

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

EXPEDIA, INC., FANDANGO, LLC, HOTELS.COM, L.P., HOTEL TONIGHT, INC., HOTWIRE, INC., KAYAK SOFTWARE CORP., OPENTABLE, INC., ORBITZ, LLC, PAPA JOHN'S USA, INC., STUBHUB, INC., TICKETMASTER, LLC, LIVE NATION ENTERTAINMENT, INC., TRAVELOCITY.COM LP, WANDERSPOT LLC, AGILYSYS, INC., DOMINO'S PIZZA, INC., DOMINO'S PIZZA, LLC, HILTON RESORTS CORPORATION, HILTON WORLDWIDE, INC., HILTON INTERNATIONAL CO., MOBO SYSTEMS, INC., PIZZA HUT OF AMERICA, INC., PIZZA HUT, INC., and USABLENET, INC.,

Petitioners

v.

AMERANTH, INC.,

Patent Owner

---

CASE No.: Unassigned  
U.S. Patent No. 6,384,850

CASE No.: Unassigned  
U.S. Patent No. 6,871,325

CASE No.: Unassigned  
U.S. Patent No. 8,146,077

---

**DECLARATION OF DON TURNBULL, PH.D.**  
**IN SUPPORT OF PETITIONS FOR**  
**COVERED BUSINESS METHOD REVIEWS OF AMERANTH PATENTS**

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>Page</b>
I. Qualifications and Professional Experience.....	12
II. Relevant Legal Standards .....	19
A. Anticipation.....	20
B. Obviousness.....	21
C. Indefiniteness.....	27
III. Background Of The '850, '325, and '077 Patents.....	28
A. The '850 Patent .....	28
B. The '325 Patent .....	35
C. The '077 Patent .....	41
IV. Claim Construction.....	43
A. Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art .....	44
B. “Web page” .....	45
C. “applications” .....	45
D. “application program interface” .....	46
E. “communications control module” .....	47
F. “database” .....	48
G. “data are synchronized between the central database, the at least one wireless handheld computing device, at least one Web server and at least one Web page” .....	49
H. “hospitality application information” .....	51
I. “synchronized” .....	51
J. “cascaded sets” .....	52
K. “graphical user interface screens” .....	53
L. “unique to the wireless handheld computing device” .....	53
M. “real time” .....	53
V. State of the Prior Art.....	54
A. Internet and the World-Wide Web and eCommerce.....	54
B. Mobile Devices.....	55

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>Page</b>
VI. Overview of the Asserted Prior Art.....	58
VII. Patentability Analysis of the '850 Patent .....	62
A. Obviousness of Claims 12-16 Based on Inkpen, Digestor and Nokia .....	62
1. Claim 12.....	71
2. Claim 13.....	85
3. Claim 14.....	87
4. Claim 15.....	90
5. Claim 16.....	94
B. Obviousness of Claims 12-16 Based on DeLorme .....	96
1. Claim 12.....	97
2. Claim 13.....	109
3. Claim 14.....	110
4. Claim 15.....	112
5. Claim 16.....	114
C. Obviousness of Claims 12-16 Based on Blinn and Inkpen.....	115
1. Claim 12.....	119
2. Claim 13.....	132
3. Claim 14.....	135
4. Claim 15.....	138
5. Claim 16.....	140
VIII. Patentability Analysis of the '325 Patent .....	145
A. Obviousness of Claims 11, 13, and 15 Based on Inkpen, Nokia, and Digestor .....	145
1. Claim 11 .....	153
2. Claim 13.....	168
3. Claim 15.....	170
B. Obviousness of Claim 12 Based on Inkpen, Nokia, Digestor, and Flake. ....	171

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>Page</b>
1. Claim 12.....	176
C. Obviousness of Claims 11, 13, and 15 Based on DeLorme.....	178
1. Claim 11.....	180
2. Claim 13.....	192
3. Claim 15.....	195
D. Obviousness of Claims 11-13 and 15 Based on Blinn and Inkpen.....	195
1. Claim 11.....	199
2. Claim 12.....	212
3. Claim 13.....	215
4. Claim 15.....	217
IX. Patentability Analysis of the '077 Patent .....	218
A. Obviousness of Claims 1-18 Based on the Micros 8700 Pub and Digestor.....	218
1. Claim 1.....	222
2. Claim 2.....	244
3. Claim 3.....	247
4. Claim 4.....	248
5. Claim 5.....	249
6. Claim 6.....	250
7. Claim 7.....	250
8. Claim 8.....	251
9. Claim 9.....	253
10. Claim 10.....	259
11. Claim 11.....	260
12. Claim 12.....	260
13. Claim 13.....	261
14. Claim 14.....	272

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>Page</b>
15. Claim 15.....	273
16. Claim 16.....	274
17. Claim 17.....	274
18. Claim 18.....	274
B. Obviousness of Claims 13-18 Based on Blinn and Digestor.....	275
1. Claim 13.....	277
2. Claim 14.....	296
3. Claim 15.....	300
4. Claim 16.....	301
5. Claim 17.....	302
6. Claim 18.....	303

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.