Trials@uspto.gov 571.272.7822 Paper No. 15 Filed: September 1, 2015

### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

### BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

EXPEDIA, INC., FANDANGO, LLC, HOTELS.COM, L.P., HOTEL TONIGHT, INC., HOTWIRE, INC., KAYAK SOFTWARE CORP., OPENTABLE, INC., ORBITZ, LLC, PAPA JOHN'S USA, INC., STUBHUB, INC., TICKETMASTER, LLC, LIVE NATION ENTERTAINMENT, INC., TRAVELOCITY.COM LP, WANDERSPOT LLC, AGILYSYS, INC., DOMINO'S PIZZA, INC., DOMINO'S PIZZA, LLC, HILTON RESORTS CORPORATION, HILTON WORLDWIDE, INC., HILTON INTERNATIONAL CO., MOBO SYSTEMS, INC., PIZZA HUT OF AMERICA, INC., PIZZA HUT, INC., and USABLENET, INC., Petitioner,

v.

AMERANTH, INC., Patent Owner.

Case CBM2015-00097 Patent 6,871,325 B1

Before MEREDITH C. PETRAVICK, RICHARD E. RICE, and STACEY G. WHITE, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

PETRAVICK, Administrative Patent Judge.

DOCKET

DECISION Institution of Covered Business Method Patent Review 37 C.F.R. § 42.208 Grant of Motion for Joinder 37 C.F.R. § 42.222(b)

RM

# I. INTRODUCTION

# A. Background

On March 4, 2015, Expedia, Inc., Fandango, LLC, Hotels.com L.P., Hotel Tonight, Inc., Hotwire, Inc., Kayak Software Corp., Opentable, Inc., Orbitz, LLC, Papa John's USA, Inc., Stubhub, Inc., Ticketmaster, LLC, Live Nation Entertainment, Inc., Travelocity.com LP, Wandersport LLC, Agilysys, Inc., Domino's Pizza, Inc., Domino's Pizza, LLC, Hilton Resorts Corporation, Hilton Worldwide, Inc., Hilton International Co., Mobo Systems, Inc., Pizza Hut of America, Inc., Pizza Hut, Inc., and Usablenet Inc. ("collectively, Petitioner") filed a Petition requesting a review under the transitional program for covered business method patents of U.S. Patent No. 6,471,325 B1 (Ex. 1003, "the '325 patent"). Paper 2 ("Pet."). Ameranth, Inc. ("Patent Owner") filed a Preliminary Response on June 8, 2015. Paper 10 ("Prelim. Resp.").

The Petition sets forth alleged grounds of unpatentability as follows:

Ground	Prior Art	Challenged Claims
§ 103	Inkpen <sup>1</sup> , Nokia <sup>2</sup> , and Digestor <sup>3</sup>	11, 13, and 15
§ 103	Inkpen, Nokia, Digestor, and Flake <sup>4</sup>	12
§ 103	DeLorme <sup>5</sup>	11–13 and 15

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Gary Inkpen, *INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY FOR TRAVEL AND TOURISM*, 1–195 (2d ed. 1998) (Ex. 1021) ("Inkpen").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Nokia 9000i Communicator Owner's Manual, 1–131 (1997) (Ex. 1023) ("Nokia").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Timothy W. Bickmore & Bill N. Schilit, *Digestor: device independent access to the World Wide Web*, Computer Networks and ISDN Systems 29, 1075–82 (1997) (Ex. 1022) ("Digestor").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Flake et al., Word Intellectual Property Org. Patent Pub. No. WO 97/27556 (published July 31, 1997) (Ex. 1049) ("Flake").

Ground	Prior Art	Challenged Claims
§ 103	Blinn <sup>6</sup> and Inkpen	11–13 and 15

We have jurisdiction under 35 U.S.C. § 324, which provides that a covered business method patent review may not be instituted "unless . . . it is more likely than not that at least 1 of the claims challenged in the petition is unpatentable."

Petitioner also filed a Motion for Joinder (Paper 8, "Mot.") seeking to join this proceeding, under 35 U.S.C. § 325(c), with the covered business method patent review in *Apple, Inc., Eventbrite, Inc, Starwood Hotels & Resorts Worldwide, Inc., v. Ameranth, Inc.*, Case CBM2015-00082 ("the Apple CBM"). The Motion for Joinder indicates that the Petitioner in the Apple CBM does not oppose joinder. Mot. 2. Patent Owner did not file an opposition to the Motion for Joinder.

For the reasons discussed below, we institute a covered business method patent review on the ground of claims 11–13 and 15 under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over DeLorme and grant Petitioner's Motion for Joinder.

# II. INSTITUTION OF COVERED BUSINESS METHOD PATENT REVIEW ON SAME GROUNDS INSTITUTED IN THE APPLE CBM

Concurrent with this Decision, we instituted a covered business method patent review on the ground of claims 11–13 and 15 under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over DeLorme. Apple CBM, Paper 13.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> DeLorme et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,948,040 (issued Sept. 7, 1999) (Ex. 1024) ("DeLorme").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Blinn et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,058,373 (issued May 2, 2000) (Ex. 1025) ("Blinn").

# CBM2015-00097 Patent 6,871,325 B1

The Petition asserts the same grounds, relies upon the same expert declarant, prior art, claim charts, and claim constructions as relied upon in the Apple CBM. Mot. 2. The Petition is substantively identical to the Petition in the Apple CBM. *Id*. The Preliminary Response is also substantively identical to the Preliminary Response filed in the Apple CBM. It relies upon the same claim constructions, evidence, and contentions as relied upon in the Apple CBM.

For the same reasons given in the Decision to Institute in the Apple CBM, we institute a covered business method patent review in this proceeding on the ground of claims 11–13 and 15 under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over DeLorme, the same ground which we instituted in the Apple CBM. Also for the same reasons given in the Decision to Institute in the Apple CBM, we do not institute a covered business method patent review in this proceeding on the grounds of claims 11, 13, and 15 under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Inkpen, Nokia, and Digestor, claim 12 under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Inkpen, Nokia, Digestor, and Flake, and claims 11–13 and 15 under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Blinn and Inkpen.

# III. GRANT OF MOTION FOR JOINDER

As noted above, Patent Owner did not oppose Petitioner's request to join this Petition with the Apple CBM. The petitioner in the Apple CBM also does not oppose Petitioner's request to join this Petition with the Apple CBM. *See* Mot. 2; Paper 9, 2.

The grounds upon which we institute a covered business method patent review in this proceeding are identical to the grounds upon which we instituted in the Apple CBM. Further, as noted above, the Petition includes

# CBM2015-00097 Patent 6,871,325 B1

the same arguments and relies upon the same evidence and grounds of unpatentability.

Under the circumstances, we conclude that Petitioner has demonstrated that joinder of the two covered business method patent reviews will not unduly complicate or delay the Apple CBM, and therefore, we grant Petitioner's Motion for Joinder. All filings in the consolidated proceeding will be made by petitioner in the Apple CBM on behalf of both petitioner in the Apple CBM and Petitioner in this proceeding, except for motions that do not involve the other party. *See* Paper 9, 2. Petitioner shall not file any separate papers or briefing in these consolidated proceedings without authorization from the Board. In addition, Petitioner shall not seek any additional discovery beyond that sought by the petitioner in the Apple CBM.

Petitioner and petitioner in the Apple CBM shall resolve any disputes between them concerning the conduct of the joined proceedings and shall contact the Board if any such matters cannot be resolved. No additional burdens shall be placed on Patent Owner as a result of the joinder.

In consideration of the above, we institute a covered business method patent review in this proceeding and grant Petitioner's Motion for Joinder.

# IV. ORDER

In consideration of the foregoing, it is hereby: ORDERED that CBM2015-00097 is instituted and joined with CBM2015-00082;

# DOCKET A L A R M



# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

# **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

# **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

# API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

### FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

# E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.