

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Inventor: Racz et al.	§	Attorney Docket No.:
United States Patent No.: 8,061,598	§	104677-5008-829
Formerly Application No.: 13/012,541	§	Customer No. 28120
Issue Date: November 22, 2011	§	
Filing Date: January 24, 2011	§	Petitioner: Apple Inc.
Former Group Art Unit: 2887	§	
Former Examiner: Thien M. Le	§	

For: Data Storage and Access Systems

MAIL STOP PATENT BOARD
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Post Office Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

**DECLARATION OF MEGAN RAYMOND IN SUPPORT OF PETITION
FOR COVERED BUSINESS METHOD PATENT REVIEW OF
UNITED STATES PATENT NO. 8,061,598
PURSUANT TO 35 U.S.C. § 321, 37 C.F.R. § 42.304**

I, Megan F. Raymond, make the following Declaration pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §
1746:

1. I am an attorney at the law firm of Ropes & Gray LLP.
2. I provide this Declaration in connection with the above-identified

Covered Business Method Patent Review proceeding that is being requested at the
United States Patent and Trademark Office by Apple Inc. under 35 U.S.C. § 321, 37
C.F.R. § 42.304. Unless otherwise stated, the facts stated in this Declaration are based
on my personal knowledge.

3. Exhibit 1003 hereto is a true and correct copy of United States Patent No. 5,925,127 to Arshad Ahmad, which was downloaded on October 27, 2014 from the United States Patent and Trademark Office (“USPTO”) Patent Application Information Retrieval (“PAIR”) website at my request and which is a record of the USPTO to which all parties have access. An exhibit label on the first page and pages numbers on all pages have been added to the bottom of this document but no other alterations have been made.

4. Exhibit 1004 hereto is a true and correct copy of United States Patent No. 5,940,805 to Dieter Kopp, which was downloaded on October 26, 2014 from the USPTO PAIR website at my request and which is a record of the USPTO to which all parties have access. An exhibit label on the first page and pages numbers on all pages have been added to the bottom of this document but no other alterations have been made.

5. Exhibit 1005 hereto is a true and correct copy of two emails in an email string dated August 26, 2014, titled “RE: Smartflash: Meet and Confer Regarding Further Claim/Prior Art Limits”, which I received from H. Hamid on August 26, 2014. An exhibit label on the first page and pages numbers on all pages have been added to the bottom of this document but no other alterations have been made.

6. Exhibit 1018 hereto is a true and correct copy of an article by Eberhard von Faber, Robert Hammelrath, and Franz-Peter Heider, entitled “The Secure

Distribution of Digital Contents,” from the 13th Annual Computer Security Applications Conference held on December 8-12, 1997, published by The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc., which was retrieved from the Linda Hall Library of Science, Engineering and Technology, Document Services at my request. Page numbers and an exhibit label have been added to the bottom of this document but no other alterations have been made.

7. Exhibit 1023 hereto is a true and correct copy of the Claim Construction Opinion (“Claim Construction Opinion”) from *Smartflash LLC, et al. v. Apple Inc., et al.*, Civil Action No. 6:13-cv-447, before the District Court of the Eastern District of Texas, which was downloaded on October 29, 2014 from the Public Access to Court Electronic Records (“PACER”) website at my request and is a record of the aforementioned District Court (Dkt. No. 229) to which all parties have access. The Claim Construction Opinion contains markings at the top of each page indicating the PACER filing information. An exhibit label on the first page and pages numbers on all pages have been added to the bottom of this document but no other alterations have been made.

8. Exhibit 1024 hereto is a true and correct copy of the file history for United States Patent No. 8,061,598 to Patrick Racz and Hermen-ard Hulst, which was downloaded on October 29, 2014 at my request and which is a record of the USPTO to which all parties have access. An exhibit label on the first page and pages numbers

on all pages have been added to the bottom of this document but no other alterations have been made.

9. Exhibit 1025 hereto is a true and correct copy of United States Patent No. 4,337,483 to Louis C. Guillou, which was downloaded on October 29, 2014 from the USPTO PAIR website at my request and which is a record of the USPTO to which all parties have access. An exhibit label on the first page and pages numbers on all pages have been added to the bottom of this document but no other alterations have been made.

10. Exhibit 1026 hereto is a true and correct copy of United States Patent No. 7,725,375 to Ian Kenneth Shepherd, which was downloaded on October 29, 2014 from the USPTO PAIR website at my request and which is a record of the USPTO to which all parties have access. An exhibit label on the first page and pages numbers on all pages have been added to the bottom of this document but no other alterations have been made.

11. Exhibit 1027 hereto is a true and correct copy of International Publication No. WO 95/34857, filed on June 14, 1995 by Smith, James P. and Smith, Edward A., and published on December 21, 1995, which was downloaded on October 26, 2014 from the European Patent Office's Espacenet search page to which all parties have access at my request. An exhibit label on the first page and pages

numbers on all pages have been added to the bottom of this document but no other alterations have been made.

12. Exhibit 1029 hereto is a true and correct copy of United States Patent No. 5,646,992 to Ronald J. Subler, which was downloaded on November 16, 2014 from the USPTO PAIR website at my request and which is a record of the USPTO to which all parties have access. An exhibit label on the first page and pages numbers on all pages have been added to the bottom of this document but no other alterations have been made.

13. Exhibit 1034 hereto is a true and correct copy of the Japanese Patent Application Publication No. H10-269289 filed on March 26, 1997 by Sony Corporation, and published on October 9, 1998, which was downloaded on March 11, 2014 at my request from the European Patent Office's Espacenet search page to which all parties have access, and which is accompanied by a true and correct copy of a translation of the text of that document and a declaration of accuracy regarding that translation provided by MultiLing Corporation. An exhibit label on the first page and pages numbers on all pages have been added to the bottom of this document but no other alterations have been made.

14. I have been informed that willfully false statements are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both. I make this declaration of my own personal knowledge, and all statements are true. If called to testify as to the truth of the matters stated herein, I could and would testify competently.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.