UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD
STARBUCKS CORPORATION,
Petitioner,
V.
AMERANTH, INC.,
Patent Owner.
Case CBM2015-00091
Patent 6.384.850 B1

PETITIONER'S OBJECTIONS TO PATENT OWNER'S EVIDENCE IN PATENT OWNER RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. §42.64(b)(1)



Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b)(1), Petitioner Starbucks Corporation ("Petitioner") hereby timely objects to the evidence submitted by Patent Owner with the Patent Owner's Response under 37 C.F.R. § 42.220 (Paper 14) filed January 6, 2016 in response to Board's Institution Decision (Paper 9) of September 14, 2015 that instituted the trial for Covered Business Method Review of United States Patent No. 6,384,850 ("'850 patent"). The objections are made based on rules under 37 C.F.R. Part 42 on CBM proceedings and relevant portions of Federal Rules of Evidence ("FRE") that are applicable to CBM proceedings under 37 C.F.R. § 42.62.

Exhibit	Objections
2037	Petitioner objects to this exhibit at least for the following reasons: The
	document is hearsay, and no exceptions to the hearsay rules apply
	(FRE 801 and 802). The exhibit lacks authentication (FRE 901). The
	document lacks relevance (at least because it relates to patents not
	subject to these petitions) and any relevance would also be outweighed
	by unfair prejudice to Petitioner (FRE 401 and 403). This exhibit is
	also improper because it is not cited in Patent Owner's Response.
2038	Petitioner objects to this exhibit at least for the following reasons: The
	document is hearsay and hearsay within hearsay, and no exceptions to



Exhibit	Objections
	the hearsay rules apply (FRE 801, 802, and 805). The exhibit lacks
	authentication (FRE 901). The document lacks relevance (at least
	because it relates to patents not subject to these petitions) and any
	relevance would also be outweighed by unfair prejudice to Petitioner
	(FRE 401 and 403). This exhibit is also improper because it is not
	cited in Patent Owner's Response.
2041	By providing a declaration, Patent Owner must make Mr. Weaver
	available for deposition pursuant to 37 CFR § 42.51(b)(1)(ii).
	Petitioner objects to this exhibit if a deposition is requested and Patent
	Owner does not make Mr. Weaver available for deposition.
2044	Petitioner objects to this exhibit at least for the following reasons: The
	document is hearsay and hearsay within hearsay, and no exceptions to
	the hearsay rules apply (FRE 801, 802, and 805). The exhibit lacks
	authentication (FRE 901). The document lacks relevance (at least
	because it relates to patents not subject to these petitions) and any
	relevance would also be outweighed by unfair prejudice to Petitioner
	(FRE 401 and 403).
2045	Petitioner objects to this exhibit at least for the following reasons: The
	document is hearsay and hearsay within hearsay, and no exceptions to



Exhibit	Objections
	the hearsay rules apply (FRE 801, 802, and 805). The exhibit lacks
	authentication (FRE 901). The document lacks relevance (at least
	because it is unrelated to the patents and other issues subject to these
	petitions) and any relevance would also be outweighed by unfair
	prejudice to Petitioner (FRE 401 and 403). Patent Owner is obligated
	to make Mr. Harker available for deposition if requested, and
	Petitioner objects to this exhibit if they Petitioner requests such a
	deposition and Patent Owner does not make Mr. Harker available.
	Petitioner also objects to the use of a rough deposition transcript.
	Petitioner also objects to this exhibit as containing improper lay
	opinion testimony (FRE 701) and improper expert testimony (FRE
	702).
2046	Petitioner objects to this exhibit at least for the following reasons: The
	document is hearsay and hearsay within hearsay, and no exceptions to
	the hearsay rules apply (FRE 801, 802, and 805). The exhibit lacks
	authentication (FRE 901). The document lacks relevance (at least
	because it relates to patents not subject to these petitions) and any
	relevance would also be outweighed by unfair prejudice to Petitioner
	(FRE 401 and 403).



Exhibit	Objections
2047	Petitioner objects to this exhibit at least for the following reasons: The
	document is hearsay and hearsay within hearsay, and no exceptions to
	the hearsay rules apply (FRE 801, 802, and 805). The exhibit lacks
	authentication, and contains mark-ups which calls into question the
	authenticity of the document (FRE 901). The document lacks
	relevance (at least because it is unrelated to the patents and other
	issues subject to these petitions) and any relevance would also be
	outweighed by unfair prejudice to Petitioner (FRE 401 and 403).
	Given the mark-ups on this document, there is a genuine dispute about
	the copy provided precluding admission into evidence (FRE 1002 and
	1003). Petitioner also objects to this exhibit as containing improper
	expert testimony (FRE 702).
2048	Petitioner objects to this exhibit at least for the following reasons: The
	document is hearsay and hearsay within hearsay, and no exceptions to
	the hearsay rules apply (FRE 801, 802, and 805). The exhibit lacks
	authentication (FRE 901). The document lacks relevance (at least
	because it relates to patents not subject these petitions) and any
	relevance would also be outweighed by unfair prejudice to Petitioner
	(FRE 401 and 403). Petitioner also objects to this exhibit because it



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

