

**UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

---

**BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

---

APPLE INC., EVENTBRITE INC., and STARWOOD HOTELS & RESORTS  
WORLDWIDE, INC.,

Petitioner

v.

AMERANTH, INC.,

Patent Owner

---

CASE CBM Unassigned

Patent No. 6,871,325

---

**PETITION FOR  
COVERED BUSINESS METHOD REVIEW OF  
U.S. PATENT NO. 6,871,325**

**Mail Stop “PATENT BOARD”**  
Patent Trial & Appeal Board  
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
I. INTRODUCTION .....	1
II. COMPLIANCE WITH FORMAL REQUIREMENTS .....	1
A. Mandatory Notices Under 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.8(b)(1)-(4) .....	1
1. Real Parties-In-Interest .....	1
2. Related Matters .....	2
3. Lead and Back-up Counsel .....	4
4. Power of Attorney and Service Information .....	4
B. Proof of Service on the Patent Owner .....	5
C. Fee .....	5
III. GROUNDS FOR STANDING.....	5
A. The '325 Patent Is a Covered Business Method Patent .....	6
IV. STATEMENT OF PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED .....	8
V. IDENTIFICATION OF PATENTABILITY CHALLENGES .....	8
VI. LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART .....	9
VII. SUMMARY OF THE '325 PATENT .....	10
A. Patent Specification and Claims.....	10
B. Overview of the Prosecution History .....	14
C. Failure to Establish Conception and/or Reduction to Practice .....	15
1. Statement of the Law .....	16
2. Patent Owner's Declarations Do Not Establish Conception. ....	17
3. Patent Owner's Declarations Do Not Establish Actual Reduction To Practice.....	18
4. Patent Owner's Declarations do not establish diligence.....	19
VIII. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION .....	21
A. Legal Standard.....	21
B. Construction of the Terms Used in the Claims .....	21

1.	“web page” .....	22
2.	“applications” (claims 11-13) .....	22
3.	“application program interface” (claims 11-13) .....	22
4.	“communications control module” (claims 11-13).....	23
5.	“database” (claims 11-13).....	23
6.	“data are synchronized between the central database, the at least one wireless handheld computing device, at least one Web server and at least one Web page” (claims 11- 13) .....	23
7.	The Preamble is Not Limiting.....	24
IX.	STATE OF THE ART PRIOR TO THE '325 PATENT .....	24
X.	THERE IS A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD THAT PETITIONER WILL PREVAIL WITH RESPECT TO AT LEAST ONE CLAIM OF THE '325 PATENT.....	27
XI.	DETAILED EXPLANATION OF THE GROUNDS FOR REJECTION .....	27
A.	Challenge to Claims 11, 13 and 15 Based on Inkpen, Nokia and Digestor. ....	28
1.	Summary of Inkpen.....	28
2.	Summary of Digestor .....	30
3.	Summary of Nokia.....	31
4.	Patentability Challenge Based on Inkpen, Nokia, and Digestor. ....	32
B.	Challenge to Claim 12 Based on Inkpen, Nokia, Digestor and Flake .....	49
C.	Challenge to The Challenged Claims Based on DeLorme. ....	51
1.	Summary of DeLorme .....	51
2.	Patentability Challenge Based on DeLorme.....	52
D.	Challenge to The Challenged Claims Based on Blinn and Inkpen. ....	63
1.	Summary of Blinn.....	63
2.	Patentability Challenge Based on Blinn and Inkpen .....	65

XII. NONE OF THE CHALLENGES ARE REDUNDANT .....78  
XIII. CONCLUSION.....79

## TABLE OF EXHIBITS

EXHIBIT	DESCRIPTION
1001	U.S. Patent No. 6,384,850 to McNally, et al.
1002	Turnbull Expert Declaration
1003	U.S. Patent No. 6,871,325 to McNally, et al.
1004	U.S. Patent No. 8,146,077 to McNally, et al.
1005	U.S. Patent No. 6,982,733 to McNally, et al.
1006	U.S. Patent Application Number 09/400,413 (the “413 application”) (’850 Application)
1007	U.S. Patent Application Number 10/015,729 (the “729 application”) (’325 Application)
1008	U.S. Patent Application Number 11/112,990 (the “990 application”) (’077 Application)
1009	U.S. Patent Application Number 10/016,517 (the “517 application”) (’733 Application)
1010	U.S. Patent No. 6,384,850 to McNally, et al. File History
1011	U.S. Patent No. 6,871,325 to McNally, et al. File History
1012	U.S. Patent No. 8,146,077 to McNally, et al. File History Excerpts
1013	CBM2014-00015 – CBM petition for U.S. Patent No. 6,384,850
1014	CBM2014-00016 – CBM petition for U.S. Patent No. 6,871,325
1015	CBM2014-00014 – CBM petition for U.S. Patent No. 8,146,077
1016	CBM2014-00013 – CBM petition for U.S. Patent No. 6,982,733

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.