UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

EXPEDIA, INC., FANDANGO, LLC, HOTEL TONIGHT INC., HOTWIRE, INC., HOTELS.COM, L.P., KAYAK SOFTWARE CORP., LIVE NATION ENTERTAINMENT, INC., MICROS SYSTEMS, INC., ORBITZ, LLC, OPENTABLE, INC., PAPA JOHN'S USA, INC., STUBHUB, INC., TICKETMASTER, LLC, TRAVELOCITY.COM LP, WANDERSPOT LLC, PIZZA HUT, INC., PIZZA HUT OF AMERICA, INC., DOMINO'S PIZZA, INC., DOMINO'S PIZZA, LLC, GRUBHUB, INC., SEAMLESS NORTH AMERICA, LLC, ORDR.IN, INC., MOBO SYSTEMS, INC., STARBUCKS CORPORATION, EVENTBRITE, INC., BEST WESTERN INTERNATIONAL, INC., HILTON RESORTS CORP., HILTON WORLDWIDE, INC., HILTON INTERNATIONAL CO., HYATT CORPORATION, MARRIOTT INTERNATIONAL, INC., STARWOOD HOTELS & RESORTS WORLDWIDE, INC., AGILYSYS, INC., USABLENET, INC., AND APPLE INC.

Petitioners

v.

AMERANTH, INC.

Patent Owner

U.S. Patent No. 8,146,077 Issue date: March 27, 2012

Title: Information Management and Synchronous Communications System with Menu Generation, and Handwriting and Voice Modification of Orders

CBM: <u>Unassigned</u>

PETITION FOR COVERED BUSINESS METHOD REVIEW
OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,146,077 UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 321
AND § 18 OF THE LEAHY-SMITH AMERICA INVENTS ACT



TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION1				
	A.		Challenged Claims Fail to Satisfy the Written Description Definiteness Requirement of § 112	4	
	B.		Challenged Claims Fail to Claim Patentable Subject Matter r § 101	9	
II.	REQUIRED DISCLOSURES				
	A.	Mandatory Notices			
		1.	Real Parties-in-Interest (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1))	12	
		2.	Related Matters (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2))	14	
		3.	Lead and Back-Up Counsel (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3))	19	
		4.	Service Information (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(4))	21	
	B.	Filing Date Requirements			
		1.	Compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.304	22	
		2.	Certificate of Service on Patent Owner (37 C.F.R. § 42.205(a))	23	
		3.	The Filing Fee (37 C.F.R. §§ 42.15(b) and 42.203(a))	23	
	C.	Addi	tional Disclosures	23	
		1.	At Least One Challenged Claim Is Unpatentable (37 C.F.R. § 42.208(c))	23	
		2.	Eligibility Based on Time of Filing (37 C.F.R. § 42.303)	24	
		3.	Power of Attorney (37 C.F.R. § 42.10(b))	24	
		4.	A Legible Copy of Every Exhibit in the Exhibit List (37 C.F.R. § 42.63)	24	
III.	GRO	UNDS	FOR STANDING	24	





	A.	Eligibility Based on Infringement Suit (37 C.F.R. § 42.302(a))25		
	B.	pility Based on Lack of Estoppel (37 C.F.R. § 42.302(b))	26	
	C.	The '	077 Patent Is a CBM Patent (37 C.F.R. § 42.304(a))	26
		1.	Claims 1-18 Meet the Definition of a CBM	27
		2.	Claims 1-18 Are Not Directed to a "Technological Invention"	32
IV.			NT OF PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED FOR EACH	37
	A.		ns for Which Review Is Requested (37 C.F.R. § 94(b)(1))	38
	B.	Statu	tory Grounds of Challenge (37 C.F.R. § 42.304(b)(2))	38
	C.	Clain	n Construction (37 C.F.R. § 42.304(b)(3))	38
		1.	Broadest Reasonable Interpretation	38
V.	THE	CHAL	LENGED CLAIMS ARE INVALID UNDER § 112	40
	A.	The Challenged Claims Do Not Satisfy the Written Descrip Requirement.		40
		1.	The '077 Patent Does Not Provide a Written Description of "Cascaded Sets of Linked Graphical User Interface Screens" Recited in the Challenged Claims	42
		2.	The '077 Patent Does Not Provide a Written Description of a "Customized Display Layout Unique to the Wireless Handheld Computing Device" as Recited in the Challenged Claims	43
		3.	The '077 Patent Does Not Provide a Written Description of "Customized Display Layout of at Least Two Different Wireless Handheld Computing Device Display Sizes" or "A Different Number of User Interface Screens From at Least One Other Wireless Handheld Computing Device" Recited in the Challenged Claims	45



		4. The '077 Patent Does Not Provide a Written Description Sufficient to Describe the Later Presented Continuation Claims Directed to a "Synchronous Communications System" When Only Use of a Local Database is				
		Described in the Original Specification	47			
	B.	The Challenged Claims Are Indefinite for Mixing Apparatus and Method Elements	55			
VI.	THE	E CHALLENGED CLAIMS ARE INVALID UNDER § 1015				
	A.	Section 101 Analysis	59			
	B.	The Challenged Claims Impermissibly Claim an Abstract Idea	62			
	C.	The Challenged Claims Also Fail the "Machine or Transformation Test"	71			
	D.	The Challenged Claims Are Invalid Under Mayo	75			
	E.	The Challenged Claims Are Distinguishable From <i>Ultramercial</i>	77			
VII	CON	CLUSION	78			



TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s)
CASES
Accenture Global Servs., GMBH v. Guidewire Software, Inc., No. 2011-1486, 2013 WL 4749919 (Fed. Cir. Sept. 5, 2013)11, 82
In re Am. Acad. of Sci. Tech Ctr., 367 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2004)
Amgen Inc. v. Hoechst Marion Roussel, Inc., 314 F.3d 1313 (Fed. Cir. 2003)
<i>Ariad Pharms., Inc. v. Eli Lilly and Co.,</i> 598 F.3d 1336 (Fed. Cir. 2010) (<i>en banc</i>)
Bancorp Servs., LLC v Sun Life Assurance Co. of Canada, 687 F.3d 1266 (Fed. Cir. 2012)
Bilski v. Kappos, 130 S. Ct. 3218 (2010) ("Bilski II")passim
<i>CLS Bank International v. Alice Corporation Pty. Ltd.</i> , 717 F.3d 1269 (Fed. Cir. 2013)
Collaboration Props., Inc. v. Tandberg ASA, No. 05-cv-1940-MHP, 2006 WL 1752140 (N.D. Cal. June 23, 2006)62
Compression Tech. Solutions LLC v. EMC Corp., 2013 WL 2368039 (N.D. Cal. May 29, 2013)
CyberSource Corp. v Retail Decisions, Inc., 654 F.3d 1366 (Fed. Cir. 2011)
Dealertrack, Inc. v. Huber, 674 F.3d 1315 (Fed. Cir. 2012)
Diamond v. Diehr, 450 U.S. 175 (1981)



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

