

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

APPLE INC.,

Petitioner,

v.

SMARTFLASH LLC,

Patent Owner.

---

Case CBM2015-00131

Patent 8,061,598 B2

---

PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PATENT OWNER’S LIST OF EXHIBITS ..... iii

I. INTRODUCTION ..... 1

II. OVERVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,061,598 ..... 5

III. APPLE’S REPETITIVE AND UNTIMELY § 101 CHALLENGES  
RESULT IN PIECEMEAL LITIGATION AND WILL NOT SECURE THE  
JUST, SPEEDY, AND INEXPENSIVE RESOLUTION OF THE  
BOARD’S PROCEEDINGS ..... 8

IV. THE CLAIMS OF THE ‘598 PATENT ARE STATUTORY ..... 11

A. The Two-Part Test for Statutory Subject Matter ..... 11

B. The Claims Are Statutory Under *Mayo* and *Alice* ..... 11

C. The Claims Do Not Result in Inappropriate Preemption ..... 15

1. Preemption under *DDR Holdings* ..... 15

2. Preemption under *Mayo* and *Alice* ..... 19

3. Non-Infringing Alternatives Confirm a Lack of Preemption ... 21

4. The Challenged Claims are Distinguishable from the Claims in  
*Ariosa Diagnostics* ..... 23

V. PETITIONER HAS ALREADY LOST A CHALLENGE TO RELATED  
CLAIMS ON THE SAME STATUTORY GROUNDS IN ITS  
LITIGATION WITH PATENT OWNER BASED ON EVIDENCE THAT  
IT HAS NOT PROVIDED TO THE PTAB ..... 26

VI. PETITIONER’S EXPERT IS UNCROSSED AND NOT PROVEN TO BE  
RELIABLE ..... 29

VII.	SECTION 101 IS NOT A GROUND THAT MAY BE RAISED IN COVERED BUSINESS METHOD PATENT REVIEW .....	30
VIII.	THE USPTO IS ESTOPPED FROM REVISITING THE ISSUE OF WHETHER THE CLAIMS ARE DIRECTED TO STATUTORY SUBJECT MATTER.....	32
IX.	INVALIDATING PATENT CLAIMS VIA CBM REVIEW IS UNCONSTITUTIONAL.....	33
X.	THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS ARE NOT DIRECTED TO A FINANCIAL PRODUCT OR SERVICE.....	35
XI.	THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS OF THE '598 PATENT ARE TECHNOLOGICAL INVENTIONS EXEMPT FROM CBM REVIEW ....	40
XII.	CONCLUSION.....	41

PATENT OWNER'S LIST OF EXHIBITS

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Description
2001	Congressional Record - House, June 23, 2011, H4480-4505
2002	Congressional Record - Senate, Sep. 8, 2011, S5402-5443
2003-2048	Reserved
2049	Report and Recommendation (on Defendants' Motions for Summary Judgment of Invalidity Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 101), from <i>Smartflash LLC et al. v. Apple, Inc., et al.</i> , Case No. 6:13-CV-447 (E.D. Tex.) and <i>Smartflash LLC et al. v. Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd, et al.</i> , Case No. 6:13-CV-448 (E.D. Tex.), dated Jan. 21, 2015
2050	Order adopting Report and Recommendation (on Defendants' Motions for Summary Judgment of Invalidity Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 101), from <i>Smartflash LLC et al. v. Apple, Inc., et al.</i> , Case No. 6:13-CV-447 (E.D. Tex.) and <i>Smartflash LLC et al. v. Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd, et al.</i> , Case No. 6:13-CV-448 (E.D. Tex.), dated Feb. 13, 2015
2051-2057	Reserved
2058	Memorandum Opinion and Order (on Defendants' Motions for Stay Pending the Outcome of CBMs) from <i>Smartflash LLC, et al. v. Apple Inc., et al.</i> , Case No. 6:13-CV-447 (E.D. Tex.), <i>Smartflash LLC, et al. v. Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd, et al.</i> , Case No. 6:13-CV-448 (E.D. Tex.), <i>Smartflash LLC, et al. v. Google, Inc., et al.</i> , Case No. 6:14-CV-435 (E.D. Tex.),

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Description
	and <i>Smartflash LLC, et al. v. Amazon, Inc., et al.</i> , Case No. 6:14-CV-992 (E.D. Tex.) dated May 29, 2015
2059-2067	Reserved
2068	Deposition Transcript of Anthony J. Wechselberger dated May 28, 2015 taken in CBM2015-00015, -00016, -00017 and -00018
2069-2074	Reserved
2075	Order (on Defendants' Renewed Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law on the Issue of § 101 under Rule 50(b)); Dkt. # 585; from <i>Smartflash LLC, et al. v. Apple Inc., et al.</i> , Case No. 6:13-CV-447 (E.D. Tex.) dated July 8, 2015.
2076-2081	Reserved
2082	Trial Transcript from <i>Virnetx Inc. v. Apple Inc.</i> , Case No. 6:10-cv-417 (E.D. Tex.) dated November 2, 2012.
2083-2089	Reserved
2090	Declaration of Emily E. Toohey in Support of Patent Owner's Preliminary Response

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.