

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

STATE FARM MUTUAL AUTOMOBILE INSURANCE COMPANY,
Petitioner,

v.

MAXIM INTEGRATED PRODUCTS, INC.,
Patent Owner.

Case CBM2015-00102
Patent No. 6,237,095

**STATE FARM MUTUAL AUTOMOBILE INSURANCE COMPANY'S, AND
MAXIM INTEGRATED PRODUCTS, INC.'S
JOINT MOTION TO TERMINATE PROCEEDING WITH RESPECT TO
STATE FARM MUTUAL AUTOMOBILE INSURANCE COMPANY
PURSUANT TO 35 U.S.C. § 327**

Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 327(a), Petitioner State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Company (“State Farm”) and Patent Owner Maxim Integrated Products, Inc. (“Maxim”) (collectively, “the parties”) jointly request termination of State Farm’s participation in this case, No. CBM2015-00102. Because no additional petitioners remain, the Board may choose to terminate this Covered Business Method (“CBM”) review.

I. Brief Explanation as to why Termination is Appropriate

State Farm and Maxim have settled their dispute with respect to the patent at issue. Because no final written decision has yet been entered and State Farm and Maxim are jointly making this motion, termination of this CBM review as to State Farm is appropriate, as the Board has not yet “decided the merits of the proceeding.” 35 U.S.C. § 327(a).

Terminating this CBM review as to State Farm promotes the congressional goal to establish a more efficient and streamlined patent system that, *inter alia*, limits unnecessary and counterproductive litigation costs. *See* “Changes to Implement Inter Partes Review Proceedings, Post-Grant Review Proceedings, and Transitional Program for Covered Business Method Patents,” Final Rule, 77 Fed. Reg., no. 157, p. 48680 (August 14, 2012). Moreover, terminating post-grant proceedings, such as this CBM review, upon settlement fosters an environment that promotes settlements, thereby creating a timely, cost-effective alternative to litigation. A decision to continue the

present CBM review as to State Farm would therefore be contrary to the congressional goal of speedy dispute resolution.

II. Status of Related Litigation

The related District Court litigation between the parties has been settled and the case has been dismissed with prejudice. A copy of the court's order dismissing the case is being filed concurrently herewith as Exhibit 1027.

The parties' settlement agreement has been made in writing, and a true and correct copy is being filed concurrently herewith as Exhibit 1028 pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 327(b).

III. Conclusion

Maxim joins the present Motion solely for purposes of terminating State Farm's participation in this case going forward. For at least these reasons, State Farm and Maxim respectfully request termination of this case as to State Farm.

Respectfully submitted,

/Truman H. Fenton/
Truman H. Fenton, Reg. No. 64,766
SLAYDEN GRUBERT BEARD PLLC
401 Congress Ave., Ste. 1900
Austin, TX 78701
(512) 402-3572
tfenton@sgbfirm.com

*Counsel for Petitioner State Farm
Mutual Automobile Insurance Company*

/Kenneth J. Weatherwax/
Kenneth J. Weatherwax, Reg. No. 54,528
Parham Hendifar, Reg. No. 71,470
LOWENSTEIN & WEATHERWAX LLP
11400 W. Olympic Blvd., Suite 400
Los Angeles, CA
90064 (310) 307-4503
(310) 307-4509 (fax)
weatherwax@lowensteinweatherwax.com

CBM2015-00102
U.S. Patent No. 6,237,095
Joint Motion to Terminate

*Counsel for Patent Owner
Maxim Integrated Products, Inc.*

Date: February 1, 2016

PETITIONER'S EXHIBIT LIST

Exhibit	Description
Ex.1027	Order of Dismissal With Prejudice, Maxim Integrated Prods., Inc. v. State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Company, Civil Action No. 5:14-cv 01030-XR (W.D. Tex. January 19, 2016)
Ex.1028	Covenant Not To Sue between Maxim Integrated Products, Inc. and State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Company

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.