

CBM2015-00131
Patent 8,061,598 B2

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC.,
Petitioner

v.

SMARTFLASH LLC,
Patent Owner

Case CBM2015-00131
Patent 8,061,598

Before the Honorable JENNIFER S. BISK, RAMA G. ELLURU, JEREMY M. PLENZLER, and MATTHEW R. CLEMENTS, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

PETITIONER'S REPLY TO PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION.....	1
II.	THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS ARE NOT § 101 PATENT ELIGIBLE.....	2
A.	<i>Mayo</i> Step 1: PO Fails To Rebut Apple’s Showing That The Challenged Claims Are Directed To An Abstract Idea.....	2
B.	<i>Mayo</i> Step 2: PO Fails To Rebut Apple’s Showing That The Challenged Claims Have No Inventive Concept	6
1.	Po Fails To Identify Any Inventive Concept.....	6
2.	The <i>DDR</i> Claims Are Not Analogous To The Challenged Claims	13
3.	The Challenged Claims Are Analogous To Those Held Ineligible	16
C.	PO’s Preemption Arguments Are Misplaced.....	18
III.	PO’S OTHER ARGUMENTS ARE IRRELEVANT TO THE MERITS	20
A.	Dr. Kelly’s Unrebutted Expert Opinions Are Reliable And Entitled To Significant Weight.....	20
B.	PO’s Arguments Regarding The Board’s Ability To Review The Challenged Claims Are Unfounded.....	22
1.	Neither The Constitution, The ’598 Patent’s Prosecution, Nor The AIA Prohibits The Board From Considering § 101 Here	22
2.	Non-Final District Court § 101 Determinations Are Irrelevant	23
C.	The ’598 Patent Is A Covered Business Method Patent	24
1.	The Federal Circuit Has Already Rejected PO’s Proposed Interpretation Of Covered Business Method Patents.....	24
2.	The Board Correctly Determined That The ’598 Patent Does Not Cover A Technological Invention.....	25

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
CASES	
<i>Accenture Global Servs., GmbH v. Guidewire Software, Inc.</i> , 728 F.3d 1336 (Fed. Cir. 2013)	4, 7, 8, 16, 21, 24
<i>Alice Corp. Pty. Ltd. v. CLS Bank Int’l</i> , 134 S. Ct. 2347 (2014).....	<i>passim</i>
<i>Ariosa Diagnostics, Inc. v. Sequenom, Inc.</i> , 788 F.3d 1371 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	19, 20, 22, 23
<i>Arrhythmia Research Tech., Inc. v. Corazonix Corp.</i> , 958 F.2d 1053 (Fed. Cir. 1992)	21, 24
<i>Bancorp Servs., L.L.C. v. Sun Life Assurance Co. of Can.</i> , 687 F.3d 1266 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	3, 4, 16, 20
<i>buySAFE, Inc. v. Google, Inc.</i> , 765 F.3d 1350 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	9, 18
<i>Content Extraction & Transmission LLC v. Wells Fargo Bank, Nat’l Ass’n</i> , 776 F.3d 1343 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	6, 9, 18
<i>CyberSource Corp. v. Retail Decisions, Inc.</i> , 654 F.3d 1366 (Fed. Cir. 2011)	3
<i>DDR Holdings, LLC v. Hotels.com, L.P.</i> , 773 F.3d 1245 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	1, 13, 14, 15, 16
<i>Diamond v. Diehr</i> , 450 U.S. 175 (1981)	20
<i>Digitech Image Techs., LLC v. Elecs. for Imaging, Inc.</i> , 758 F.3d 1344 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	8
<i>Enzo Biochem, Inc. v. Gen-Probe, Inc.</i> , 424 F.3d 1276 (Fed. Cir. 2005)	22
<i>Ethicon, Inc. v. Quigg</i> , 849 F.2d 1422 (Fed. Cir. 1988)	24
<i>Fresenius USA, Inc. v. Baxter Int’l, Inc.</i> , 721 F.3d 1330 (Fed. Cir. 2013)	23

CBM2015-00131	
Patent 8,061,598 B2	
<i>Gottschalk v. Benson</i> ,	
409 U.S. 63 (1972)	14
<i>Intellectual Ventures I LLC v. Capital One Bank (USA)</i> ,	
792 F.3d 1363 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	3, 6, 8, 14, 15, 23
<i>Internet Patents Corp. v. Active Network, Inc.</i> ,	
790 F.3d 1343 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	3, 8
<i>Mayo Collaborative Servs. v. Prometheus Labs., Inc.</i> ,	
132 S. Ct. 1289 (2012).....	<i>passim</i>
<i>McCormick Harvesting Mach. Co. v. Aultman</i> ,	
169 U.S. 606 (1898)	23
<i>MCM Portfolio LLC v. Hewlett-Packard Co.</i> ,	
No. 15-1091, 2015 WL 7755665 (Fed. Cir. Dec. 2, 2015)	2, 23
<i>Mortgage Grader, Inc. v. First Choice Loan Servs. Inc.</i> ,	
811 F.3d 1314 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	18
<i>OIP Techs., Inc. v. Amazon.com, Inc.</i> ,	
788 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	9, 15, 18, 20, 23
<i>Research Corp. Techs., Inc. v. Microsoft Corp.</i> ,	
627 F.3d 859 (Fed. Cir. 2010)	4
<i>SightSound Techs., LLC v. Apple Inc.</i> ,	
Nos. 15-1159, -1160, slip op. (Fed. Cir. Dec. 15, 2015).....	24
<i>SmartGene, Inc. v. Advanced Biological Labs., SA</i> ,	
555 F. App'x 950 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	7
<i>Suffolk Techs., LLC v. AOL Inc.</i> ,	
752 F.3d 1358 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	22
<i>Ultramercial, Inc. v. Hulu, LLC</i> ,	
772 F.3d 709 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	<i>passim</i>
<i>Vehicle Intelligence & Safety LLC v. Mercedes-Benz USA, LLC</i> ,	
No. 2015-1411, 2015 WL 9461707 (Fed. Cir. Dec. 28, 2015)	3, 20
<i>Versata Dev. Grp., Inc. v. SAP Am., Inc.</i> ,	
793 F.3d 1306 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	22, 23, 24, 25
PTAB RULINGS	
CBM2012-00007, Paper 15	24
CBM2012-00007, Paper 58	24

CBM2015-00131 Patent 8,061,598 B2	
CBM2013-00013, Paper 61	10
CBM2014-00050, Paper 51	12
CBM2014-00102/103, Paper 52	10
CBM2014-00106/107, Paper 52	10
CBM2014-00108/109, Paper 50	10
CBM2014-00108/109, Paper 8	1, 25
CBM2014-00112/113, Paper 48	<i>passim</i>
CBM2014-00182, Paper 60	4, 10, 18
CBM2014-00192, Paper 45	<i>passim</i>
CBM2014-00193, Paper 45	<i>passim</i>
CBM2014-00193, Paper 7	1, 25
CBM2014-00194, Paper 51	<i>passim</i>
CBM2015-00004, Paper 33	12, 22
CBM2015-00016, Paper 56	<i>passim</i>
CBM2015-00017, Paper 46	<i>passim</i>
CBM2015-00017, Paper 22	1, 25
CBM2015-00017, Paper 32	2
CBM2015-00121, Paper 17	16
CBM2015-00131, Paper 8	<i>passim</i>
CBM2015-00124, Paper 17	16
CBM2015-00133, Paper 21	16
CBM2015-00147, Paper 14	5, 11, 19
IPR2013-00048, Paper 15	22
PGR2015-00013, Paper 18	5, 19
STATUTES	
35 U.S.C. § 101	<i>passim</i>
35 U.S.C. § 102	12
35 U.S.C. § 103	12

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.