

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC.,

Petitioner,

v.

SMARTFLASH LLC,

Patent Owner.

Case CBM2015-00124

Patent 7,942,317

PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PATENT OWNER’S LIST OF EXHIBITS iii

I. INTRODUCTION 1

II. OVERVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,942,317 4

III. APPLE’S REPETITIVE AND UNTIMELY § 101 and § 112 CHALLENGES RESULT IN PIECEMEAL LITIGATION AND WILL NOT SECURE THE JUST, SPEEDY, AND INEXPENSIVE RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD’S PROCEEDINGS 7

IV. THE CLAIMS ARE STATUTORY 10

 A. The Two-Part Test for Statutory Subject Matter 10

 B. The Claims Are Statutory Under *Mayo* and *Alice* 10

 1. Preemption under *DDR Holdings* 22

 2. Preemption under *Mayo* and *Alice* 25

 3. Non-Infringing Alternatives Show a Lack of Preemption 27

 4. The Challenged Claims are Distinguishable from the Claims in *Ariosa Diagnostics* 28

V. PETITIONER HAS ALREADY LOST A CHALLENGE TO RELATED CLAIMS ON THE SAME STATUTORY GROUNDS IN ITS LITIGATION WITH PATENT OWNER BASED ON EVIDENCE THAT IT HAS NOT PROVIDED TO THE PTAB 31

VI. CLAIM 19 IS DEFINITE 33

 A. Claim 19 33

 B. Petitioner’s Expert is Uncrossed and Not Proven to be Reliable 34

VII. THE USPTO IS ESTOPPED FROM REVISITING THE ISSUES OF WHETHER THE CLAIMS ARE DIRECTED TO STATUTORY SUBJECT MATTER AND ARE DEFINITE34

VIII. INVALIDATING PATENT CLAIMS VIA CBM REVIEW IS UNCONSTITUTIONAL.....35

IX. SECTION 101 IS NOT A GROUND THAT MAY BE RAISED IN COVERED BUSINESS METHOD PATENT REVIEW37

X. THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS ARE NOT DIRECTED TO A FINANCIAL PRODUCT OR SERVICE.....39

XI. THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS OF THE ‘317 PATENT ARE TECHNOLOGICAL INVENTIONS EXEMPT FROM CBM REVIEW44

XII. CONCLUSION.....45

PATENT OWNER'S LIST OF EXHIBITS

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Description
2001	Congressional Record - House, June 23, 2011, H4480-4505
2002	Congressional Record - Senate, Sep. 8, 2011, S5402-5443
2003-2048	Reserved
2049	Report and Recommendation (on Defendants' Motions for Summary Judgment of Invalidity Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 101), from <i>Smartflash LLC et al. v. Apple, Inc., et al.</i> , Case No. 6:13-CV-447 (E.D. Tex.) and <i>Smartflash LLC et al. v. Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd, et al.</i> , Case No. 6:13-CV-448 (E.D. Tex.), dated Jan. 21, 2015
2050	Order adopting Report and Recommendation (on Defendants' Motions for Summary Judgment of Invalidity Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 101), from <i>Smartflash LLC et al. v. Apple, Inc., et al.</i> , Case No. 6:13-CV-447 (E.D. Tex.) and <i>Smartflash LLC et al. v. Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd, et al.</i> , Case No. 6:13-CV-448 (E.D. Tex.), dated Feb. 13, 2015
2051-2057	Reserved
2058	Memorandum Opinion and Order (on Defendants' Motions for Stay Pending the Outcome of CBMs) from <i>Smartflash LLC, et al. v. Apple Inc., et al.</i> , Case No. 6:13-CV-447 (E.D. Tex.), <i>Smartflash LLC, et al. v. Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd, et al.</i> , Case No. 6:13-CV-448 (E.D. Tex.), <i>Smartflash LLC, et</i>

	<i>al. v. Google, Inc., et al.</i> , Case No. 6:14-CV-435 (E.D. Tex.), and <i>Smartflash LLC, et al. v. Amazon, Inc., et al.</i> , Case No. 6:14-CV-992 (E.D. Tex.) dated May 29, 2015
2059-2067	Reserved
2068	Deposition Transcript of Anthony J. Wechselberger dated May 28, 2015 in CBM2015-00015, CBM-2015-00016, CBM-2015-00017, CBM-2015-00018
2069-2074	Reserved
2075	Order (on Defendants' Renewed Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law on the Issue of § 101 under Rule 50(b)); Dkt. # 585; from <i>Smartflash LLC, et al. v. Apple Inc., et al.</i> , Case No. 6:13-CV-447 (E.D. Tex.) dated July 8, 2015.
2076	Deposition Transcript of Anthony J. Wechselberger dated July 22, 2015 taken in CBM2015-00028, -00029, -00031, -00032 and -00033
2077-2081	Reserved
2082	Trial Transcript from <i>Virnetx Inc. v. Apple Inc.</i> , Case No. 6:10-cv-417 (E.D. Tex.) dated November 2, 2012.
2083-2084	Reserved
2085	Declaration of Emily E. Toohey in Support of Patent Owner's Preliminary Response

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.