

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

EXPEDIA, INC., FANDANGO, LLC, HOTELS.COM, L.P.,
HOTEL TONIGHT, INC., HOTWIRE, INC.,
KAYAK SOFTWARE CORP., OPENTABLE, INC., ORBITZ, LLC,
PAPA JOHN'S USA, INC., STUBHUB, INC., TICKETMASTER, LLC, LIVE
NATION ENTERTAINMENT, INC., TRAVELOCITY.COM LP,
WANDERSPOT LLC, AGILYSYS, INC., DOMINO'S PIZZA, INC.,
DOMINO'S PIZZA, LLC, HILTON RESORTS CORPORATION,
HILTON WORLDWIDE, INC., HILTON INTERNATIONAL CO.,
MOBO SYSTEMS, INC., PIZZA HUT OF AMERICA, INC.,
PIZZA HUT, INC., and USABLENET, INC.,
Petitioner

v.

AMERANTH, INC.,
Patent Owner

Case CBM2015-00096
Patent No. 6,384,850

**CORRECTED PETITION FOR
COVERED BUSINESS METHOD REVIEW OF
U.S. PATENT NO. 6,384,850 UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 321 AND § 18 OF THE
LEAHY-SMITH AMERICA INVENTS ACT**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | <u>Page</u> |
|---|-------------|
| I. INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| II. COMPLIANCE WITH FORMAL REQUIREMENTS | 2 |
| A. Mandatory Notices Under 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.8(b)(1)-(4) | 2 |
| 1. Real Parties-In-Interest | 2 |
| 2. Related Matters | 2 |
| 3. Lead and Back-up Counsel | 4 |
| 4. Power of Attorney and Service Information | 4 |
| B. Proof of Service on the PO | 5 |
| C. Fee | 5 |
| III. GROUNDS FOR STANDING | 5 |
| A. The '850 Patent Is a Covered Business Method Patent | 6 |
| IV. STATEMENT OF PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED | 8 |
| V. IDENTIFICATION OF PATENTABILITY CHALLENGES | 8 |
| VI. LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART | 9 |
| VII. SUMMARY OF THE '850 PATENT | 9 |
| A. Patent Specification and Claims | 10 |
| B. Overview of the Prosecution History | 13 |
| C. Failure to Establish Conception and/or Reduction to Practice | 15 |
| 1. Statement of the Law | 15 |
| 2. PO's Declarations Do Not Establish Conception | 17 |
| 3. PO's Declarations Do Not Establish Actual Reduction to Practice | 17 |
| 4. PO's Declarations Do Not Establish Diligence | 18 |
| VIII. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION | 20 |
| A. Legal Standard | 20 |
| B. Construction of the Terms Used in the Claims | 20 |

| | | |
|-------|--|----|
| 1. | “Web page” | 21 |
| 2. | “applications” (claim 12) | 22 |
| 3. | “application program interface” (claim 12)..... | 22 |
| 4. | “communications control module” (claim 12)..... | 22 |
| 5. | “database” (claim 12)..... | 22 |
| 6. | “data are synchronized between the central database, the at least one wireless handheld computing device, at least one Web server and at least one Web page” (claim 12)..... | 23 |
| 7. | The Preamble Is Not Limiting | 23 |
| IX. | STATE OF THE ART PRIOR TO THE ’850 PATENT | 24 |
| X. | THERE IS A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD THAT PETITIONER WILL PREVAIL WITH RESPECT TO AT LEAST ONE CLAIM OF THE ’850 PATENT | 26 |
| XI. | DETAILED EXPLANATION OF THE GROUNDS FOR REJECTION | 26 |
| A. | Challenge to Claims 12-16 Based on Inkpen, Nokia and Digestor | 27 |
| 1. | Summary of Inkpen..... | 27 |
| 2. | Summary of Digestor | 29 |
| 3. | Summary of Nokia..... | 30 |
| 4. | Patentability Challenge Based on Inkpen, Nokia, and Digestor | 31 |
| B. | Challenge to Claims 12-16 Based on DeLorme..... | 50 |
| 1. | Summary of DeLorme | 50 |
| 2. | Patentability Challenge Based on DeLorme | 52 |
| C. | Challenge to Claims 12-16 Based on Blinn and Inkpen | 63 |
| 1. | Summary of Blinn..... | 63 |
| 2. | Patentability Challenge Based on Blinn and Inkpen | 65 |
| XII. | NONE OF THE CHALLENGES ARE REDUNDANT | 79 |
| XIII. | CONCLUSION..... | 80 |

TABLE OF EXHIBITS

| EXHIBIT | DESCRIPTION |
|----------------|---|
| 1001 | U.S. Patent No. 6,384,850 to McNally, et al. |
| 1002 | Turnbull Expert Declaration |
| 1003 | U.S. Patent No. 6,871,325 to McNally, et al. |
| 1004 | U.S. Patent No. 8,146,077 to McNally, et al. |
| 1005 | U.S. Patent No. 6,982,733 to McNally, et al. |
| 1006 | U.S. Patent Application Number 09/400,413 (the “413 application”) (’850 Application) |
| 1007 | U.S. Patent Application Number 10/015,729 (the “729 application”) (’325 Application) |
| 1008 | U.S. Patent Application Number 11/112,990 (the “990 application”) (’077 Application) |
| 1009 | U.S. Patent Application Number 10/016,517 (the “517 application”) (’733 Application) |
| 1010 | U.S. Patent No. 6,384,850 to McNally, et al. File History |
| 1011 | U.S. Patent No. 6,871,325 to McNally, et al. File History |
| 1012 | U.S. Patent No. 8,146,077 to McNally, et al. File History Excerpts |
| 1013 | CBM2014-00015 – CBM petition for U.S. Patent No. 6,384,850 |

| | |
|------|--|
| 1014 | CBM2014-00016 – CBM petition for U.S. Patent No. 6,871,325 |
| 1015 | CBM2014-00014 – CBM petition for U.S. Patent No. 8,146,077 |
| 1016 | CBM2014-00013 – CBM petition for U.S. Patent No. 6,982,733 |
| 1017 | CBM2014-00015 – Paper 20 – ’850 Institution Decision |
| 1018 | CBM2014-00016 – Paper 19 – ’325 Institution Decision |
| 1019 | CBM2014-00014 – Paper 19 – ’077 Institution Decision |
| 1020 | CBM2014-00013 – Paper 23 – ’733 Institution Decision |
| 1021 | Inkpen, Gary, INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY FOR TRAVEL AND TOURISM (2d ed. 1998) |
| 1022 | Timothy Bickmore, <i>Digestor: Device Independent Access to the World Wide Web</i> , Computer Networks and ISDN Systems 29, 1075-1082 (1997) |
| 1023 | Nokia 9000i Communicator Owner’s Manual (1997) |
| 1024 | U.S. Pat. No. 5,948,040 to DeLorme et al. |
| 1025 | U.S. Pat. No. 6,058,373 to Blinn et al. |
| 1026 | McFadden et al., MODERN DATABASE MANAGEMENT (5th ed. May, 1999), Chapter 11 |
| 1027 | Micros 8700 HMS Version 2.10 User’s Manual |
| 1028 | Aronson, Larry, HTML Manual of Style (1994) |

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.