

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent of: Racz et al. Attorney Docket No.: 104677-5008-822
U.S. Patent No.: 8,118,221
Issue Date: February 21, 2012
Appl. Serial No.: 12/943,872
Filing Date: November 10, 2010
Title: DATA STORAGE AND ACCESS SYSTEMS

Mail Stop Patent Board

Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

PETITION FOR COVERED BUSINESS METHOD PATENT

REVIEW OF UNITED STATES PATENT NO. 8,118,221 PURSUANT TO 35

U.S.C. § 321 AND § 18 OF THE LEAHY-SMITH AMERICA INVENTS ACT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R § 42.8(a)(1).....	1
A.	Real Party-In-Interest Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1)	1
B.	Related Matters Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2)	1
C.	Lead And Back-Up Counsel Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3)	2
II.	PAYMENT OF FEES	2
III.	REQUIREMENTS FOR CBM UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.304	2
A.	Grounds for Standing Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.304(a)	2
B.	Challenge Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.304(b) and Relief Requested	2
C.	Claim Construction under 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.304(b)(3)	4
D.	The ‘221 Patent is a Covered Business Method Patent	5
E.	The ‘221 Patent Is Not Directed to a Technological Invention, And Thus, Should Not Be Excluded From the Definition of a CBM Patent.	9
IV.	SUMMARY OF THE ‘221 Patent	11
A.	Brief Description	11
B.	Summary of the Prosecution History of the ‘221 Patent	13
C.	OMITTED	14
V.	DEMONSTRATION OF A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD THAT AT LEAST ONE CLAIM OF THE ‘221 PATENT IS UNPATENTABLE.....	14
A.	GROUND 1 – Claims 2, 11 and 32 Are Patent Ineligible Under 35 U.S.C. § 101	14
1.	Legal Standard	14
2.	The ‘221 Patent Claims an Abstract Idea	17
(a)	read payment data from the data carrier and to forward the payment data to a payment validation system	18
(b)	receive payment validation data from the payment validation system	19

(c)	retrieve data from the data supplier and to write the retrieved data into the data carrier responsive to reading payment data from the data carrier	20
(d)	transmit at least a portion of the payment validation data to the data supplier or to a destination received from the data supplier	21
3.	The '221 patent Claims Preempt All Effective Uses of the Abstract Idea of Enabling Limited Use of Paid-for/Licensed Content	23
4.	The '221 patent is Not Tied to a Particular Machine in any Manner that Would Make the Claims Patent-Eligible.....	25
5.	The '221 patent Does Not Transform Anything.....	27
B.	OMITTED	28
VI.	CONCLUSION.....	28

EXHIBITS

- Exhibit-1001 U.S. Patent No. 8,118,221 to Racz et al. (“the ‘221 Patent” or “‘221”)
- Exhibit-1002 Excerpts from the Prosecution History of the ‘221 Patent (“the Prosecution History”)
- Exhibit-1003 Declaration of Dr. Jeffrey Bloom re the ‘221 Patent (“Bloom”)
- Exhibit-1004 U.S. Patent No. 5,530,235 (“Stefik ‘235”)
- Exhibit-1005 U.S. Patent No. 5,629,980 (“Stefik ‘980”) (incorporated by 5,530,235)
- Exhibit-1006 PCT Publication No. WO 00/08909 (“Gruse”)
- Exhibit-1007 PCT Application No. PCT/GB00/04110 (“the ‘110 Appln.” Or “‘110”)
- Exhibit-1008 United Kingdom Patent Application GB9925227.2 (“the ‘227.2 Appln.” or “‘227.2”)
- Exhibit-1009 Transitional Program for Covered Business Method Patents—Definitions of Covered Business Method Patent and Technological Invention, 77 Fed. Reg. 157 (August 14, 2012)
- Exhibit-1010 A Guide to the Legislative History of the America Invents Act; Part II of II, 21 Fed. Cir. Bar J. No. 4
- Exhibit-1011 Interim Guidance for Determining Subject Matter Eligibility for Process Claims in View of *Bilski v. Kappos* (July 27, 2010)
- Exhibit-1012 *Apple Inc. v. Sightsound Technologies, LLC*, CBM2013-00019 Paper No. 17 (entered October 8, 2013) at 11-13

Exhibit-1013	<u>Volusion, Inc. v. Versata Software, Inc. and Versata Development Group, Inc.</u> , CBM2013-00017 Paper No. 8 (entered October 24, 2013)
Exhibit-1014	<u>Salesforce.com, Inc. v. VirtualAgility, Inc.</u> , CBM2013-00024 Paper No. 16 (entered November 19, 2013)
Exhibit-1015	U.S. Patent No. 8,336,772 (“the ‘772 Patent” or “‘772”)
Exhibit-1016	RESERVED
Exhibit-1017	U.S. Patent No. 8,061,598 (“the ‘598 Patent” or “‘598”)
Exhibit-1018	U.S. Patent No. 8,033,458 (“the ‘458 Patent” or “‘458”)
Exhibit-1019	U.S. Patent No. 7,942,317 (“the ‘317 Patent” or “‘317”)
Exhibit-1020	RESERVED
Exhibit-1021	U.S. Patent No. 7,334,720 (“the ‘720 Patent” or “‘720”)
Exhibit-1022	U.S. Patent Application No. 12/943,872 (“the ‘872 Appln.” or “‘872”)
Exhibit-1023	RESERVED
Exhibit-1024	RESERVED
Exhibit-1025	RESERVED
Exhibit-1026	RESERVED
Exhibit-1027	RESERVED
Exhibit-1028	Weinstein “MasterCard Plans Point-of-Sale Product for Merchants Leery of Bank Cards”
Exhibit-1029	<u>Mayo Collaborative Serv v. Prometheus Labs., Inc.</u> , 132 S. Ct. 1289 (2012)
Exhibit-1030	<u>Gottschalk v. Benson</u> , 409 U.S. 63 (1972)

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.