

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

AMERICAN EXPRESS COMPANY, AMERICAN EXPRESS TRAVEL
RELATED SERVICES COMPANY, INC., COMPASS BANK, DISCOVER
FINANCIAL SERVICES, DISCOVER BANK, DISCOVER PRODUCTS
INC., NAVY FEDERAL CREDIT UNION, AND STATE FARM MUTUAL
AUTOMOBILE INSURANCE COMPANY

Petitioner,

v.

MAXIM INTEGRATED PRODUCTS, INC.

Patent Owner.

Case No. To Be Assigned

Patent No. 5,940,510

**PETITION FOR COVERED BUSINESS METHOD PATENT REVIEW
UNDER 35 U.S.C. §§ 321-329 AND § 18 OF THE LEAHY-SMITH
AMERICA INVENTS ACT**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	ii
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	iv
LIST OF EXHIBITS.....	vii
II. MANDATORY NOTICES (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(a)(1)).....	1
A. Real Party-In-Interest (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1))	1
B. Related Matters (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2)).....	1
C. Lead and Backup Counsel (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3)) and Service Information (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(4))	4
III. FEES (37 C.F.R. § 42.203).....	4
IV. INTRODUCTION TO THE '510 PATENT	5
A. Overview of the '510 Patent	5
B. Prosecution History Summary of the '510 Patent	9
V. REQUIREMENTS FOR CBM REVIEW UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.304	10
A. Grounds for Standing (37 C.F.R. § 42.304(a)).....	10
1. Eligibility Requirements of 37 C.F.R. § 42.302	11
2. Timing Requirements of 37 C.F.R. § 42.303.....	11
3. The '510 Patent is a Covered Business Method Patent	11
a) The Challenged Claims Are Directed to a “Financial Product or Service”	12
b) The Challenged Claims Are Not Directed to a “Technological Invention”	15
1) The Patent Does Not Recite a Technological Feature That is Novel and Unobvious.....	16
2) The Patent Does Not Solve a Technical Problem Using a Technical Solution	19
B. Citation of Prior Art	21
C. Claims and Statutory Grounds (37 C.F.R. §§ 42.304(b)(1) & (b)(2)).....	22

D.	Claim Construction (37 C.F.R. § 42.304(b)(3)).....	22
E.	Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art.....	24
F.	Unpatentability of the Construed Claims (37 C.F.R. § 42.304(b)(4))	24
G.	Supporting Evidence (37 C.F.R. § 42.304(b)(5))	25
VI.	AT LEAST ONE CLAIM OF THE '510 PATENT IS UNPATENTABLE	25
A.	Prior Art.....	25
1.	Cremin (Ex. 1003).....	26
2.	Hawkes (Ex. 1004)	29
3.	Lee (Ex. 1008)	31
4.	Rivest (Ex. 1005).....	31
B.	Grounds I and II: Combination of Cremin and Hawkes Renders Obvious Claims 1, 2, and 3 Under 35 U.S.C. § 103.....	33
1.	Ground I Claim Chart: Cremin and Hawkes Render Obvious Claims 1, 2, and 3 Under 35 U.S.C. § 103	41
2.	Ground II Claim Chart: Hawkes and Cremin Render Obvious Claims 1, 2, and 3 Under 35 U.S.C. § 103	53
C.	Ground III: Cremin, Hawkes, and Lee Render Obvious Claim 4 Under 35 U.S.C. § 103	70
D.	Ground IV: Cremin, Hawkes, and Rivest Render Obvious Claims 5 and 6 Under 35 U.S.C. § 103	73
VII.	CONCLUSION	79
	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	81

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

CASES

<i>Agilysys, Inc. v. Ameranth, Inc.</i> , CBM2014-14, Paper No. 19	13, 14, 16, 20
<i>Apple Inc. v. SightSound Techs.</i> , CBM2013-20	13, 17
<i>CRS Advanced Techs., Inc. v. Frontline Techs., Inc.</i> , CBM2012-5, Paper No. 17	12, 13, 16, 17
<i>Gillman v. Stoneeagle Service, Inc.</i> , CBM2013-47, Paper No. 11	13
<i>Google, Inc. v. Inventor Holdings, LLC</i> , CBM2014-2, Paper No. 16	12
<i>In re Cuoꝛꝛo Speed Techs., LLC</i> , No. 2014-1301, 2015 WL 448667 (Fed. Cir. Feb. 4, 2015).....	22, 23
<i>In re Translogic Tech., Inc.</i> , 504 F.3d 1249 (Fed. Cir. 2007)	22
<i>Liberty Mutual Ins. Co. v. Progressive Casualty Ins. Co.</i> , CBM2012-3, Paper No. 15.....	20
<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> , 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005) (en banc)	23
<i>PNC Fin. Servs. Grp., Inc. v. Intellectual Ventures I LLC</i> , CBM2014-32, Paper No. 13	15
<i>SAP Am., Inc. v. Versata Dev. Grp., Inc.</i> , CBM2012-1, Paper No. 36	12
<i>Volusion, Inc., v. Versata Software, Inc.</i> , CBM2013-18, Paper No. 8	12

STATUTES

35 U.S.C. § 102(b) passim
35 U.S.C. § 103..... passim
35 U.S.C. § 321(c) 11
35 U.S.C. § 324(a)..... 25
35 U.S.C. § 325(a)(1) 10
35 U.S.C. § 325(e)(1)..... 10
35 U.S.C. § 328(a)..... 10
AIA 18(d)(1)..... 11

OTHER AUTHORITIES

37 C.F.R. § 42.8(a)(1)..... 1
37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1) 1
37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2) 1
37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3) 3
37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(4) 3
37 C.F.R. § 42.15(b)..... 4
37 C.F.R. § 42.203 4
37 C.F.R. § 42.300(b)..... 22
37 C.F.R. § 42.301(a). 11
37 C.F.R. § 42.301(b)..... 16, 20
37 C.F.R. § 42.302 10, 11

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.