

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

COMPASS BANK, AMERICAN EXPRESS COMPANY, AMERICAN EXPRESS TRAVEL RELATED SERVICES COMPANY, INC., DISCOVER FINANCIAL SERVICES, DISCOVER BANK, DISCOVER PRODUCTS INC., NAVY FEDERAL CREDIT UNION, AND STATE FARM MUTUAL AUTOMOBILE INSURANCE COMPANY,  
Petitioner,

v.

MAXIM INTEGRATED PRODUCTS, INC.,  
Patent Owner.

---

Case CBM2015-00102  
Patent No. 6,237,095

---

**NAVY FEDERAL CREDIT UNION AND  
MAXIM INTEGRATED PRODUCTS, INC.'S  
JOINT REQUEST TO FILE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AS BUSINESS  
CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 327(b)**

Mail Stop: Patent Board  
Patent Trial and Appeal Board  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 327(b), Petitioner constituent Navy Federal Credit Union (“NFCU”) and Patent Owner Maxim Integrated Products, Inc. (“Maxim”) jointly request to file the settlement agreement between NFCU and Maxim (Ex. 2002), as referenced in their Joint Motion to Terminate as to NFCU filed concurrently herewith,<sup>1</sup> as business confidential information, which shall be kept separate from the file of the involved patent and be made available only to Federal Government agencies on written request or to any other person on a showing of good cause.

Respectfully submitted,

          / Ozzie A. Farres /  
Ozzie A. Farres  
Registration No. 43,606  
HUNTON & WILLIAMS LLP  
2200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW  
Washington, DC 20037  
(202) 955-1500  
(202) 778-2201 (fax)  
ofarres@hunton.com  
*Counsel for Petitioner-constituent  
Navy Federal Credit Union*

          /Kenneth J. Weatherwax/  
Kenneth J. Weatherwax, Reg. 54,528  
Parham Hendifar, Reg. 71,470  
LOWENSTEIN & WEATHERWAX LLP  
11400 W. Olympic Blvd., Suite 400  
Los Angeles, CA 90064  
(310) 307-4503  
(310) 307-4509 (fax)  
weatherwax@lowensteinweatherwax.com  
*Counsel for Patent Owner  
Maxim Integrated Products, Inc.*

Date: June 1, 2015

---

<sup>1</sup> Petitioner constituents have consented to waive the requirement of service of a copy of the settlement agreement in this case, on the basis of the agreement’s production as “outside-attorneys-eyes-only” in the underlying district court infringement litigation.