trading Tech Int'l v BGC Partners, Inc</i> , Case No. 10-C- 715 (N.D.Ill.), Dkt. 609
Exhibit 2003	Attachment B to Letter to Director Lee: <i>Trading Tech Int'l v BGC Partners, Inc</i> , Case No. 10-C- 715 (N.D.Ill.), Dkt. 613
Exhibit 2004	Attachment C to Letter to Director Lee: TradeStation's Petition for Covered Business Method Review of U.S. Patent 6,766,304 B2
Exhibit 2005	Attachment D to Letter to Director Lee: <i>TD AmeriTrade Holding Corp et al. v Trading Technologies Int'l</i> , Case No. CBM2014-00136, Paper No. 18, Patent Owner's Preliminary Response, dated September 3, 2014
Exhibit 2006	Attachment E to Letter to Director Lee: <i>Trading Tech Int'l v CQG, Inc. and CQGT, LLC</i> , Case No. 05-cv-4811 (N.D.Ill.), Dkt. 1073, Memorandum Opinion and Order denying Motion for Summary Judgment (35 U.S.C. § 101).
Exhibit 2007	Attachment F to Letter to Director Lee: <i>Trading Tech Int'l v BGC Partners, Inc.</i> , Case No. 10-C- 715 (N.D.Ill.), Dkt. 181, TradeStation Defendants' Amended Motion and Memorandum in Support of Partial Summary Judgment (priority date).

Exhibit 2008	Attachment G to Letter to Director Lee: <i>Trading Tech Int'l v BGC Partners, Inc.</i> , Case No. 10-C- 715 (N.D.Ill.), Dkt. 176, Defendants' Case Management Statement for May 5, 2011 Status Hearing.
Exhibit 2009	Attachment H to Letter to Director Lee: <i>Trading Tech Int'l v BGC Partners, Inc.</i> , Case No. 10-C- 715 (N.D.Ill.), Dkt. 528, Position Statement of the TD Ameritrade Defendants on the Federal Circuit's Decision in <i>OEC</i> and How this Case Should Proceed.
Exhibit 2010	Transcript of Teleconference Call with the Board, dated September 10, 2015
Exhibit 2011	Petition for Covered Business Method Review Under 35 U.S.C. § 321 and § 18 of the Leahy-Smith America Invents Act, <i>CQG, Inc. and CQGT, LLC v. Trading Tech Int'l, Inc.</i> , CBM2015-00057, January 9, 2015
Exhibit 2012	Email to Petitioner regarding Discovery, September 1, 2015
Exhibit 2013	Email from Board authorizing Patent Owner to file Reply In Support of Motion for Additional Discovery
Exhibit 2014	Trading Technologies International Inc.'s Letter To Hon. Michelle K. Lee, Under Secretary of Commerce for Intellectual Property and Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office, regarding Continued Abuse of Post-Grant Review Process, dated October 23, 2015
Exhibit 2015	Attachment I to Letter to Director Lee: <i>Trading Tech Int'l v BGC Partners, Inc.</i> , Case No. 10-C- 715 (N.D.Ill.), Dkt. 546, Memorandum in Support of the TDAmeritrade Defendants' Motion to Stay Proceedings.
Exhibit 2016	Attachment J to Letter to Director Lee: <i>Trading Tech Int'l v BGC Partners, Inc.</i> , Case No. 10-C- 715 (N.D.Ill.), Dkt. 558, TradeStation Defendants' Joinder In and Motion for Stay.
Exhibit 2017	Attachment K to Letter to Director Lee: <i>Trading Tech Int'l v BGC Partners, Inc.</i> , Case No. 10-C- 715 (N.D.Ill.), Dkt. 543, Notification of Docket Entry Denying Renewed Motion for Summary Judgment.
Exhibit 2018	Attachment L to Letter to Director Lee: <i>Trading Tech Int'l v BGC Partners, Inc.</i> , Case No. 10-C- 715 (N.D.Ill.), Dkt. 617, Order Granting TT's Motion to Lift the Stay

Exhibit 2019	Attachment M to Letter to Director Lee: <i>Trading Tech Int'l v BGC Partners, Inc</i> , Case No. 10-C- 715 (N.D.Ill.), Dkt. 876, Memorandum Opinion and Order Denying CQG's Motion to Stay
Exhibit 2020	<i>Trading Technologies International, Inc. v. CQG, Inc.</i> , Case No. 1:05-CV-04811 (N.D. Ill.), Docket No. 105, Memorandum Opinion and Order (Oct. 31, 2006)
Exhibit 2021	Notice of Intent to Issue Ex Parte Reexamination Certificate 90/008,577 (January 7, 2008)
Exhibit 2022	<i>Trading Technologies International, Inc. v. CQG, Inc.</i> , Case No. 1:05-CV-04811 (N.D. Ill.), Docket No. 889, Memorandum Opinion and Order (Jan. 20, 2015)
Exhibit 2023	Word Comparison CQG CBM Petition on '304 Patent with TradeStation CBM Petition on '304 Patent
Exhibit 2024	Word Comparison Declaration of John Phillip Mellor Ex. 1028 with Ex. 2012.
Exhibit 2025	<i>Trading Technologies International, Inc. v. CQG, Inc.</i> , Case No. 1:05-CV-04811 (N.D. Ill.), Docket No. 709, CQG's Motion for Summary Judgment that the '304 and '132 Patents are Invalid under 35 U.S.C. § 112, ¶ 1 for Lack of Written Description (Mar. 17, 2014)
Exhibit 2026	<i>Trading Technologies International, Inc. v. CQG, Inc.</i> , Case No. 1:05-CV-04811 (N.D. Ill.), Docket No. 712, CQG's Memorandum of Law in Support of Its Motion for Summary Judgment on § 112, ¶ 1 (Mar. 17, 2014)
Exhibit 2027	<i>Trading Technologies International, Inc. v. CQG, Inc.</i> , Case No. 1:05-CV-04811 (N.D. Ill.), Docket No. 720-3, Exhibit D – Declaration of John P. Mellor in Support of CQG's Motion for Summary Judgment on § 112, ¶ 1 (Mar. 17, 2014)
Exhibit 2028	<i>Trading Technologies International, Inc. v. CQG, Inc.</i> , Case No. 1:05-CV-04811 (N.D. Ill.), Transcript of Proceedings held before Hon. Sharon J. Coleman (Mar. 16, 2015)
Exhibit 2029	<i>Trading Technologies International, Inc. v. CQG, Inc.</i> , Case No. 1:05-CV-04811 (N.D. Ill.), Docket No. 1163, Trading Tech's Rule 50 Motion on CQG's Written Description Defense (Mar. 12, 2015)

Exhibit 2030	<i>Trading Technologies International, Inc. v. CQQ, Inc., and CQGT, LLC</i> , Case No. 1:05-CV-04811 (N.D. Ill.), Docket No. 886, Notification of Docket Entry (Jan. 14, 2015)
Exhibit 2031	<i>Trading Technologies International, Inc. v. CQG, Inc.</i> , Case No. 1:05-CV-04811 (N.D. Ill.), Docket No. 898, CQG's Opening Brief Regarding the Patent-Ineligibility/Invalidity of the TT Patents-in-Suit under 35 U.S.C. § 101 (Feb. 2, 2015)
Exhibit 2032	<i>July 2015 Update Appendix 1: Examples</i> , USPTO Examination Guidelines
Exhibit 2033	<i>Trading Technologies International, Inc. v. CQG, Inc.</i> , Case No. 1:05-CV-04811 (N.D. Ill.), Docket No. 749, Trading TTech's Cross-Motion for Partial Summary Judgment that the "Static" Limitations Meet the Written Description Requirement (May 16, 2014)
Exhibit 2034	<i>Trading Technologies International, Inc. v. CQG, Inc.</i> , Case No. 1:05-CV-04811 (N.D. Ill.), Docket No. 889, Memorandum and Order on Summary Judgment under § 112, ¶ 1 (Jan. 20, 2015)
Exhibit 2035 – Exhibit 2038	Reserved
Exhibit 2039	Senate Congressional Record, S936-S953 (Feb. 28, 2011)
Exhibit 2040	<i>Crossing the Finish Line on Patent Reform: What Can and Should Be Done</i> , Hearing Before the Subcommittee on Intellectual Property, Competition, and the Internet of the Committee on the Judiciary House of Representatives (Feb. 11, 2011)
Exhibit 2041	<i>Study and Report on the Implementation of the Leahy-Smith America Invents Act</i> , USPTO Report to Congress (Sept. 2015)
Exhibit 2042	Markup of H.R. 1249, The America Invents Act, House of Representatives Committee on the Judiciary (Apr. 14, 2011)
Exhibit 2043	<i>Versata Development Group, Inc. v. SAP America, Inc. and SAP AG</i> , Case No. 2014-1194 (CAFC), Docket No. 61, Brief for the Intervenor - Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office (May 1, 2014)
Exhibit 2044	IEEE 100 The Authoritative Dictionary of IEEE Standards Terms, 7 <sup>th</sup> ed., p. 130 (2000)

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.