

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent of: Racz et al. Attorney Docket No.: 104677-5008-828
U.S. Patent No.: 8,061,598
Issue Date: November 22, 2011
Appl. Serial No.: 13/012,541
Filing Date: January 24, 2011
Title: DATA STORAGE AND ACCESS SYSTEMS

Mail Stop Patent Board

Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

PETITION FOR COVERED BUSINESS METHOD PATENT REVIEW
OF UNITED STATES PATENT NO. 8,061,598 PURSUANT TO 35 U.S.C.
§ 321 AND § 18 OF THE LEAHY-SMITH AMERICA INVENTS ACT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R § 42.8(a)(1).....	1
A.	Real Party-In-Interest Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1)	1
B.	Related Matters Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2).....	1
C.	Lead And Back-Up Counsel Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3).....	2
II.	PAYMENT OF FEES	2
III.	REQUIREMENTS FOR CBM UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.304	2
A.	Grounds for Standing Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.304(a)	2
B.	Challenge Under 37 § 42.304(b) and Relief Requested.....	2
C.	Claim Construction under 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.304(b)(3)	4
D.	The ‘598 Patent is a Covered Business Method Patent	5
E.	The ‘598 Patent Is Not Directed to a Technological Invention, And Thus, Should Not Be Excluded From the Definition of a CBM Patent.	8
IV.	SUMMARY OF THE ‘598 Patent	11
A.	Brief Description	11
B.	Summary of the Prosecution History of the ‘598 Patent	13
C.	OMITTED	14
V.	DEMONSTRATION OF A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD THAT AT LEAST ONE CLAIM OF THE ‘598 PATENT IS UNPATENTABLE	14
A.	GROUND 1 – Claim 7 is Patent-Ineligible under 35 U.S.C. § 101 For Abstractness	14
1.	Legal Standard	14
2.	Claim 7 of the ‘598 Patent Recites an Abstract Idea, as Each of the Limitations Can be Performed in the Human Mind and by a Human Using a Pen and Paper	17
(i)	interface for reading and writing data	17
(ii)	use rule memory to store one or more use rules.....	18
(iii)	program store storing code for storing at least one content data item in the content data memory and at least one use rule in the use rule memory.....	19
(iv)	a processor	20
(v)	payment data memory to store payment data and code to provide the payment data to a payment validation system	20
3.	Claim 7 of the ‘598 Patent Recites an Abstract Idea, as it Preempts All Effective Uses of the Abstract Idea of Enabling Limited Use of Paid-for/Licensed Content	21

4.	Claim 7 of the '598 Patent is Not Tied to a Particular Machine in any Manner that Would Make Claim 7 Patent-Eligible	23
5.	Claim 7 of the '598 Patent Does Not Transform Anything in any Manner that Would Make it Patent-Eligible	26
B.	OMITTED	27
VI.	CONCLUSION.....	27

EXHIBITS

Exhibit 1001	U.S. Patent No. 8,061.598 (“the ‘598 Patent” or “‘598”)
Exhibit 1002	File history of U.S. Patent No. 8,061,598
Exhibit 1003	Declaration of Dr. Jeffrey Bloom (“Bloom”)
Exhibit 1004	U.S. Patent No. 5,530,235 (incorporating 5,629,980) (“Stefik ‘235”)
Exhibit 1005	U.S. Patent No. 5,629,980 (“Stefik ‘980”)
Exhibit 1006	PCT Publication No. WO 00/08909 (“Gruse”)
Exhibit 1007	PCT Application PCT/GB00/04110 (“the ‘110 Appln.” or “‘110”), which is the application as filed for U.S. Patent Application No. 11/336,758 (“the ‘758 Appln.” or ‘758”) and U.S. Patent Application No. 10/111,716 (“the ‘716 Appln.” or “‘716”)
Exhibit 1008	United Kingdom Patent Application GB9925227.2 (“the ‘227.2 Appln.” or “‘227.2”)
Exhibit 1009	Transitional Program for Covered Business Method Patents—Definitions of Covered Business Method Patent and Technological Invention, 77 Fed. Reg. 157 (August14, 2012)
Exhibit 1010	A Guide to the Legislative History of the America Invents Act; Part II of II, 21 Fed. Cir. Bar J. No. 4
Exhibit 1011	Interim Guidance for Determining Subject Matter Eligibility for Process Claims in View of Bilski v. Kappos (July 27, 2010)

Exhibit 1012	Apple Inc. v. Sightsound Technologies, LLC, CBM2013-00019 Paper No. 17 (entered October 8, 2013) at 11-13
Exhibit 1013	Volusion, Inc. v. Versata Software, Inc. and Versata Development Group, Inc., CBM2013-00017 Paper No. 8 (entered October 24, 2013)
Exhibit 1014	Salesforce.com, Inc. v. VirtualAgility, Inc., CBM2013-00024 Paper No. 16 (entered November 19, 2013)
Exhibit 1015	U.S. Patent No. 8,336,772 (“the ‘772 Patent” or ‘772”)
Exhibit 1016	U.S. Patent No. 8,118,221 (“the ‘221 Patent” or “‘221”)
Exhibit 1017	RESERVED
Exhibit 1018	U.S. Patent No. 8,033,458 (“the ‘458 Patent” or ‘458”)
Exhibit 1019	U.S. Patent No. 7,942,317 (“the ‘317 Patent” or “‘317”)
Exhibit 1020	U.S. Patent Application No. 12/014,558 (“the ‘558 Appln.” or “558”)
Exhibit 1021	U.S. Patent No. 7,334,720 (“the ‘720 Patent” or “‘720”)
Exhibit 1022	RESERVED
Exhibit 1023	RESERVED
Exhibit 1024	RESERVED
Exhibit 1025	U.S. Patent Application No. 13/012,541 (“the ‘541 Appln.” or “541”)
Exhibit 1026	RESERVED
Exhibit 1027	RESERVED
Exhibit 1028	Weinstein “MasterCard Plans Point-of-Sale Product for Merchants Leery of Bank Cards”
Exhibit 1029	Mayo Collaborative Serv v. Prometheus Labs., Inc., 132 S. Ct. 1289 (2012)

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.