

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

GOOGLE INC.,

Petitioner,

v.

SMARTFLASH LLC,

Patent Owner.

Case CBM2015-00126

Patent 8,118,221 B2

PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION1

II. STATEMENT OF MATERIAL FACTS4

III. THE TYGAR DECLARATION SHOULD BE GIVEN LITTLE OR NO WEIGHT.....5

 A. Dr. Tygar Admits that His Opinions Are Not Legal Opinions5

 B. No Evidentiary Standard Is Disclosed in the Tygar Declaration5

 C. There Is No Assurance that Dr. Tygar’s Methodology Is Reliable7

IV. OVERVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,118,22111

 A. Overview of the Technology of the Patent11

 B. Claim Construction14

V. CLAIM 3 IS NOT DIRECTED TO A FINANCIAL PRODUCT OR SERVICE.....15

VI. CLAIM 3 OF THE ‘221 PATENT FALLS WITHIN THE “TECHNOLOGICAL INVENTION” EXCEPTION TO CBM REVIEW ..20

VII. CLAIM 3 OF THE ‘221 PATENT IS NOT DIRECTED TO AN ABSTRACT IDEA22

VIII. EVEN IF CLAIM 3 OF THE ‘221 PATENT WAS DIRECTED TO AN ABSTRACT IDEA, CLAIM 3 IS DIRECTED TO STATUTORY SUBJECT MATTER AND PETITIONER HAS NOT PROVEN OTHERWISE26

 A. The Two-Part Test for Statutory Subject Matter26

 B. Petitioner Cannot Meet Its Burden Of Proving That Claim 3 Does Not Amount To Significantly More Than A Patent Upon The Abstract Idea Itself26

C.	The Claims Are Statutory Under <i>Mayo</i> and <i>Alice</i>	28
D.	Claim 3 In Practice Amounts To Significantly More Than A Patent Upon The Abstract Idea Itself	36
1.	Evidence Shows That Claim 3 Does Not Amount To A Patent On The Abstract Idea Of Controlling Access Based On Payment.....	36
2.	The Existence Of Alternative Technologies That Control Access Based On Payment Outside The Scope Of Claim 3 Show Claim 3 Does Not Amount To A Patent On The Abstract Idea Of Controlling Access Based On Payment.....	40
E.	Claim 3 Does Not Result In Inappropriate Preemption	43
IX.	A FEDERAL COURT HAS ALREADY FOUND RELATED CLAIMS OF THE ‘221 PATENT TO BE STATUTORY UNDER § 101	46
X.	THE USPTO IS ESTOPPED FROM REVISITING THE ISSUE OF WHETHER THE CLAIMS ARE DIRECTED TO STATUTORY SUBJECT MATTER.....	47
XI.	INVALIDATING PATENT CLAIMS VIA CBM REVIEW IS UNCONSTITUTIONAL.....	48
XII.	SECTION 101 IS NOT A GROUND THAT MAY BE RAISED IN COVERED BUSINESS METHOD PATENT REVIEW	50
XIII.	CONCLUSION.....	53

PATENT OWNER'S LIST OF EXHIBITS

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Description
2001	Congressional Record - House, June 23, 2011, H4480-4505
2002	Congressional Record - Senate, Sep. 8, 2011, S5402-5443
2003-2048	Reserved
2049	Report and Recommendation (on Defendants' Motions for Summary Judgment of Invalidity Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 101), from <i>Smartflash LLC et al. v. Apple, Inc., et al.</i> , Case No. 6:13-CV-447 (E.D. Tex.) and <i>Smartflash LLC et al. v. Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd, et al.</i> , Case No. 6:13-CV-448 (E.D. Tex.), dated Jan. 21, 2015
2050	Order adopting Report and Recommendation (on Defendants' Motions for Summary Judgment of Invalidity Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 101), from <i>Smartflash LLC et al. v. Apple, Inc., et al.</i> , Case No. 6:13-CV-447 (E.D. Tex.) and <i>Smartflash LLC et al. v. Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd, et al.</i> , Case No. 6:13-CV-448 (E.D. Tex.), dated Feb. 13, 2015
2051-2067	Reserved
2068	Deposition Transcript of Anthony J. Wechselberger dated May 28, 2015 taken in CBM2015-00015, -00016, -00017 and -00018
2069-2074	Reserved

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Description
2075	Order (on Defendants' Renewed Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law on the Issue of § 101 under Rule 50(b)); Dkt. # 585; from <i>Smartflash LLC, et al. v. Apple Inc., et al.</i> , Case No. 6:13-CV-447 (E.D. Tex.) dated July 8, 2015.
2076-2087	Reserved
2088	Declaration of Emily E. Toohey in Support of Patent Owner's Preliminary Response
2089-2104	Reserved
2105	Transcript of Deposition of Justin Douglas Tygar, Ph.D. dated January 19, 2016 taken in CBM2015-00126 and - 00129
2106	Declaration of Emily E. Toohey in Support of Patent Owner's Response

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.