UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD GET ADDITIONS GET ADDITIONS

STARBUCKS CORPORATION
Petitioner

V.

AMERANTH, INC.
Patent Owner

CASE: To Be Assigned Patent No. 6,384,850 B1

DECLARATION OF ABDELSALAM HELAL, PH.D. IN SUPPORT OF PETITION FOR COVERED BUSINESS METHOD PATENT REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,384,850 B1



TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

I.	Intro	oduction					
II.	Sum	2					
III.	Qualifications and Experience						
	A.	Education and Experience					
	B.	Compensation	11				
	C.	Documents and Other Materials Relied Upon					
IV.	Statement of Legal Principles						
	A.	Claim Construction					
	B.	Anticipation					
	C.	Obviousness					
	D.	The Written Description Requirement					
	E.	The Enablement Requirement					
	F.	The Definiteness Requirement					
	G.	Patent-Eligible Subject Matter					
V.	Overview of the '850 Patent						
	A.	Summary of the '850 Patent					
	B.	State of the Art Prior to the '850 Patent					
		1. The Internet and Web-Based Applic	ations21				
		2. Handheld Computing Devices	23				
		a. Apple Newton PDA Devices.	24				
		b. Nokia 9000i Communicator	27				
		c. Windows CE	29				
		d. Mobile Computing Software.	30				
		3. Computer Technology in the Hospit	ality Industry30				
	C.	The Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art					
VI.	Iden	tification of the Prior Art and Summary of Opinions36					
VII.		m Construction37					



TABLE OF CONTENTS

(continued)

Page

	A.		-	the Challenged Claims of the '850 Patent - 8: Invalidity under § 112	
	71.	1.		Hospitality Applications and Data" Limitations	
		1.	a.	Ground 1: The Challenged Claims are Invalid for Lack of Enablement Because of the "Hospitality Applications and Data" Limitations	
			b.	Ground 2: The Challenged Claims are Invalid for Being Indefinite Because of the "Hospitality Applications and Data" Limitations	.42
			c.	Ground 3: The Challenged Claims are Invalid for Lack of Written Description Because of the "Hospitality Applications and Data" Limitations	.45
		2.	The "	Communications Control Module" Limitations	.46
			a.	Ground 4: The Challenged Claims are Invalid for Lack of Enablement Because of the "Communication Control Module" Limitations	.47
			b.	Ground 5: The Challenged Claims are Invalid for Being Indefinite Because of the "Communication Control Module" Limitations	.55
			c.	Ground 6: The Challenged Claims are Invalid for Lack of Written Description Because of the "Communication Control Module" Limitations	.55
		3.	Enable the "S	nd 7: The Claims Are Invalid for Lack of lement Because the Specification Fails to Disclose Software Libraries" that Supposedly Enable the ned Subject Matter	.56
		4.	Enabl	nd 8: The Challenged Claims are Invalid for Lack of lement Because Each of the Challenged Claims, as a e, is not Enabled	.57
	B.	Grou	nds 9 &	& 10: Invalidity under pre-AIA § 103(a)	.58



TABLE OF CONTENTS

(continued)

Page

		1.	Ground 9: The Challenged Claims are Obvious Over Brandt in View of NetHopper		
			a.	Claim 12 is obvious over Brandt in view of NetHopper	62
			b.	Claim 13 is obvious over Brandt in view of NetHopper	111
			c.	Claim 14 is obvious over Brandt in view of NetHopper	113
			d.	Claim 15 is obvious over Brandt in view of NetHopper	113
			e.	Claim 16 is obvious over Brandt in view of NetHopper	114
		2.		nd 10: The Challenged Claims are Obvious Over dt in View of Demers and Alonso	115
			a.	Claim 12 is obvious over Brandt in view of Demers and Alonso	117
			b.	Claim 13 is obvious over Brandt in view of Demers and Alonso	119
			c.	Claim 14 is obvious over Brandt in view of Demers and Alonso	120
			d.	Claim 15 is obvious over Brandt in view of Demers and Alonso	120
			e.	Claim 16 is obvious over Brandt in view of Demers and Alonso	120
	C.			The Challenged Claims are Patent-Ineligible under	120
IX.	Conc				



I. INTRODUCTION

- 1. My name is Abdelsalam Helal. I am a Professor in the Computer and Information Science and Engineering Department at the University of Florida (1998 present), and a Finland Distinguished Professor at Aalto University, Finland (2011-2013).
- 2. I have been engaged by Starbucks Corporation ("Starbucks") as a consultant in connection with Starbucks' Petition for Covered Business Method Patent Review ("Starbucks CBM Petition") of U.S. Patent No. 6,384,850 B1 (the "'850 patent").
- 3. I understand that the '850 patent has been assigned to Ameranth, Inc. ("Ameranth"). Ameranth is also referred to as the "Patent Owner" in this declaration.
- 4. This declaration is based on the information currently available to me. To the extent that additional information becomes available, I reserve the right to continue my investigation and study, which may include a review of documents and information that may be produced, as well as testimony from depositions that not yet been taken.
- 5. In forming my opinions, I have relied on information and evidence identified in this declaration, including the '850 patent, the prosecution history of the '850 patent, and prior art references including Japanese Published Appl. No.



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

