

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

GOOGLE INC.,

Petitioner,

v.

SMARTFLASH LLC,

Patent Owner.

Case CBM2015-00132

Patent 8,336,772 B2

PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PATENT OWNER’S LIST OF EXHIBITS iii

I. INTRODUCTION1

II. OVERVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,336,7727

III. THE BOARD SHOULD EXERCISE ITS DISCRETION UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 325(d) AND DECLINE TO INSTITUTE COVERED BUSINESS METHOD PATENT REVIEW10

IV. THE CLAIMS OF THE ‘772 PATENT ARE STATUTORY12

 A. The Two-Part Test for Statutory Subject Matter12

 B. The Claims Are Statutory Under *Mayo* and *Alice*13

 C. The Claims Do Not Result in Inappropriate Preemption.....31

 1. Preemption under *DDR Holdings*31

 2. Preemption under *Mayo* and *Alice*.....34

 3. Non-Infringing Alternatives Confirm a Lack of Preemption ...36

 4. The Challenged Claims are Distinguishable from the Claims in *Ariosa Diagnostics*.....38

V. A FEDERAL COURT HAS ALREADY FOUND CLAIMS 5, 10, 14, AND 22 OF THE ‘772 PATENT TO BE STATUTORY UNDER § 10142

VI. SECTION 101 IS NOT A GROUND THAT MAY BE RAISED IN COVERED BUSINESS METHOD PATENT REVIEW44

VII.	THE USPTO IS ESTOPPED FROM REVISITING THE ISSUE OF WHETHER THE CLAIMS ARE DIRECTED TO STATUTORY SUBJECT MATTER.....	46
VIII.	INVALIDATING PATENT CLAIMS VIA CBM REVIEW IS UNCONSTITUTIONAL.....	47
IX.	DR. TYGAR’S DECLARATION SHOULD NOT BE CONSIDERED.....	49
X.	THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS ARE NOT DIRECTED TO A FINANCIAL PRODUCT OR SERVICE.....	49
XI.	THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS OF THE ‘772 PATENT ARE TECHNOLOGICAL INVENTIONS EXEMPT FROM CBM REVIEW	56
XII.	CONCLUSION.....	58

PATENT OWNER'S LIST OF EXHIBITS

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Description
2001	Congressional Record - House, June 23, 2011, H4480-4505
2002	Congressional Record - Senate, Sep. 8, 2011, S5402-5443
2003-2048	Reserved
2049	Report and Recommendation (on Defendants' Motions for Summary Judgment of Invalidity Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 101), from <i>Smartflash LLC et al. v. Apple, Inc., et al.</i> , Case No. 6:13-CV-447 (E.D. Tex.) and <i>Smartflash LLC et al. v. Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd, et al.</i> , Case No. 6:13-CV-448 (E.D. Tex.), dated Jan. 21, 2015
2050	Order adopting Report and Recommendation (on Defendants' Motions for Summary Judgment of Invalidity Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 101), from <i>Smartflash LLC et al. v. Apple, Inc., et al.</i> , Case No. 6:13-CV-447 (E.D. Tex.) and <i>Smartflash LLC et al. v. Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd, et al.</i> , Case No. 6:13-CV-448 (E.D. Tex.), dated Feb. 13, 2015
2051-2057	Reserved
2059-2074	Reserved
2075	Order (on Defendants' Renewed Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law on the Issue of § 101 under Rule 50(b)); Dkt. # 585; from <i>Smartflash LLC, et al. v. Apple Inc., et al.</i> , Case No. 6:13-CV-447 (E.D. Tex.) dated July 8, 2015.

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Description
2076	Deposition Transcript of Anthony J. Wechselberger dated July 22, 2015 taken in CBM2015-00028, -00029, -00031, -00032 and -00033
2077-2092	Reserved
2093	Declaration of Emily E. Toohey in Support of Patent Owner's Preliminary Response

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.