UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC.,

Petitioner,

V.

SMARTFLASH LLC,

Patent Owner.

Case CBM2015-00131

Patent 8,061,598 B2

PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE



TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INT	RODUCTION1			
II.	THE KELLY DECLARATION SHOULD BE GIVEN LITTLE OR NO WEIGHT5				
	A.	Dr. Kelly Admits that His Opinions Are Not Legal Opinions5			
	B.	There Is No Assurance That Dr. Kelly's Methodology Is Reliable6			
	C.	Dr. Kelly Is Simply A Highly Compensated Spokesperson for Apple			
III.	OVI	ERVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,061,59815			
IV.		INSTITUTED CLAIMS OF THE '598 PATENT ARE NOT			
	DIR	ECTED TO AN ABSTRACT IDEA18			
V.	DIR	N IF THE INSTITUTED CLAIMS OF THE '598 PATENT WERE ECTED TO AN ABSTRACT IDEA, THE CLAIMS ARE DIRECTED STATUTORY SUBJECT MATTER23			
	A.	The Two-Part Test for Statutory Subject Matter23			
	B.	Petitioner Cannot Meet Its Burden Of Proving That The Instituted Claims Do Not Amount To Significantly More Than A Patent Upon The Abstract Idea Itself			
	C.	The Claims Are Statutory Under <i>Mayo</i> and <i>Alice</i>			
	D.	The Instituted Claims In Practice Amount To Significantly More Than A Patent Upon The Abstract Idea Itself31			
		1. Evidence Shows That The Instituted Claims Do Not Amount To A Patent On The Abstract Idea Of Payment For And Controlling Access To Data			
		2. The Existence Of Alternative Technologies That Control Access Based On Payment Outside The Scope Of The Instituted Claims Show The Claims Do Not Amount To A Patent On The Abstract Idea Of Payment For And Controlling Access To Data			



	E.	The Instituted Claims Do Not Result In Inappropriate Preemption3:	5
VI.		DERAL COURT HAS ALREADY FOUND RELATED CLAIMS OF '598 PATENT TO BE STATUTORY UNDER § 10139	
VII.	WHE	USPTO IS ESTOPPED FROM REVISITING THE ISSUE OF ETHER THE CLAIMS ARE DIRECTED TO STATUTORY SUBJECTER	
VIII.		ALIDATING PATENT CLAIMS VIA CBM REVIEW IS ONSTITUTIONAL4	1
IX.		ΓΙΟΝ 101 IS NOT A GROUND THAT MAY BE RAISED IN ERED BUSINESS METHOD PATENT REVIEW4.	3
X.		INSTITUTED CLAIMS ARE NOT DIRECTED TO A FINANCIAL DUCT OR SERVICE40	6
XI.		INSTITUTED CLAIMS OF THE '598 PATENT ARE HNOLOGICAL INVENTIONS EXEMPT FROM CBM REVIEW5	1
XII.	CON	CLUSION52	2



PATENT OWNER'S LIST OF EXHIBITS

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Description
2001	Congressional Record - House, June 23, 2011, H4480-4505
2002	Congressional Record - Senate, Sep. 8, 2011, S5402-5443
2003-2048	Reserved
2049	Report and Recommendation (on Defendants' Motions for
	Summary Judgment of Invalidity Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 101),
	from Smartflash LLC et al. v. Apple, Inc., et al., Case No.
	6:13-CV-447 (E.D. Tex.) and Smartflash LLC et al. v.
	Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd, et al., Case No. 6:13-CV-448
	(E.D. Tex.), dated Jan. 21, 2015
2050	Order adopting Report and Recommendation (on
	Defendants' Motions for Summary Judgment of Invalidity
	Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 101), from Smartflash LLC et al. v.
	Apple, Inc., et al., Case No. 6:13-CV-447 (E.D. Tex.) and
	Smartflash LLC et al. v. Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd, et al.,
	Case No. 6:13-CV-448 (E.D. Tex.), dated Feb. 13, 2015
2051-2057	Reserved
2058	Memorandum Opinion and Order (on Defendants' Motions
	for Stay Pending the Outcome of CBMs) from Smartflash
	LLC, et al. v. Apple Inc., et al., Case No. 6:13-CV-447 (E.D.
	Tex.), Smartflash LLC, et al. v. Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd,
	et al., Case No. 6:13-CV-448 (E.D. Tex.), Smartflash LLC, et
	al. v. Google, Inc., et al., Case No. 6:14-CV-435 (E.D. Tex.),



Exhibit Number	Exhibit Description
	and Smartflash LLC, et al. v. Amazon, Inc., et al., Case No.
	6:14-CV-992 (E.D. Tex.) dated May 29, 2015
2059-2067	Reserved
2068	Deposition Transcript of Anthony J. Wechselberger dated
	May 28, 2015 taken in CBM2015-00015, -00016, -00017 and -00018
2069-2074	Reserved
2075	Order (on Defendants' Renewed Motion for Judgment as a
	Matter of Law on the Issue of § 101 under Rule 50(b)); Dkt.
	# 585; from Smartflash LLC, et al. v. Apple Inc., et al., Case
	No. 6:13-CV-447 (E.D. Tex.) dated July 8, 2015.
2076-2081	Reserved
2082	Trial Transcript from Virnetx Inc. v. Apple Inc., Case No.
	6:10-cv-417 (E.D. Tex.) dated November 2, 2012.
2083-2089	Reserved
2090	Declaration of Emily E. Toohey in Support of Patent
	Owner's Preliminary Response
2091-2104	Reserved
2105	Transcript of Deposition of Justin Douglas Tygar, Ph.D.
	dated January 19, 2016 taken in CBM2015-00126 and -



DOCKET A L A R M

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

