

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

In re Covered Business Method Review)	
of:)	
)	
U.S. Patent No. 8,336,772)	
)	Attorney Docket No:
Issued: December 25, 2012)	01980-00035-77201
)	Petitioner: Google Inc.
Inventors: Patrick Racz and)	
Hermen-ard Hulst)	
)	
)	
Application No. 13/212,047)	
)	
Filed: August 17, 2011)	
)	
For: DATA STORAGE AND)	
ACCESS SYSTEMS)	

**CORRECTED PETITION FOR COVERED BUSINESS METHOD PATENT
REVIEW OF UNITED STATES PATENT NO. 8,336,772 PURSUANT TO 35
U.S.C. § 321 AND § 18 OF THE LEAHY-SMITH AMERICA INVENTS ACT**

PUBLIC REDACTED VERSION

Mail Stop Patent Board
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S.P.T.O.
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. PETITIONER HAS STANDING.....	2
A. THE '772 PATENT IS A COVERED BUSINESS METHOD PATENT.....	2
1. The Board Has Already Found That Claim 8 Qualifies The '772 Patent As A CBM Patent.....	3
2. Challenged Claim 21 Also Qualifies The '772 Patent As A CBM Patent.....	4
III. MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(A)(1)	10
A. PETITIONER IS A REAL PARTY IN INTEREST SUED FOR AND CHARGED WITH INFRINGEMENT	10
B. RELATED MATTERS UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(B)(2)	11
C. LEAD AND BACKUP COUNSEL UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(B)(2)	11
IV. PAYMENT OF FEES	12
V. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	12
A. “DATA CARRIER”	14
B. “PAYMENT DATA”	15
C. “PAYMENT VALIDATION SYSTEM”	16
D. “PAYMENT VALIDATION DATA”	17
E. “SUPPLEMENTARY DATA”	19
F. “PROGRAM STORE”	20

VI.	THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS ARE UNPATENTABLE UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 101.....	21
A.	THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS ARE DIRECTED TO A PATENT-INELIGIBLE ABSTRACT IDEA	23
1.	The Challenged Claims Are Directed To The Abstract Idea Of Controlling Access To Something Based On One Or More Conditions	24
2.	The Abstract Idea Of Controlling Access To Something Based On Payment Is Not Patentable	26
B.	THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS DO NOT DISCLOSE AN “INVENTIVE CONCEPT” THAT IS “SIGNIFICANTLY MORE” THAN AN ABSTRACT IDEA	27
1.	Field Of Use Limitations Cannot Transform An Abstract Idea Into A Patent Eligible Invention	28
2.	Insignificant Pre- Or Post-Solution Activity Cannot Transform An Abstract Idea Into A Patent Eligible Invention	29
3.	Tangential References To Generic Computer Implementation Cannot Transform An Abstract Idea Into Patent Eligible Inventions	30
C.	PREEMPTION CONCERNS CONFIRM PATENT INELIGIBILITY	35
D.	THE MACHINE-OR-TRANSFORMATION TEST CONFIRMS PATENT INELIGIBILITY	36
VII.	CONCLUSION.....	37

EXHIBIT LIST

Google Exhibit No.	Description
1001	U.S. Patent No. 8,336,772 to Racz et al. (“the ’772 patent”)
1002	Declaration of Dr. Justin Douglas Tygar Regarding the ’772 Patent
1003	<i>Curriculum Vitae</i> of Dr. Justin Douglas Tygar
1004	PCT Publication No. WO 99/07121 (“Fetik”)
1005	U.S. Patent No. 5,790,423 to Lau et al. (“the ’423 patent”)
1006	<i>SOFTBOOK PRESS—Secure Information Delivery to a Distributed Workforce</i> , CIO Magazine, Aug. 1, 1999
1007	Kevin Maney, <i>Electronic Books to Hit the Shelves</i> , New Straits Times (Computimes), Aug. 24, 1998
1008	Liquid Audio, <i>Music on the Net—A Topographic Tour of the Online Music World</i> (1997)
1009	<i>Liquid Audio Indie 1000 Program</i> , http://www.liquidaudio.com (archived Feb. 11, 1998)
1010	Reserved
1011	Report and Recommendation Regarding Claim Construction, <i>Smartflash LLC v. Samsung Elecs. Co.</i> , No. 6:13-cv-448, Dkt. 274 (E.D. Tex. Sept. 24, 2014)
1012	Plaintiffs Smartflash LLC’s and Smartflash Technologies Limited’s Opening Claim Construction Brief, <i>Smartflash LLC v. Samsung Elecs. Co.</i> , No. 6:13-cv-448, Dkt. 175 (E.D. Tex. June 13, 2014)
1013	Reserved
1014	A.M. Turing, <i>On Computable Numbers, with an Application to the Entscheidungsproblem</i> , Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society, Vol. 42:2, pp. 230-265 (Nov. 12, 1936)
1015	Plaintiffs’ Original Complaint for Patent Infringement, <i>Smartflash LLC v. Apple Inc.</i> , No. 6:13-cv-447, Dkt. 1 (E.D. Tex. May 29, 2013)

Google Exhibit No.	Description
1016	U.S. Patent No. 8,336,772 Claim Chart—Google (Android), Exhibit 5 to Plaintiffs Smartflash LLC and Smartflash Technologies Limited’s P.R. 3-1 and 3-2 Disclosure of Asserted Claims and Infringement Contentions, <i>Smartflash LLC v. Google Inc.</i> , No. 6:14-cv-435 (E.D. Tex. Aug. 22, 2014) (excerpted)
1017	Reserved
1018	U.S. Patent No. 7,942,317 to Racz et al. (“the ’317 patent”)
1019	U.S. Patent No. 8,033,458 to Hulst et al. (“the ’458 patent”)
1020	U.S. Patent No. 8,061,598 to Racz et al. (“the ’598 patent”)
1021	U.S. Patent No. 8,118,221 to Racz et al. (“the ’221 patent”)
1022	Roger A. Cunningham et al., <i>The Law of Property</i> (2d ed. 1993) (excerpted)
1023	Michael H. Harris, <i>History of Libraries in the Western World</i> , (4th ed. 1999) (excerpted)
1024	David Broderick, <i>The First Toll Roads—Ireland’s Turnpike Roads 1729-1858</i> (2002) (excerpted)
1025	Reserved
1026	Reserved
1027	U.S. Patent No. 7,334,720 to Racz et al. (“the ’720 patent”)

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.