UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD
STARBUCKS CORPORATION Petitioner

v.

AMERANTH, INC.
Patent Owner

CASE: To Be Assigned Patent No. 6,384,850 B1

PETITION FOR COVERED BUSINESS METHOD PATENT REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,384,850 B1



TABLE OF CONTENTS

				Page		
EXH	IIBIT I	LIST .		iv		
I.	INT	RODU	JCTION	1		
II.	MA]	MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)				
	A.		AL PARTY IN INTEREST			
	B.	REI	LATED MATTERS	2		
	C.		AD AND BACK-UP COUNSEL			
	D.	SER	RVICE INFORMATION	4		
III.	REQUIREMENTS FOR COVERED BUSINESS METHOD PATENT REVIEW					
	A.	. GROUNDS FOR STANDING				
		1.	Eligibility Based on Infringement Suit	5		
		2.	Eligibility Based on Lack of Estoppel by Other AIA Trials			
		3.	The '850 Patent is a Covered Business Method Patent	5		
	B.	IDE	ENTIFICATION OF CHALLENGE	13		
		1.	Claims Challenged	13		
		2.	The Prior Art	13		
		3.	Statutory Grounds of Challenge and Legal Principles	14		
		4.	Supporting Evidence Relied Upon For The Challenge	16		
		5.	Claim Construction	16		
		6.	How Claims Are Unpatentable Under Statutory Grounds	16		
IV.	OVERVIEW OF THE '850 PATENT					
	A.	SUMMARY OF THE '850 PATENT1				
	B.	SUMMARY OF PROSECUTION FILE HISTORY2				
	C.	SUMMARY OF CBM2014-00015 PROCEEDING22				
	D.	PATENT OWNER'S INTERPRETATION OF CHALLENGED CLAIMS IN LITIGATION				



TABLE OF CONTENTS

(continued)

Page

	E.	PRO	POSE	D CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	24
	F.	STA	TE OF	THE ART PRIOR TO THE '850 PATENT	24
		1.	The	Internet and Web-Based Applications	25
		2.	Hand	lheld Computing Devices	26
		3.	Com	puters in the Hospitality Industry	27
	G.	LEV	EL OF	FORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART	27
V.				ELY THAN NOT THAT THAT AT LEAST ONE '850 PATENT IS UNPATENTABLE	28
	A.			TY OF THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS UNDER 35	28
		1.		"Hospitality Applications and Data" Limitations unds 1-3)	28
			a.	Ground 1: The Challenged Claims are Invalid for Lack of Enablement Because of the "Hospitality Applications and Data" Limitations	29
			b.	Ground 2: The Challenged Claims are Invalid for Being Indefinite Because of the "Hospitality Applications and Data" Limitations	31
			c.	Ground 3: The Challenged Claims are Invalid for Lack of Written Description Because of the "Hospitality Applications and Data" Limitations	34
		2.	The '	"Communications Control Module" Limitations	35
			a.	Ground 4: The Challenged Claims are Invalid for Lack of Enablement Because of the "Communication Control Module" Limitations	36
			b.	Ground 5: The Challenged Claims are Invalid for Being Indefinite Because of the "Communication Control Module" Limitations	40



TABLE OF CONTENTS

(continued)

Page

			c.	Ground 6: The Challenged Claims are Invalid for Lack of Written Description Because of the "Communication Control Module" Limitations	41
		3.	of En	nd 7: The Challenged Claims Are Invalid for Lack hablement Because the Specification Fails to ose the "Software Libraries" that Supposedly le the Claimed Subject Matter	42
		4.		nd 8: Each of the Challenged Claims, as a Whole, is inabled	43
	B.	INVALIDITY OF THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS FOR OBVIOUSNESS UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103			
		1.		nd 9: The Challenged Claims Are Obvious Over dt In View Of Nethopper	44
		2.		nd 10: The Challenged Claims Are Obvious Over dt In View Of Demers And Alonso	64
	C.	GROUND 11: INVALIDITY OF THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS UNDER § 101			
		1.	The C	Challenged Claims Are Directed to Abstract Ideas	69
		2.	Conc	Challenged Claims Do Not Include An "Inventive ept" That Is "Significantly More" Than the Abstract	72
		3.	The C	Challenged Claims Fail the "Machine-or-sformation" Test	
VI.	THE	GROU	JNDS	OF INVALIDITY ARE NOT REDUNDANT	79
VII.	CON	CLUS	ION		80



EXHIBIT LIST

Ex. 1001	U.S. Patent No. 6,384,850 B1 to McNally et al. (the "'850 Patent")
Ex. 1002	U.S. Patent No. 6,871,325 B1 to McNally, et al. (the "'325 patent")
Ex. 1003	Declaration of Abdelsalam Helal, Ph.D. including Appendix A (Curriculum Vitae)
Ex. 1004	Japanese Unexamined Application No. H10-247183 to Brandt et al ("Brandt")
Ex. 1005	English translation of Brandt (Ex. 1004) and executed affidavit attesting to the accuracy of the English translation
Ex. 1006	NetHopper Version 3.2 User's Manual ("NetHopper")
Ex. 1007	Declaration of Wayne Yurtin with respect to NetHopper (Ex. 1006)
Ex. 1008	Jeff Walsh, <i>Apple Releases MesssagePad 2100 Handheld PCs</i> , InfoWorld, Oct. 27, 1997, at 50
Ex. 1009	Alan Demers et al., The Bayou Architecture: Support for Data Sharing Among Mobile Users ("Demers")
Ex. 1010	IEEE Abstract for Demers
Ex. 1011	Library of Congress catalog entry for book containing Demers
Ex. 1012	Gustavo Alonso et al., Exotica/FMDC: A Workflow Management System for Mobile and Disconnected Clients ("Alonso")
Ex. 1013	Springer Abstract for Alonso
Ex. 1014	Library of Congress catalog entry for book containing Alonso
Ex. 1015	'850 Patent Prosecution History, Nov. 29, 2000 Office Action
Ex. 1016	'850 Patent Prosecution History, Feb. 26, 2001 Amendment
Ex. 1017	'850 Patent Prosecution History, May 22, 2001 Office Action -iv-



DOCKET A L A R M

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

