

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

STARBUCKS CORP.
Petitioner

v.

AMERANTH, INC.
Patent Owner

Case CBM2015-00091
Patent No. 6,384,850

MAIL STOP PATENT BOARD
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Post Office Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Submitted Electronically via the Patent Review Processing System

PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | <u>Page</u> |
|--|--------------------|
| I. STATEMENT OF PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED | 1 |
| II. INTRODUCTION..... | 1 |
| III. THE PETITION DOES NOT ESTABLISH STANDING..... | 12 |
| IV. OVERVIEW..... | 13 |
| A. 35 U.S.C. §103 Overview | 13 |
| B. Overview Of Helal Declaration Errors And Omissions..... | 18 |
| C. Overview Of Helal 2004 Patent Application | 20 |
| V. THE PETITION SHOULD BE REJECTED FOR IMPROPER INCORPORATION BY REFERENCE | 24 |
| VI. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION | 26 |
| A. PO’s Proposals In Juxtaposition To Petitioner’s Flawed Invalidity Challenges | 28 |
| 1. “wireless handheld computing device” | 29 |
| 2. “central database” | 29 |
| 3. “web page” | 29 |
| 4. “communications control module” | 30 |
| 5. “synchronized” | 30 |
| 6. “applications and data are synchronized between the central database, at least one wireless handheld computer, at least one web server and at least one web page” | 30 |
| 7. “wireless handheld computing device on which hospitality applications and data are stored” | 31 |
| 8. “hospitality applications” | 32 |
| 9. “API,” “outside applications” and “integration” | 33 |

10. “single point of entry for all hospitality applications” 34

11. “automatic” 35

12. “digital data transmission” 35

VII. PETITIONER HAS NOT SHOWN THAT ANY OF CLAIMS 12-16 ARE MORE LIKELY THAN NOT INVALID UNDER 35 U.S.C. §112 36

 A. “Hospitality Applications And Data” 37

 1. Enablement 37

 2. Definiteness..... 40

 3. Written Description..... 41

 B. “Communications Control Module” 42

 1. Enablement 42

 2. Definiteness..... 43

 3. Written Description..... 43

 C. “Software Libraries” 44

 D. “Claims As A Whole” Are Enabled..... 45

VIII. PETITIONER HAS NOT SHOWN THAT ANY OF CLAIMS 12-16 ARE MORE LIKELY THAN NOT OBVIOUS 46

 A. Overview 46

 B. Neither Challenge Provides A Teaching Or Suggestion Of “A Central Database Containing Hospitality Applications And Data” 51

 C. Neither Challenge Provides Disclosure of A Teaching Or Suggestion Of “Hospitality Applications And Data” Which Are “Stored” On A Wireless Handheld Computing Device..... 53

 D. Neither Challenge Identifies A Teaching or Suggestion Of “At Least One Web Page On Which Hospitality Applications And Data Are Stored” As Recited By Claim 12 57

E. Neither Challenge Provides A Teaching Or Suggestion Of The
“Communications Control Module” Functionality Of Claim 12 57

F. Neither Challenge Identifies A Teaching Or Suggestion Of “Wherein
Applications And Data Are Synchronized Between The Central Data
Base, At Least One Wireless Handheld Computing Device, At Least
One Web Server And At Least One Web Page” 58

G. Neither Challenge Provides Disclosure Or Suggestion Of Hospitality
Application Functionality As Required By Claims 12-16 61

H. Integration/API/Outside Applications..... 62

I. Dependent Claims 63

J. Objective Evidence Of Non-Obviousness..... 65

IX. PETITIONER HAS NOT SHOWN THAT ANY OF CLAIMS 12-16
ARE MORE LIKELY THAN NOT INVALID UNDER
35 U.S.C. §101 76

X. CONCLUSION 80

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**Page****Cases**

| | |
|--|----------------|
| <i>ActiveVideo Networks, Inc. v. Verizon, Inc.</i> 694 F.3d 1312 (Fed. Cir. 2012)..... | 47 |
| <i>Agilysys, Inc. v. Ameranth, Inc.</i> CBM2014-00015 (Paper 20) (Mar. 26, 2014)..... | 37 |
| <i>Alice Corp. v. CLS Bank Intl.</i> 134 S. Ct. 2347 (2014) | 76, 77, 78, 79 |
| <i>Allen Archery, Inc. v. Browning Mfg. Co.</i> 819 F.2d 1087 (Fed. Cir. 1987)..... | 70 |
| <i>Amgen, Inc. v. Hoechst Marion Roussel, Inc.</i> 314 F.3d 1313 (Fed. Cir. 2003)..... | 39 |
| <i>Apple v. ContentGuard, Inc.</i> CBM2015-00046, Paper 12..... | 13 |
| <i>Ariad Pharm., Inc. v. Eli Lilly & Co.</i> 598 F.3d 1336 (Fed. Cir. 2010)..... | 37, 42 |
| <i>Biosig Instruments, Inc. v. Nautilus, Inc.</i> No. 2014-1289, at 6-7 (Fed. Cir. Apr. 27, 2015)..... | 36 |
| <i>Bloomberg L.P. v. Quest Corp.</i> CBM2014-00205, Paper No. 16..... | 13 |
| <i>CBS v. Sylvania., Inc.</i> 415 F.2d 719 (1st Cir. 1969), <i>cert. denied</i> , 396 U.S. 1061 (1970)..... | 67 |
| <i>Cisco Systems, Inc., v. C-Cation Techs., LLC</i> IPR2014-00454, Paper 12 at 10 (PTAB Aug. 29, 2014)..... | 25 |
| <i>Continental Can Co. v. Monsanto Co.</i> 948 F.2d 1264 (Fed. Cir. 1991)..... | 17 |

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.