

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

STATE FARM MUTUAL AUTOMOBILE INSURANCE COMPANY,  
Petitioner,

v.

MAXIM INTEGRATED PRODUCTS, INC.,  
Patent Owner.

---

Case CBM2015-00101  
Patent No. 6,105,013

---

**STATE FARM MUTUAL AUTOMOBILE INSURANCE COMPANY'S, AND  
MAXIM INTEGRATED PRODUCTS, INC.'S  
CORRECTED JOINT MOTION TO TERMINATE PROCEEDING WITH  
RESPECT TO  
STATE FARM MUTUAL AUTOMOBILE INSURANCE COMPANY  
PURSUANT TO 35 U.S.C. § 327**

Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 327(a), Petitioner State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Company (“State Farm”) and Patent Owner Maxim Integrated Products, Inc. (“Maxim”) (collectively, “the parties”) jointly request termination of State Farm’s participation in this case, No. CBM2015-00101. Because no additional petitioners remain, the Board may choose to terminate this Covered Business Method (“CBM”) review.

### **I. Brief Explanation as to why Termination is Appropriate**

State Farm and Maxim have settled their dispute with respect to the patent at issue. Because no final written decision has yet been entered and State Farm and Maxim are jointly making this motion, termination of this CBM review as to State Farm is appropriate, as the Board has not yet “decided the merits of the proceeding.” 35 U.S.C. § 327(a).

Terminating this CBM review as to State Farm promotes the congressional goal to establish a more efficient and streamlined patent system that, *inter alia*, limits unnecessary and counterproductive litigation costs. *See* “Changes to Implement Inter Partes Review Proceedings, Post-Grant Review Proceedings, and Transitional Program for Covered Business Method Patents,” Final Rule, 77 Fed. Reg., no. 157, p. 48680 (August 14, 2012). Moreover, terminating post-grant proceedings, such as this CBM review, upon settlement fosters an environment that promotes settlements, thereby creating a timely, cost-effective alternative to litigation. A decision to continue the

present CBM review as to State Farm would therefore be contrary to the congressional goal of speedy dispute resolution.

## **II. Status of Related Litigation**

The related District Court litigation between the parties has been settled and the case has been dismissed with prejudice. A copy of the court's order dismissing the case was previously filed as Exhibit 1025.

The parties' settlement agreement has been made in writing, and a true and correct copy was previously filed as Exhibit 1026 pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 327(b). Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 327(b) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c), the parties respectfully request that this Exhibit be treated as business confidential information, which shall be kept separate from the file of the involved patent, and be made available only to federal government agencies on written request or to any other person on a showing of good cause.

## **III. Conclusion**

Maxim joins the present Motion solely for purposes of terminating State Farm's participation in this case going forward. For at least these reasons, State Farm and Maxim respectfully request termination of this case as to State Farm.

Respectfully submitted,

/Truman H. Fenton/  
Truman H. Fenton, Reg. No. 64,766  
SLAYDEN GRUBERT BEARD PLLC

/Kenneth J. Weatherwax/  
Kenneth J. Weatherwax, Reg. No. 54,528

CBM2015-00101  
U.S. Patent No. 6,105,013  
Joint Motion to Terminate

401 Congress Ave., Ste. 1900  
Austin, TX 78701  
(512) 402-3572  
[tfenton@sgbfirm.com](mailto:tfenton@sgbfirm.com)

*Counsel for Petitioner State Farm  
Mutual Automobile Insurance Company*

Parham Hendifar, Reg. No. 71,470

LOWENSTEIN & WEATHERWAX LLP  
11400 W. Olympic Blvd., Suite 400  
Los Angeles, CA  
90064 (310) 307-4503  
(310) 307-4509 (fax)  
[weatherwax@lowensteinweatherwax.com](mailto:weatherwax@lowensteinweatherwax.com)

*Counsel for Patent Owner  
Maxim Integrated Products, Inc.*

Date: February 2, 2016

**PETITIONER'S EXHIBIT LIST**

<b>Exhibit</b>	<b>Description</b>
Ex.1025	Order of Dismissal With Prejudice, Maxim Integrated Prods., Inc. v. State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Company, Civil Action No. 5:14-cv 01030-XR (W.D. Tex. January 19, 2016)
Ex.1026	Covenant Not To Sue between Maxim Integrated Products, Inc. and State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Company

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.