

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

EXPEDIA, INC., FANDANGO, LLC, HOTELS.COM, L.P., HOTEL TONIGHT, INC., HOTWIRE, INC., KAYAK SOFTWARE CORP., OPENTABLE, INC., ORBITZ, LLC, PAPA JOHN'S USA, INC., STUBHUB, INC., TICKETMASTER, LLC, LIVE NATION ENTERTAINMENT, INC., TRAVELCITY.COM LP, WANDERSPOT LLC, AGILYSYS, INC., DOMINO'S PIZZA, INC., DOMINO'S PIZZA, LLC, HILTON RESORTS CORPORATION, HILTON WORLDWIDE, INC., HILTON INTERNATIONAL CO., MOBO SYSTEMS, INC., PIZZA HUT OF AMERICA, INC., PIZZA HUT, INC., and USABLENET, INC.,

Petitioner

v.

AMERANTH, INC.,

Patent Owner

Patent No. 6,871,325

Issue Date: March 22, 2005

Title: Information Management and Synchronous Communications System
with Menu Generation

Case No.: Unassigned

**PETITION FOR
COVERED BUSINESS METHOD REVIEW OF
U.S. PATENT NO. 6,871,325 UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 321 AND § 18 OF THE
LEAHY-SMITH AMERICA INVENTS ACT**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. COMPLIANCE WITH FORMAL REQUIREMENTS	1
A. Mandatory Notices Under 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.8(b)(1)-(4)	1
1. Real Parties-In-Interest	1
2. Related Matters	2
3. Lead and Back-up Counsel	4
4. Power of Attorney and Service Information	5
B. Proof of Service on the PO	5
C. Fee	5
III. Grounds for Standing.....	5
A. The '325 Patent Is a Covered Business Method Patent	6
IV. Statement of Precise Relief Requested	9
V. IDENTIFICATION OF PATENTABILITY CHALLENGES	9
VI. LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART	10
VII. SUMMARY OF THE '325 PATENT	10
A. Patent Specification and Claims.....	10
B. Overview of the Prosecution History	14
C. Failure to Establish Conception and/or Reduction to Practice	15
1. Statement of the Law	16
2. PO's Declarations Do Not Establish Conception	17
3. PO's Declarations Do Not Establish Actual Reduction to Practice.....	18
4. PO's Declarations Do Not Establish Diligence	19
VIII. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	21
A. Legal Standard.....	21
B. Construction of the Terms Used in the Claims	21
1. "web page"	22
2. "applications" (claims 11-13)	22

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(continued)

	Page
3. “application program interface” (claims 11-13)	23
4. “communications control module” (claims 11-13).....	23
5. “database” (claims 11-13).....	23
6. “data are synchronized between the central database, the at least one wireless handheld computing device, at least one Web server and at least one Web page” (claims 11- 13)	23
7. The Preamble Is Not Limiting	24
IX. STATE OF THE ART PRIOR TO the '325 PATENT	25
X. THERE IS A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD THAT PETITIONER WILL PREVAIL WITH RESPECT TO AT LEAST ONE CLAIM OF THE '325 PATENT	27
XI. DETAILED EXPLANATION OF THE GROUNDS FOR REJECTION	27
A. Challenge to Claims 11, 13 and 15 Based on Inkpen, Nokia and Digestor	28
1. Summary of Inkpen.....	28
2. Summary of Digestor	30
3. Summary of Nokia.....	31
4. Patentability Challenge Based on Inkpen, Nokia, and Digestor.....	32
B. Challenge to Claim 12 Based on Inkpen, Nokia, Digestor and Flake	50
C. Challenge to Claims 11, 13, and 15 Based on DeLorme	52
1. Summary of DeLorme	52
2. Patentability Challenge Based on DeLorme.....	53
D. Challenge to Claims 11-13 and 15 Based on Blinn and Inkpen	63
1. Summary of Blinn.....	63
2. Patentability Challenge Based on Blinn and Inkpen	65

..

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(continued)

	Page
XII. None of the CHALLENGES are redundant	78
XIII. CONCLUSION.....	79

...

TABLE OF EXHIBITS

EXHIBIT	DESCRIPTION
1001	U.S. Patent No. 6,384,850 to McNally, et al.
1002	Turnbull Expert Declaration
1003	U.S. Patent No. 6,871,325 to McNally, et al.
1004	U.S. Patent No. 8,146,077 to McNally, et al.
1005	U.S. Patent No. 6,982,733 to McNally, et al.
1006	U.S. Patent Application Number 09/400,413 (the “413 application”) (‘850 Application)
1007	U.S. Patent Application Number 10/015,729 (the “729 application”) (‘325 Application)
1008	U.S. Patent Application Number 11/112,990 (the “990 application”) (‘077 Application)
1009	U.S. Patent Application Number 10/016,517 (the “517 application”) (‘733 Application)
1010	U.S. Patent No. 6,384,850 to McNally, et al. File History
1011	U.S. Patent No. 6,871,325 to McNally, et al. File History
1012	U.S. Patent No. 8,146,077 to McNally, et al. File History Excerpts
1013	CBM2014-00015 – CBM petition for U.S. Patent No. 6,384,850

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.