

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent of: Hulst et al Attorney Docket No.: 104677-5008-824
U.S. Patent No.: 7,334,720
Issue Date: February 26, 2008
Appl. Serial No.: 11/336,758
Filing Date: January 19, 2006
Title: DATA STORAGE AND ACCESS SYSTEMS

Mail Stop Patent Board

Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**PETITION FOR COVERED BUSINESS METHOD PATENT
REVIEW OF UNITED STATES PATENT NO. 7,334,720 PURSUANT TO 35
U.S.C. § 321 AND § 18 OF THE LEAHY-SMITH AMERICA INVENTS ACT**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R § 42.8(a)(1).....	1
A.	Real Party-In-Interest Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1)	1
B.	Related Matters Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2)	1
C.	Lead And Back-Up Counsel Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3)	2
II.	PAYMENT OF FEES	2
III.	REQUIREMENTS FOR CBM UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.304.....	2
A.	Grounds for Standing Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.304(a)	2
B.	Challenge Under 37 § 42.304(b) and Relief Requested.....	2
C.	Claim Constructions under 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.104(b)(3).....	3
D.	The ‘720 Patent is a Covered Business Method Patent	4
E.	The ‘720 Patent Is Not Directed to a Technological Invention, And Thus, Should Not Be Excluded From the Definition of a CBM Patent.	7
IV.	SUMMARY OF THE ‘720 PATENT	10
A.	Brief Description	10
B.	Summary of the Prosecution History of the ‘720 Patent	12
C.	OMITTED	14
V.	DEMONSTRATION OF A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD THAT AT LEAST ONE CLAIM OF THE ‘720 PATENT IS UNPATENTABLE.....	14
A.	GROUND 1 - Claims 13 and 14 Are Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. § 101	14
	1. Legal Standard	14
	2. Claims 13 and 14 of the ‘720 Patent Recite an Abstract Idea, as it Can be Performed in the Human Mind and by a Human Using a Pen and Paper	16
	(i) reading payment data from the data carrier	17
	(ii) forwarding the payment data to a payment validation system	18
	(iii) retrieving data from the data supplier	19
	(iv) writing the retrieved data into the data carrier.....	20

(v)	receiving at least one access rule from the data supplier.....	20
(vi)	writing the one access rule into the data carrier, the at least one access rule specifying at least one condition for accessing the retrieved data written into the data carrier, the at least one condition being dependent upon the amount of payment associated with the payment data forwarded to the payment validation system.....	21
(vii)	a first interface, a data carrier interface, and a processor	22
3.	Claims 13 and 14 of the ‘720 Patent Recite an Abstract Idea, as it Preempts All Effective Uses of the Abstract Idea of Licensing and Regulating Access to Copyrighted Content	23
4.	Claims 13 and 14 of the ‘720 Patent is Not Tied to a Particular Machine in any Manner that Would Make Claims 13 and 14 Patent-Eligible.....	25
5.	Claims 13 and 14 of the ‘720 Patent Do Not Transform Anything in any Manner that Would Make it Patent-Eligible.....	27
B.	OMITTED	29
VI.	CONCLUSION.....	29

EXHIBITS

- Exhibit-1001 U.S. Patent No. 7,334,720 to Hulst et al. (“the ‘720 Patent”)
- Exhibit-1002 Excerpts from the Prosecution History of the ‘720 Patent (“the Prosecution History”)
- Exhibit-1003 Declaration of Dr. Jeffrey Bloom re the ‘720 Patent (“Bloom”)
- Exhibit-1004 U.S. Patent No. 5,530,235 (“Stefik ‘235”)
- Exhibit-1005 U.S. Patent No. 5,629,980 (“Stefik ‘980”)
- Exhibit-1006 PCT Publication No. WO 00/08909 (“Gruse”)
- Exhibit-1007 PCT Application PCT/GB00/04110 (“the ‘110 Appln.” or “‘110”)
- Exhibit-1008 United Kingdom Patent Application GB9925227.2 (“the ‘227.2 Appln.” or “‘227.2”)
- Exhibit-1009 Transitional Program for Covered Business Method Pa-tents—Definitions of Covered Business Method Patent and Technological Invention, 77 Fed. Reg. 157 (Aug. 14, 2012)
- Exhibit-1010 A Guide to the Legislative History of the America Invents Act; Part II of II, 21 Fed. Cir. Bar J. No. 4
- Exhibit-1011 Interim Guidance for Determining Subject Matter Eligibility for Process Claims in View of *Bilski v. Kappos* (Jul. 27, 2010)
- Exhibit-1012 *Apple Inc. v. Sightsound Technologies, LLC*, CBM2013-00019 Paper No. 17 (entered Oct. 8, 2013) at 11-13
- Exhibit-1013 *Volusion, Inc. v. Versata Software, Inc. and Versata Development Group, Inc.*, CBM2013-00017 Paper No. 8 (entered Oct. 24, 2013)
- Exhibit-1014 *Salesforce.com, Inc. v. VirtualAgility, Inc.*, CBM2013-00024 Paper No. 16 (entered Nov. 19, 2013)
- Exhibit-1015 RESERVED

Exhibit-1016	RESERVED
Exhibit-1017	RESERVED
Exhibit-1018	RESERVED
Exhibit-1019	RESERVED
Exhibit-1020	RESERVED
Exhibit-1021	RESERVED
Exhibit-1022	RESERVED
Exhibit-1023	RESERVED
Exhibit-1024	RESERVED
Exhibit-1025	RESERVED
Exhibit-1026	RESERVED
Exhibit-1027	RESERVED
Exhibit-1028	Weinstein “MasterCard Plans Point-of-Sale Product for Merchants Leery of Bank Cards”
Exhibit-1029	Mayo Collaborative Serv v. Prometheus Labs., Inc., 132 S. Ct. 1289 (2012)
Exhibit-1030	Gottschalk v. Benson, 409 U.S. 63 (1972)
Exhibit-1031	Cybersource Corp. v. Retail Decisions, Inc., 654 F.3d 1366 (Fed. Cir. 2011)
Exhibit-1032	Bilski v. Kappos, 130 S. Ct. 3218 (2010)
Exhibit-1033	Alice Corp. v. CLS Bank International, 134 S.Ct. 2347 (2014)
Exhibit-1034	Bancorp Serv., L.L.C. v. Sun Life Assur. Co. (U.S.) 687 F.3d 1266 (Fed. Cir. 2012)
Exhibit-1035	Dealertrack, Inc. v. Huber, 674 F.3d 1323 (Fed. Cir. 2012)

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.