

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC.,

Petitioner,

v.

SMARTFLASH LLC,

Patent Owner.

Case CBM2015-00124

Patent 7,942,317 B2

PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION1

II. THE KELLY DECLARATION SHOULD BE GIVEN LITTLE OR NO WEIGHT.....5

 A. Dr. Kelly Admits that His Opinions Are Not Legal Opinions.....5

 B. There Is No Assurance That Dr. Kelly’s Methodology Is Reliable.....6

 C. Dr. Kelly Is Simply A Highly Compensated Spokesperson for Apple15

III. OVERVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,942,31716

IV. THE INSTITUTED CLAIMS OF THE ‘317 PATENT ARE NOT DIRECTED TO AN ABSTRACT IDEA.....19

V. EVEN IF THE INSTITUTED CLAIMS OF THE ‘317 PATENT WERE DIRECTED TO AN ABSTRACT IDEA, THE CLAIMS ARE DIRECTED TO STATUTORY SUBJECT MATTER.....25

 A. The Two-Part Test for Statutory Subject Matter25

 B. Petitioner Cannot Meet Its Burden Of Proving That The Instituted Claims Do Not Amount To Significantly More Than A Patent Upon The Abstract Idea Itself26

 C. The Claims Are Statutory Under *Mayo* and *Alice*.....29

 D. The Instituted Claims In Practice Amount To Significantly More Than A Patent Upon The Abstract Idea Itself40

 1. Evidence Shows That The Instituted Claims Do Not Amount To A Patent On The Abstract Idea Of Payment For And Controlling Access To Data.....40

 2. The Existence Of Alternative Technologies That Control Access Based On Payment Outside The Scope Of The Instituted Claims Show The Claims Do Not Amount To A Patent On The Abstract Idea Of Payment For And Controlling Access To Data45

 E. The Instituted Claims Do Not Result In Inappropriate Preemption ...47

VI. A FEDERAL COURT HAS ALREADY FOUND RELATED CLAIMS OF THE ‘317 PATENT TO BE STATUTORY UNDER § 10153

| | |
|---|----|
| VII. THE USPTO IS ESTOPPED FROM REVISITING THE ISSUES OF WHETHER THE CLAIMS ARE DIRECTED TO STATUTORY SUBJECT MATTER AND ARE DEFINITE | 54 |
| VIII. INVALIDATING PATENT CLAIMS VIA CBM REVIEW IS UNCONSTITUTIONAL | 54 |
| IX. SECTION 101 IS NOT A GROUND THAT MAY BE RAISED IN COVERED BUSINESS METHOD PATENT REVIEW | 57 |
| X. CLAIM 19 IS NOT INDEFINITE | 59 |
| XI. THE INSTITUTED CLAIMS OF THE '317 PATENT ARE NOT DIRECTED TO A FINANCIAL PRODUCT OR SERVICE | 60 |
| XII. THE INSTITUTED CLAIMS OF THE '317 PATENT ARE TECHNOLOGICAL INVENTIONS EXEMPT FROM CBM REVIEW | 65 |
| XIII. CONCLUSION..... | 66 |

PATENT OWNER'S LIST OF EXHIBITS

| Exhibit Number | Exhibit Description |
|----------------|---|
| 2001 | Congressional Record - House, June 23, 2011, H4480-4505 |
| 2002 | Congressional Record - Senate, Sep. 8, 2011, S5402-5443 |
| 2003-2048 | Reserved |
| 2049 | Report and Recommendation (on Defendants' Motions for Summary Judgment of Invalidity Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 101), from <i>Smartflash LLC et al. v. Apple, Inc., et al.</i> , Case No. 6:13-CV-447 (E.D. Tex.) and <i>Smartflash LLC et al. v. Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd, et al.</i> , Case No. 6:13-CV-448 (E.D. Tex.), dated Jan. 21, 2015 |
| 2050 | Order adopting Report and Recommendation (on Defendants' Motions for Summary Judgment of Invalidity Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 101), from <i>Smartflash LLC et al. v. Apple, Inc., et al.</i> , Case No. 6:13-CV-447 (E.D. Tex.) and <i>Smartflash LLC et al. v. Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd, et al.</i> , Case No. 6:13-CV-448 (E.D. Tex.), dated Feb. 13, 2015 |
| 2051-2057 | Reserved |
| 2058 | Memorandum Opinion and Order (on Defendants' Motions for Stay Pending the Outcome of CBMs) from <i>Smartflash LLC, et al. v. Apple Inc., et al.</i> , Case No. 6:13-CV-447 (E.D. Tex.), <i>Smartflash LLC, et al. v. Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd, et al.</i> , Case No. 6:13-CV-448 (E.D. Tex.), <i>Smartflash LLC, et</i> |

| | |
|-----------|---|
| | <i>al. v. Google, Inc., et al.</i> , Case No. 6:14-CV-435 (E.D. Tex.), and <i>Smartflash LLC, et al. v. Amazon, Inc., et al.</i> , Case No. 6:14-CV-992 (E.D. Tex.) dated May 29, 2015 |
| 2059-2067 | Reserved |
| 2068 | Deposition Transcript of Anthony J. Wechselberger dated May 28, 2015 in CBM2015-00015, CBM-2015-00016, CBM-2015-00017, CBM-2015-00018 |
| 2069-2074 | Reserved |
| 2075 | Order (on Defendants' Renewed Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law on the Issue of § 101 under Rule 50(b)); Dkt. # 585; from <i>Smartflash LLC, et al. v. Apple Inc., et al.</i> , Case No. 6:13-CV-447 (E.D. Tex.) dated July 8, 2015. |
| 2076 | Deposition Transcript of Anthony J. Wechselberger dated July 22, 2015 taken in CBM2015-00028, -00029, -00031, -00032 and -00033 |
| 2077-2081 | Reserved |
| 2082 | Trial Transcript from <i>Virnetx Inc. v. Apple Inc.</i> , Case No. 6:10-cv-417 (E.D. Tex.) dated November 2, 2012. |
| 2083-2084 | Reserved |
| 2085 | Declaration of Emily E. Toohey in Support of Patent Owner's Preliminary Response |
| 2086-2104 | Reserved |

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.