

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

Inventor: Racz et al.	§	Attorney Docket No.:
United States Patent No.: 7,942,317	§	104677-5008-831
Formerly Application No.: 12/014,558	§	Customer No. 28120
Issue Date: May 17, 2011	§	
Filing Date: January 15, 2008	§	Petitioner: Apple Inc.
Former Group Art Unit: 2887	§	
Former Examiner: Thien M. Le	§	

For: Data Storage and Access Systems

MAIL STOP PATENT BOARD  
Patent Trial and Appeal Board  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Post Office Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

**PETITION FOR COVERED BUSINESS METHOD PATENT REVIEW OF  
UNITED STATES PATENT NO. 7,942,317 PURSUANT TO 35 U.S.C. § 321,  
37 C.F.R. § 42.304**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION.....	1
II.	OVERVIEW OF FIELD OF THE CLAIMED INVENTION .....	7
III.	OVERVIEW OF THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS .....	20
IV.	PETITIONER HAS STANDING.....	22
A.	The '317 Patent Is A Covered Business Method (“CBM”) Patent.....	22
1.	Exemplary Claim 8 Is Financial In Nature .....	24
2.	Claim 8 Does Not Cover A Technological Invention .....	27
(a)	Claim 8 Does Not Recite A Technological Feature That Is Novel And Unobvious .....	28
(b)	Claim 8 Does Not Solve A Technical Problem Us- ing A Technical Solution.....	31
B.	Related Matters And Mandatory Disclosures; Petitioner Is A Real Party In Interest Sued For And Charged With Infringement.....	32
V.	DETAILED EXPLANATION OF REASONS FOR RELIEF REQUESTED, SHOWING IT IS MORE LIKELY THAN NOT THAT AT LEAST ONE CHALLENGED CLAIM IS UNPATENTABLE .....	34
A.	Claim Construction.....	36
B.	The Challenged Claims Are Unpatentable Under § 101.....	38
1.	The Challenged Claims Are Directed To Abstract Ideas .....	39
2.	The Challenged Claims Do Not Disclose An “Inventive Concept” That Is “Significantly More” Than An Abstract Idea.....	48
(a)	Field Of Use Limitations Cannot Transform Ab- stract Ideas Into Patent Eligible Inventions.....	49
(b)	Generic Computer Implementation Cannot Trans- form Abstract Ideas Into Patent Eligible Inven- tions .....	50

(c)	The Functional Nature Of The Challenged Claims Confirms Preemption and Patent Ineligibility .....	69
(d)	Machine-Or-Transformation Test Also Confirms Patent Ineligibility.....	72
C.	Claims 13 And 19 Are Indefinite Under § 112 .....	73
VI.	CONCLUSION.....	76

<b>EXHIBIT LIST</b>	
1001	U.S. Patent No. 7,942,317
1002	Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint
1003	U.S. Patent No. 5,940,805
1004	U.S. Patent No. 4,999,806
1005	U.S. Patent No. 5,675,734
1006	U.S. Patent No. 4,337,483
1007	File History for U.S. Patent No. 7,942,317
1008	Declaration of Megan F. Raymond In Support of Apple Inc.'s Petition for Covered Business Method Patent Review
1009	U.S. Patent No. 5,103,392
1010	U.S. Patent No. 5,530,235
1011	U.S. Patent No. 5,629,980
1012	U.S. Patent No. 5,915,019
1013	European Patent Application, Publication No. EP0809221A2
1014	International Publication No. WO 99/43136
1015	JP Patent Application Publication No. H11-164058 (translation)
1016	Eberhard von Faber, Robert Hammelrath, and Franz-Peter Heider, "The Secure Distribution of Digital Contents," IEEE (1997)
1017	Declaration of John P. J. Kelly In Support of Apple Inc.'s Petition for Covered Business Method Patent Review
1018	Declaration of Michael P. Duffey In Support of Apple Inc.'s Petition for Covered Business Method Patent Review
1019	U.S. Patent No. 4,878,245

<b>EXHIBIT LIST</b>	
1020	Claim Construction Memorandum Opinion from Smartflash LLC v. Apple Inc., No. 6:13cv447 (Dkt. 229)
1021	U.S. Patent No. 5,925,127
1022	JP Patent Application Publication No. H10-269289 (translation)
1023	U.S. Patent No. 5,903,721
1024	International Publication No. WO95/34857
1025	U.S. Patent No. 5,953,005
1026	U.S. Patent No. 7,725,375
1027	August 2014 Emails Titled “RE: Smartflash: Meet and Confer Regarding Further Claim/Prior Art Limits.”
1028	Apr. 8-9, 2015 Deposition Transcript of Jonathan Katz, CBM2014-00102/106/108/112
1029	Taylor, Jim “DVD-Video: Multimedia for the Masses,” IEEE Multimedia, Vol. 6, No. 3 (July-September 1999).
1030	U.S. Patent No. 5,646,992
1031	Rakesh Mohan, John R. Smith and Chung-Sheng Li , “Adapting Multimedia Internet Content for Universal Access” IEEE (March 1999)
1032	U.S. Patent No. 5,761,485
1033	International Publication No. WO99/13398
1034	Excerpt Transcript of Trial Afternoon Session, February 16, 2015 from Smartflash LLC v. Apple Inc., No.6:13cv447

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.