

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

Inventor: Hulst et al.	§	Attorney Docket No.:
United States Patent No.: 8,033,458	§	104677-5008-827
Formerly Application No.: 12/943,847	§	Customer No. 28120
Issue Date: October 11, 2011	§	
Filing Date: November 10, 2010	§	Petitioner: Apple Inc.
Former Group Art Unit: 2887	§	
Former Examiner: Thien M. Le	§	

For: Data Storage and Access Systems

MAIL STOP PATENT BOARD  
Patent Trial and Appeal Board  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Post Office Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

**PETITION FOR COVERED BUSINESS METHOD PATENT REVIEW OF  
UNITED STATES PATENT NO. 8,033,458 PURSUANT TO 35 U.S.C. § 321,  
37 C.F.R. § 42.304**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION.....	1
II.	OVERVIEW OF FIELD OF THE CLAIMED INVENTION .....	6
III.	OVERVIEW OF CHALLENGED CLAIMS.....	19
IV.	PETITIONER HAS STANDING .....	23
A.	The '458 Patent Is A Covered Business Method Patent .....	23
1.	Exemplary Claim 4 Is Financial In Nature .....	25
2.	Claim 4 Does Not Cover A Technological Invention .....	28
(a)	Claim 4 Does Not Recite A Technological Feature That Is Novel And Unobvious .....	28
(b)	Claim 4 Does Not Solve A Technical Problem Using A Technical Solution.....	31
B.	Related Matters And Mandatory Notice Information; Petitioner Is A Real Party In Interest Sued For And Charged With Infringement.....	34
V.	DETAILED EXPLANATION OF REASONS FOR RELIEF REQUESTED, SHOWING IT IS MORE LIKELY THAN NOT THAT AT LEAST ONE CHALLENGED CLAIM IS UNPATENTABLE.....	36
A.	Claim Construction.....	38
B.	The Challenged Claims Are Unpatentable Under § 101.....	41
1.	The Challenged Claims Are Directed To Abstract Ideas .....	42
2.	The Challenged Claims Do Not Disclose An “Inventive Concept” That Is “Significantly More” Than An Abstract Idea.....	50
(a)	Field Of Use Limitations Cannot Create Patent Eligibility .....	50
(b)	Generic Computer Implementation Cannot Transform Abstract Ideas Into Patent Eligible Inventions .....	51
(c)	Functional Nature Confirms Preemption and Ineligibility.....	67
(d)	Machine-or-Transformation Test Confirms Patent Ineligibility .....	70

C.	Claims 3-5 Are Indefinite Under § 112 .....	71
1.	“The Code” Lacks A Clear Or Definite Antecedent Basis In The Claims .....	71
2.	“The Content Data Memory” Lacks A Clear Or Definite Antecedent Basis In The Claims.....	74
VI.	CONCLUSION.....	75

<b>EXHIBIT LIST</b>	
1001	U.S. Patent No. 8,033,458
1002	Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint
1003	U.S. Patent No. 5,925,127
1004	File History for U.S. Patent No. 8,033,458
1005	U.S. Patent No. 5,940,805
1006	U.S. Patent No. 4,999,806
1007	U.S. Patent No. 5,675,734
1008	August 2014 Emails Titled "RE: Smartflash: Meet and Confer Regarding Further Claim/Prior Art Limits."
1009	U.S. Patent No. 4,878,245
1010	U.S. Patent No. 7,334,720
1011	U.S. Patent No. 4,337,483
1012	U.S. Patent No. 5,103,392
1013	U.S. Patent No. 5,530,235
1014	U.S. Patent No. 5,629,980
1015	U.S. Patent No. 5,915,019
1016	European Patent Application, Publication No. EP0809221A2
1017	International Publication No. WO 99/43136
1018	JP Patent Application Publication No. H11-164058 (translation)
1019	Eberhard von Faber, Robert Hammelrath, and Franz-Peter Heider, "The Secure Distribution of Digital Contents," IEEE (1997)

<b>EXHIBIT LIST</b>	
1020	Declaration of John P. J. Kelly In Support of Apple Inc.'s Petition for Covered Business Method Patent Review
1021	Declaration of Michael P. Duffey In Support of Apple Inc.'s Petition for Covered Business Method Patent Review
1022	Declaration of Megan F. Raymond In Support of Apple Inc.'s Petition for Covered Business Method Patent Review
1023	U.S. Patent No. 8,118,221
1024	File History for U.S. Patent No. 8,061,598
1025	Claim Construction Memorandum Opinion from Smartflash LLC v. Apple Inc., No. 6:13cv447 (Dkt. 229)
1026	U.S. Patent No. 7,725,375
1027	International Publication No. WO95/34857
1028	U.S. Patent No. 5,646,992
1029	U.S. Patent No. 5,903,721
1030	R. Mohan, J.R. Smith, C.S. Li, "Adapting Multimedia Internet Content for Universal Access," IEEE Transactions on Multimedia, Vol. 1, No. 1, 1999, pp. 104-114
1031	JP Patent Application Publication No. H10-269289 (translation)
1032	U.S. Patent No. 5,761,485
1033	Apr. 8-9, 2015 Deposition Transcript of Jonathan Katz, CBM2014-00102/106/108/112
1034	International Publication No. WO99/13398
1035	U.S. Patent No. 5,953,005

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.