

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE, INC., EVENTBRITE INC., STARWOOD HOTELS & RESORTS
WORLDWIDE, INC., EXPEDIA, INC., FANDANGO, LLC,
HOTELS.COM, L.P., HOTEL TONIGHT, INC., HOTWIRE, INC.,
KAYAK SOFTWARE CORP., OPENTABLE, INC., ORBITZ, LLC, PAPA
JOHN'S USA, INC., STUBHUB, INC., TICKETMASTER, LLC, LIVE
NATION ENTERTAINMENT, INC., TRAVELOCITY.COM LP,
WANDERSPOT LLC, AGILYSYS, INC., DOMINO'S PIZZA, INC.,
DOMINO'S PIZZA, LLC, HILTON RESORTS CORPORATION,
HILTON WORLDWIDE, INC., HILTON INTERNATIONAL CO., MOBO
SYSTEMS, INC., PIZZA HUT OF AMERICA, INC., PIZZA HUT, INC.,
and USABLENET, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

AMERANTH, INC.,
Patent Owner.

Case CBM2015-00080¹
Patent No. 6,384,850 B1

Before MEREDITH C. PETRAVICK, RICHARD E. RICE, and
STACEY G. WHITE, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

PETRAVICK, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

FINAL WRITTEN DECISION
Covered Business Method Patent Review
35 U.S.C. § 328(a) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.73

¹ CBM2015-00096 has been consolidated with this proceeding.

I. INTRODUCTION

A number of entities including Apple, Inc. (“collectively, Petitioner”) filed a Petition (Paper 1, “Pet.”) requesting review under the transitional program for covered business method patents of claims 12–16 (“the challenged claims”) of U.S. Patent No. 6,384,850 B1 (Ex. 1001, “the ’850 patent”). On September 1, 2015, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 324, we instituted this trial as to claims 12–16 on one ground of unpatentability — claims 12–16 being unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over DeLorme¹. Paper 13, 30 (“Dec. to Inst.”). We did not institute as to any of the other grounds proposed in the Petition. *Id.*

Ameranth, Inc. (“Patent Owner”) filed a Corrected Patent Owner’s Response (Paper 21, “PO Resp.”) and Petitioner filed a Reply (Paper 24, “Pet. Reply”). Patent Owner filed a Sur-Reply. Paper 30, (“PO Sur-Reply”).

An oral hearing in this proceeding was held on May 10, 2016. A transcript of the hearing is included in the record. Paper 43, (“Tr.”).

We have jurisdiction under 35 U.S.C. § 6(c). This Final Written Decision is issued pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 328(a) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.73.

For the reasons that follow, we determine that Petitioner has shown by a preponderance of the evidence that claims 12–16 of the ’850 patent are unpatentable.

A. *The ’850 Patent*

The ’850 patent is titled “Information Management and Synchronous Communications System with Menu Generation” and issued on May 7,

¹ U.S. Patent No. 5,948,040 (issued Sept. 7, 1999) (Ex. 1024).

2002, based on Application No. 09/400,413, filed on September 21, 1999. Ex. 1001, (54), (45), (21), (22). There are two aspects to the system: menu generation and synchronous communication. *See, e.g., id.* at col. 3, ll. 15–23. The first aspect includes a “desktop software application that enables the rapid creation and building of a menu.” *Id.* at col. 3, ll. 15–17. Claims 1–11, which are not challenged in this proceeding, are directed to this first aspect.

Claims 12–16, which are challenged in this proceeding, are directed to the second aspect of the system, synchronous communication. *See id.* at col. 2, ll. 56–62, col. 3, ll. 1–5, col. 10, ll. 57–59. The ’850 patent discloses a synchronous communication system, which includes a computer workstation, a central database, multiple wireless handheld devices, a web server, and a web page. *Id.* at col. 3, ll. 59–63, col. 6, l. 14. The synchronous communication system, for example, can be used in the restaurant menu ordering context. A menu database can be updated on a desktop PC can be synched with the menu databases on the wireless handheld device, web server, and web page by downloading the new menu database. *Id.* at col. 8, ll. 45–62; *see also* col. 2, ll. 16–28, col. 9, l. 66–col. 10, l. 1. The menu can then be used to place orders from the wireless handheld devices or through the internet. *Id.* at col. 12, ll. 1–4.

In another aspect, a communications control module provides a single point of entry for all hospitality applications, on the central database, wireless handheld devices, web server and web pages, to communicate with one another. *Id.* at col. 9, ll. 21–27, col. 11, ll. 24–30.

This communications module is a layer that sits on top of any communication protocol and acts as an interface between hospitality applications and the communication protocol. . . .

The single point of entry works to keep all wireless handheld devices and linked [w]eb sites in synch with the backoffice server (central database) so that the different components are in equilibrium at any given time and an overall consistency is achieved.

Id. at col. 11, ll. 27–36.

For example, a reservation made online would be automatically communicated to the backoffice server and then synchronized with all the wireless handheld devices wirelessly. Similarly, changes made on any of the wireless handheld devices would be reflected instantaneously on the backoffice server, [w]eb pages and the other handheld devices.

Id. at col. 2, ll. 26–32; *see also id.* at col. 11, ll. 36–42.

In a further aspect, third parties, such as point-of-sale companies, affinity program companies, and internet content providers, can integrate fully with the system through an application program interface. *Id.* at col. 2, ll. 12–15, col. 11, ll. 15–19.

B. Illustrative Claim

Claim 12 of the '850 patent is the only independent claim challenged and is illustrative of the claims at issue. Claim 12 reads as follows:

12. An information management and synchronous communications system for use with wireless handheld computing devices and the internet comprising:
- a. a central database containing hospitality applications and data,
 - b. at least one wireless handheld computing device on which hospitality applications and data are stored,
 - c. at least one [w]eb server on which hospitality applications and data are stored,

- d. at least one [w]eb page on which hospitality applications and data are stored,
- e. an application program interface, and
- f. a communications control module,

wherein applications and data are synchronized between the central [database], at least one wireless handheld computing device, at least one [w]eb server and at least one [w]eb page; wherein the application program interface enables integration of outside applications with the hospitality applications and wherein the communications control module is an interface between the hospitality applications and any other communications protocol.

C. Related Proceedings

Both Petitioner and Patent Owner identify numerous related ongoing district court proceedings. Pet. 2–4; Paper 7, 5–6. The '850 patent is related to U.S. Patent No. 6,871,325 B1, U.S. Patent No. 6,982,733 B1, and U.S. Patent No. 8,146,077 B2. These patents were or are the subject of the following covered business method patent reviews:

U.S. Patent No.	Previous CBM Reviews	Pending CBM Reviews
6,384,850 B1	CBM2014-00015	CBM2015-00091 CBM2015-00096
6,871,325 B1	CBM2014-00016	CBM2015-00082 CBM2015-00097 CBM2015-00099
6,982,733 B1	CBM2014-00013	
8,146,077 B2	CBM2014-00014 CBM2015-00081 CBM2015-00095	

In case CBM2014-00015, a Final Written Decision, determining claims 1–11 to be unpatentable, was issued on March 20, 2015 and is on appeal to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.